## uest: Skeptical Postscript to

.De. Jahard Harwood We hington Port Staff Weiter 47 On Sept. 24, 1964, the President's

of President John F. Kennedy reported to the world that it had Land Land top top it.

Sand the Commission: "The shots which killed President Kennedy and wounded Governor Connally were fired by Lee Harvey Oswald."

This report, issued under the imprimatur of Chief Justice Earl Warren and six other distinguished Americans, is now under attack by scholars and writers who believe the Commission's findings are marred by conjecture and by inconsistencies which the Commission was unable to resolve.

They have uncarthed, for example, a five-volume report from the Federal Bureau of Investigation, dated Dec. 9, 1963, that contains a "finding" which-had it been true-would almost certainly have led to the conclusion that Oswald had an accomplice in the assassination.

This "finding" which now appears to have been completely erroneous was the product of an impulsive report by FDI agents a few hours after the President was killed. Although it was apparently based on little more than hearsay, it found its way into the Dec. 9 document.

It has given ammunition to the Commission's critics and contributed to a serious-disagreement within the Commission itself over the manner in which Gov. Connally was wounded and over the ultimate explanation given by the Commission of the events of Nov. 22,

For these reasons and others, grave doubts about the competence of the Commission's work are raised in two new books on the assassina-tion-"Whitewash," by Harold Weisberg of Hyattstown, Mc., and "Inquest," by Edward Jay Epstein, Weisberg is a former Covernment

worker who now operates a poultry Lawy ' Comment farm. Epstein is a Ph.D. condidate writes, "as to what the Conat Harvard.

Epstein's book—written as a mas-Ball (one of the Commis-ter's the 's at Cornell—carries an ston's staff lawyers) com-Gandy introduction by the New Yorker mented that the Commismagazine's Washington correspond-sion 'had no idea of what ent. Richard Rovere, who writes: was happening; we did all Nothing Mr. Epstein reveals is the investigating, lined up

quite so shocking as the fact that the witnesses, solved the

this great investigation was carried out by men who could not give their full attention to it and who, hecause of their own needs and also because of certain political circumstances, were in a desperate hurry to get it over with . . . The day the Warren Commission Report was issued, the American press should have begun to do what Mr. Epstein has done; it should have east a very cool eye on the Report and sought to learn from those who prepared it how it was prepared . . .

Weisherg charges: "The superficial and immature manner in which the Report deals with the possibility of a conspiracy or of a different assassin is only one of the ways in which the Commission may have crip-pled itself."

The Epstein book, based In large part on interviews with members of the Commission and its staff, gives a picture of the investigation at sharp variance with the common conception of how it was conducted.

It reveals that no single member of the Commission heard all the testimony and that "most of the Commissioners were present for only a minor portion of the hearings. Senator (Richard) Russell (D-Ga.) who attended the fewest, heard only about 6 per cent of the testimony; whereas Allen Dulles, who attended the largest number of hearings, heard about 71 per cent. Only three Commissioners heard more than half the testimony, and the average Commissioner heard 145 per cent . . ."-

Wick \_\_\_\_ Casper \_\_\_\_ Callahan -Conrad \_\_\_\_ Felt \_\_\_\_ Gale \_\_\_ Rosen \_\_\_\_ Sullivan \_\_\_ Tavel \_\_\_\_ "Opinions differ," Epstein Trotter -Tele. Room mission actually did. Joseph Holmes \_\_\_\_

· problems and wrote the Re-

port.' Wesley Liebeler (an-

other Commission lawyer)

when asked what the Com-

mission did, replied, 'In one

(Others connected with

the investigation dispute

these harsh judgments.

"The Commissioners," said

one staff lawyer, "contrib-uted significantly to the

The actual work of the

Commission fell, in any

event, on a few younger

word, nothing."

final Report.")

Tolson -DeLoach \_\_\_ Mont \_\_\_\_

B.

1	6:	. 4	5 4
-	6		10
	-	3	15
			-

The Washington Post and A-
Times Herald
The Washington Daily News
The Evening Star
New York Herald Tribune
New York Journal-American
New York Daily News
New York Post
The New York Times
The Baltimora Sun
The Worker
The New Leader
The Wall Street Journal
The National Observer
People's World
Date
0-1011/1- POEE

SEARCHED	IN	DEXE	)	-
SERIALIZED	PI	p	20.5	
JUN	1	196	6	,C.
FBI-	DALL	AS		

members of the staff who eminent private lawyers brought in to help continued diang bravate professional activities and dave little

time to the mquiry. Among the working staff,

there were buser disagreements about the committy of witnesses, shout the quality of the evidensity to the district of the contract of the ment a second and an acthe ultimate wording and conclusions of the Report.

## TBI's Unpublished Report

Pedinos the greatest source of controvers; and doubt ever the integrity of the Report-at least in the minds of Epstein and Weishorg—ver the unwilling Fli thedinent, which is now in the National Archives.

