

22019-107
K B L - 1/12/72
D-221217

off as an individual records of
Files not searched - the research operation
holders on the press
Information not from Central records searches indicating other files
withholdings - FBI still attitude
links

Attached are copies of 62-103600-3295 (2 pp. only) and 3300. The Van Horns column in among the matters the FBI hierarchy considered important enough for the Director's time and attention. An appended note to it reading, "That do we know about this Van Horns character?" I appeal the withholding from that is provided and the denial of what is quoted without being provided.

The letter indicates that as part of the research operation, the files of which have never been searched despite my requests and appeals, there are separate files and that the relevant information was included in Central files. It is explicitly stated that Bureau files have only certain information, which does not include what Jones does include in his memo for DeLoach. So where else could he have gotten it?

DeLoach, in turn, caused permission to get press ure on Van Horns through his contacts. That he succeeded in this is clearly indicated, with part of what resulted being accurate, & believe quite improperly.

I do not believe that media manipulation or any control over what people say think, say or believe is a proper function of even the FBI, particularly not when what the FBI itself quotes from the column is accurate and has become public knowledge in the years since the column was published.

I call to your attention the fact that while the FBI's press release - the - Bureau paper would lead one to believe that it never listed anything that Jones ever does claim that what she wrote was "already discredited by the FBI." This was more than four months before the current report appeared. Please note also that by the executive retaining the FBI originally intended withholding the fact that it has records on her and what links it in Central records. Recall what Jones does include. Such improper withholdings typify the procedure to which I am alluding. The FBI should at least in context the FBI from embarrassment, but on principle with the act. It is repeated.