EPA-FUL

Dear Jim, on doing a number, or an I being Cointelpro-granmed? 6/27/80

Them EPA wrote me about handling dangerous substances, and I wrote asking for an explanation, including how I got on their list, and said if necessary it was an FCIA request. Yesterday I got a call from EPA, Shirley Bulkin, who apparently expected me to be satisfied with her explanation: that in order to alert people they used the only means available, getting a private contractor to prepare a list from the business sections of phone books.

Week ago today there was the odd business of the sudden unsafety of my car.

I told her it was not possible to get my name in that manner and not possible to get the combination of the middle initial I never used from any phone book, particularly not in combination with the address we haven't had in five years. That certainly is not in any current listing of any kind. She was at a loss to explain it and said she'd get back to me. She said it might take some time and I said I'd not hold her to the time limits of FOIA/PA.

You were having trouble with your phone a week ago, an intercept saying it was temporarily disconnected? Well, that week I also had trouble with loud, scratching noises on my phone. While both, again, could be of what today is innocent explanation, and innocent, the possibility of harasement exists.

Now that we know the EPA explanation, we do know that it is not possible for me to have gotten on that list in the manner it claims. We may not known any more, but that is simply beyond question.