It states categorically that "medical examination of the President's body revealed that one of the bullets had entered just below his shoulder to the right of the spinal column at an angle of 45 to do negrees downward. that there was no point of exit, and that the bullet was not in the univ."

This statement is in direct contradiction to the official autopey report from the Be-thesda Naval Hospital, written the last week in November, that the bullet which struck the President in the lower neek passed through his body and came out itis throat. The autopsy report

1

"The missile contused the strap muscle of the right side of the neck, damaged the trachea (windpipe) and made as exit through the anterior (front) surface of the neck."

The discrepancy between the FBI report and the autopsy report is crucial, for the Commission's conclusion that Oswald acted alone is right only if the autopsy report is right, and wrong if (the FBI report is right.

If a bullet did not pass through President Kennedy's neck and cause Gov. Connally's wounds, a second assassin must have been involveri.

This is so because films of the assassination proved conclusively that Mr. Kennedy and Art. Countily were wounded within a period of one-half second, at the mini- : mum, and less than two seconds at the maximum.

It was allysically impossible for a more to fire two -

the tree Oswald used. Thus, either Mr. Kennedy and Mr. Connally were struck by the same built or two men fired two nearly simultaneous buliets.

Both Epstein and Weisberg argue that the first possibilhy-two wounds from a smto state a contrated in ever thee testif and that .. . cond assassin was involved who remains free.

Independent study of the evidence does not neces-arthe lead to that . I concharton, admining there is substantial testimony other than the FBI report that raises grave questions about the manner in which Gov. Cunnally was wounded.

This evidence is of such weight that Son. Russell, according to Epstein, "reportedly said that he would not sign a Report which concluded that both men were hit by the same bullet. Sen. (John Sherman) Cooper (R-Ky.) and Rep. (Hale) Boggs (D-La.) tended to agree with Russell's position."

Accordingly, the Commission was forced to heige its Conclusion by saying that the evidence, while not certain, was "very persuasive , to indicate that the same builet which pierced the President's throat also caused Governor Connally's wounds."

(Congressman Boggs said any implication by Epstein that the Commission was divided on its ultimate conclusions is wrong. There were many discussions invalues many points of evidence, he said, but the findings were unanimous.

(Sens. Russell and Cooper were out of the city and could not be reached for comment.)

The reasons for the Commission's uncertainty on this vital point are well documented:

(1) Gov. Connally, who was conscious when all three shots were fired, told the Commission he could not have been wounded by the bullet that struck Mr. Kennedy in the neck: "It is not conceivable to me that I could have been hit by the first buffet ... Obviously, at least the major wound that I took in the shoulder through the chest couldn't have been anything but the second shot."

on Two at the three pulling legate who performed the autopsy on the President-Commander James J. Humes and Lt. Col. Pierre Finck, an authority on gunshot wounds—testified that it was either "unlikely" or "impossible" that the wound in President Kenneny's neck and the wounds Gov. Countily sustained were caused by the same bullet. ! (3) The FBI's principal ballistics expert, Robert Frazier, told the Commis-

sion: "I myself don't have any evidence which would permit me to say one way or the other, in other words which would support it ithe theory that Mr. Kennedy and Mr. Connally were hit by a-single shot) as far as my rendering an opinion as an expert. I would certainly say it was possible but I don't say it probably\_ oc-

(4) After reporting on Dec. 9, 1963, that the bullet that wounded Mr. Kennedy in the shoulder did not exit from his body, the FBI on Jan. 13, 1964, issued a supplemental report on the President's wounds which

"Medical examination of the President's body had revealed that the bullet which entered his back had penetrated to a distance of less than a finger length."

(5) On Dec. 18, 1963, The Washington Post and other newspapers reported on the basis of rumors from Dallas, that the first bullet to strike the President "was found deep in his shoulder." This report was confirmed prior to publication by the FBI

The cumulative effect of these various statements was to raise very considersable doubt about the principal conclusion of the Warren Commission: that "the shots which killed President Kenneny and wounded Governor Connally were fired by Lee Harvey Oswald."

They have no bearing on Oswald's involvement but, if true, they point unmistakeably to the involvement of at least one other assas-

The commission handled this crucial problem, in effeet, by rendering a highly misleading verdict:

"Although it is not necessale to day e-a must findings or the Commission to deter-

mine just which shot his Gov. Connally, there is very persuasive evidence trothe experts to indicate that the same bullet was pierced the President's throat also caused Gov. Connally's wounds. I'do Gov. Comming's fer many and certain other factors have given rise to some difference of opinion as to tal. probability but there is no question in the mind of at: member of the Commission that all the shots will a caused the President's an-Gov. Connally's wounds v. . fired from the sixth fine: window of the Texas School Book Depository.

Contrary to what the Commission reported, it was not only "necessary" but ab ... lutely essential to determine which shot hit the Governor.

"To say that they were hit by separate bullets." Norman Redlich of the Commission staff told Emstein, "is synonymous with , saying that there were two assassins."

