BI Statistics on Crime Criticized

Post 7/12/73 By G. A. Fitzgerald

NEW YORK-A University of Chicago sociologist says the statistical sampling methods FBI reported that 92 per cent ed the studies, however, saying Zeisel said the problem used by the FBI until recently to compare differences in the treatment of criminals painted a seriously misleading picture of the courts' contribution to the national crime wave.

Although the figures supported a law enforcement view that much of the increase in crime during the late 1960s was caused by people the courts failed to convict, that picture was seriously flawed, according to Hans Zeisel of the University of Chicago Law School.

Biased sampling methods either inflated the reported rates of recidivism or rendered them meaningless because the FBI had no information on large numbers of the cases included in the analyses. he said in a recent interview.

High rates of rearrest for persons the courts failed to studies. convict-higher than for perported annually by the FBI in respect it disagrees. He said ests in the results of the statis- rest rates.

year.

In 1969, for example, the of a group acquitted of various criminal charges in 1963 look at recidivism. It may not unsuitability of arrest records had been rearrested on new charges within six years.

per cent of a similar 1963 the world." group which had been convicted, fined and placed on custody of the nation's crime their case had been dismissed probation; 63 per cent of a statistical bureau under the by a court or if they had been later paroled; and 76 per cent of another group which had been convicted and served full prison terms without parole.

the core of the analyses, a er's criticism of the FBI had ords in the studies, however, series of followup studies of appeared recently in the Bul- saying that such records are federal law enforcement sys- and in the Journal of the tem in 1963 and 1965. These American Bar Association. had appeared since 1965 in a section of the reports entitled "Careers in Crime." An FBI more important indicator of spokesman said there are no plans to resume the followup he wrote.

sons fined, imprisoned or disagrees with Zeisel's critic-placed on probation—were re-ism, but he did not say in what

tween 1965 and August of last the FBI was involved in the trusted to a group of special-

Another FBI official defend- in objectivity and accuracy." they were an "honest effort to stems fundamentally from the be the best answer, but it was for the purposes they were an answer, and at the time al- used in the FBI analyses. This compared with only 38 most the only one available in

Zeisel, an advocate of taking third group which had been Department of Justice, says convicted, sent to prison and the studies are evidence of incompetence.

"I don't think these people deliberately cheated, but it's an elementary error they commit-The bureau recently dropped ted," Zeisel said, The research-'offenders" released from the letin of the Atomic Scientists

"The accurate measure of crime is becoming an ever the country's social health,"

its Uniform Crime Reports be- no one presently employed by tics it gathers and be endesign of the followup studies. ists whose career interests are

This results in classifying "all people released from the system as 'offenders' even if acquitted, and calling everybody rearrested a 'repeater'even though he may not have been comvicted of a crime even once."

The FBI specifically defended the use of arrest recthe only ones presently available which can give a comprehensive national picture of

But Zeisel said the bureau could have eliminated bias resulting from using a statistical base which contained too "It is high time that this dif- many people from crime cate-The spokesman said the FBI | ficult and important task be | gories for which rearrest rates