Specter Had Responsibility

One of the reasons the Commission had difficulty with this problem was to... while, on paper, 34 men, i... cluding the seven member of the Commission, we: engaged in the investi... tion, "the entire task a certaining the basic facts of the assassination fell up. one lawyer-Arlen Specie; Specier," according to E stein, "had the responsion. ity for determining to sources of the shots, : number of assassins. exact manner in which th President and Gov. Conna ly were shot, and the s. quence of events-in shor all the facts of the assassin. tion."

Specter read mountainou stacks of reports and co. ducted the examination i key witnesses before ti-Commission, But Specie: independent investigation Epstein reports, consists of nothing more than inteviews with 28 doctors an other medical personnel Parkland Hospital in Dalla none of whom had any tho ough knowledge of the I'. sident's wounds, "With or minor exception," says E stein, "these interviews con prised Specter's entire fie Investigation of the bafacts of the assaismut: 

Specier, moreover, was nicht ein ein tant pre ure from the Commission - as Access and an offer of the amin-to confidence his work by June 1, which was the arbitrary deadline chosen by the Commission.

Demiliae Extended

The model of war not nict and Chief Justice Warren, according to Epstein, "regar garagasan read demanded that distant Willens (the staff director) the colors the investigation immediately." The deadline, in the end, was extended to dag 15. ... a to data 1. and then into bettern ... spite Epstein says, pressure from McGeorge Bundy at the White House and memhers of the Commission to complete the report well in -advance of the presidential election.

Specier developed the theory that a single bullet must have wounded both Mr. Kennedy and Mr. Connaliv and he filled the record with testimony that supports his hypothesis.

He never entirely con- it viaced the Commission that his theory was correct, in part because of the frequent absence of Commissioners from the hearings. Nor did he convince Eastein and Weisberg, in part because his existence was a county tered through the 26 voiumns that it was hard to piece to gither.

·Thus, it was possible for a reader—or a member of the Vincent Commission—in find in the hearings evidence to support almost any conclusion, even contradictory, conclusions. Some of the contradictions are relatively simple to resolve, however.

The first one involves the teredibility of the FBI report of Dec. 9, which states that the hullet which struck Mr. Kennedy's shoulder did not leave his body.

I This report, the FBI said last week, was based on the medical evidence at that Sime. But there is other evidence that it was based on nothing more than hearsay,

The autopsy on the Presicent began at Bethesda Naval Hospital at about 8 o'clock the night of Nov. 22.

Wound Confused Doctors.

, wo FBI agents who were present oyerheard. Dr. Humes, Dr. Finck and Dr.

swell speculate about the recents should be confused by it because an incision made in the front of the President's throat in Dallas obscured the exit wound.

Before the three doctors at Bethesda had completed the autopsy and before they had traced the path of the bullet from the President's which is his throat, the FAII als ervers hall the reside and called in a report that the bullet had not passed President's through the body.

Incredibly, this verbal rewart to more the basis of the erroneous statement that appears in the Dec. 9, five-voiume summary submitted to the Warren Commission.

The official autopsy report (which contradicts the FBI was in the hands of the Secret Service, not the Bureau, and may never have been supplied to the FBI.

In any case, the basic error was repeated in the Jan. [13 report from the FBI which unaccountably ac-linowledges that there was an exit wound in the Presireat's throat.

The second contradiction involves the conflicting medical testimony on the likelinood that one bullet wounded both Mr. Kennedy

and Mr. Connally, The ballet which caused these wounds was found and was virtually intact. It weighed about 158 grains, as against an original weight of about 161 grains.

Commander Humes and Lt. Col. Finek, the presidential autopsists, doubted that this bullet could have eaused ail of Gov. Connally's wounds because they had read a medical report from Dallas describing the presence of fragments in his weest wound. Thus, they thought the build must have been broken into fragments rather than emerging intact.

They were unaware that these fragments were miniscule and that Connally's principal surgeon, Dr. Robert Shaw, was convinced that the intact bullet did cause the wounds. The "fragments" it left in the Governor's hody were thin shavings, not much larger than dust particles,

The total problem-Gov. Connally's own recollection of what happened-cannot he dismissed.

Dut his surgeon, Dr. Shaw, had an explanation for that, too. It is not uncommon, he testified, for people to suffer a wound without knowing it immediately.

This would account for Mr. Connally's belief that he was not hit by the first bullet and this explanation is consistent with the Chyerner's failure to hear the "second shot" water he believed caused his wound and his recollection of the final shot which smashed the President's skull.

The "single-shot" theory developed by Specter and the Commission, in other words, is not refuted by the apparent inconsistencies in the record which Weisberg and Epstein recite.

And so long as that theory holds up, assumptions that there was a second assassin in Dallas on Nov. 22 ean only be assumptions.

EDWARD JAY FPSTFIN

. AND THE ESTABLISHMENT OF TRUTH

is some cases of Pachard II. Rovere

By Charles Del Vecchio, Staff Photographer

DISAGREEMENT-The Warren Commisslon's report on the assassination of President Kennedy, for all its detail, still debate, the investigation's findings.

leaves some questions open, with the result\_that books and articles continue\_to