gediled personal mate

Quia, I appreciate y ar last night's call. You appear to have been perceptive because I began to feel fatigued on the cab ride back/ I was tired enough to forget what I'll try to learn tosorrow, the name and number of the cabide who was willing to make the trip and is a good driver. If Dissond is willing they'll be able to help. I'd did not know that a short map had revived as some when she answered the phone. If it hadn't I'd not have gotten on the extension.

She also appreciates your interest.

Sometimes the trips do tire me, more when I can't walk much. I think the weather that keeps me inside when I'd otherwise get outside exercise contributes to it. At supper-time I'm a little tired.

I'm sorry neither of us will be able to read and correct the PA enclosure now because she has copying to do, an affidavit and attachments and the stuff for you and a copy for Jim and the university. If you have any quastions, please ask.

I'll never forget the incident in SF on KCBS or that meeting at the park hall. Horgan was indignant over the crudity of the attack on me and its irrelevance and wanted to cut the fink off. I insisted on facing his down and did, ending up ridiculing his. As I recall it the one-man live audience, horgan and his shalf as well as callers seemed to enjoy it. I recall that I also was angry because it was obvious that there was some kind of inspiraction for that character. The inspiration is now clear.

So you asked about these things yesterday and I get an answer today. You found it hard to believe. I'm sure there were many more and I do recall some. Some time I'll tell you the story of the one I'm certain was not FMI, where in was talking about publishing. I think it will interest you because of its possible resifications. If you need more for a real/ effort with PA records let me know and I'll find time and try to recall more. By now it should be clear that I can't be both kinds of red and I never was either. The Russian red of Washington can't cohabitate with the Trotukyite red of San Francisco.

Genes back to me new, that there is another neme. I can write you about this separately. Verb arranged a pad for me with one I'm sure was a 'rotalyite who was in the office of the long-horemen's union, first or last name Maher and very anti-bridges. I spent little time there because I spent little time in bed, maybe an average of two hours per day. I also know Bridges and socialized with him and some of his staff when they were in Bashington. Forgot that in the bio.

More will come back if necessary. It has been out of mind for decades. Let we know. By the way, the clips and index cards show that the Fal did not forget the Kayng case. Is there any wonder organized crime got such a running star with the Fal squandering itself in such necessors.

There was a litter from a sister when I got home last evening. It reports the death of Willie Weisborg, the cousin I hardly knew as a kid if in fact I over met him. She sent the clipping to my other sister, who will send it to me. I can only wonder wint hiladelphia could have done with this when I made many appearances there. There appears to have been no response from hila but this could be an error. I kept all denials of any record in a single alphabetic file and made separate files for those that had records. I find thila in neither. Again thanks,

To Quin Shos from Marold Weisberg, Fa records 1/11/79

JFK assessing tion redords

In today's mail I have an anniversary present from Mr. McCreight that I sahre with you in the form of this appeal, with explanation. The appeal is from what is denied.

In response to my PA request the San "rancisco FBT field office wrote on January 9, 1978 that it had located records its suspected might relate to me. On February 13 it informed fir. Lesar that it had completed a "review of the records" and "an investigation reported to" FATRIL. (I have received no copy of any report of the investigation.) There is nothing in this letter that represents the forwarding to FBIEG of all the relevant records.

One year to the day later, under date of January 9 of this year, I have from the EcCreight the enclosed letter and attackments. Once again there was less specifity that I would prefer, no assurance of completeness of the search and what I hope it will not offend the Fall for me to state, no indication of either good faith or due diligence in this great effort represented by seven pages as the result of the year of Fall effort on both coasts.

While I do not hide my disappointment over the fact that this moutain of effort yielded no small a mouse of compliance I hasten to assure you that I am more than pleased at the recollections they trigger, of matters that did not come to mind several days now when I was preparing a biographical statement for you that I did not have time to complete.

With this jossing of my accord that I also share with you I appeal from the continued withholdings of the San "ranscisco and Los angles filed offices and their residencies in which I also made such public appearance. I presume that FAU disappointment over the repeated refrain, "He made no critical commonts relating to the FBI" will not discourage a more careful search. One that would, for example, turn up all the records indexed and all the index cards not supplied with their relevant records.

Before getting to the records themselves, which I shall address in the sequence in which they followed the letter on receipt, I would like to know what less was being enforced to justify the claim to FOLA exemptions. If the FEI cannot come up with some law then the exemptions do not applys My interest in this will become more obvious. But before getting to that I remind you of a discussion in which the question of what might be called "operation" of a non-modified nature was raised. This relates in partic lar to the withheldings from the FD-306, beginning with that most argent of national security secrets, the date of the report. (No claim to exemption having been noted opposite this and other excisions I do "r. McCreight the courtesy of believing he did not inted (C) or (D), claimed in his latter, to apply to this.)

However, I cannot extend a similar courtesy with regard to his claim of (D) for total excision following "Rethod of delibery (check appropriate blocks))" After all he did not make claim to E for the mail or a personal meeting with the informer.

This sheet is designated to 10 files identification of which is not withheld or it withheld in part plus one withheld in its entirety. Is it being overly suspicious to wonder if that one is excised because it is not provided?

Has there been any esarch of any of these files for copies that include any reference to me? The file number of the one page provided is withhold under claim to D, which I appeal also.

Three names are withheld following the 100 number of three files. Three names given in the attached informants report are of Sylvia Weinstein, Edward Keating and Hal Berg. (It should be Verb.) Nrs. Veinstein was introduced to me as a member of SSP and she participated in the meeting as such. Keating was as I recall founder and editor of Hamparts. FM interest in both is not secret nor is the keeping of files. For that matter, are not the SSP files to have been provided in a well-known case in which it is suing the FM for somey dummges? SNP counsel informed me that he did not see any San Francisco reports referring to me.

The file from which this record is provided in SF 100-56175 where it is Serial 2. Serial 1 is last in the sequence as provided. The highest number is Serial 4. It would appear that this "internal security" file is on me and I ask by what authority it was started, so stayled and discontinued, if it was discontinued, when this was but the first of my appearances in that part of California and what I said later was of no less if not of more interest to the FEL. Or, I do not believe this is all. In this connection I repeat that neither the filed effice nor the headquarters letters states that I have been provided with. (Nor did headquarters provide a copy of the records sent to Crime Records. May I again ask for a search of headquarters records, including those of "crime records"?

Serial 3 reminds me of much I forget in the meso for you. It makes clear that an FRI numbered informant was engaged in "operations" against me at that time. Defore telling you the story I appeal the withholdings that are inappropriate in an historical case. Whether or not by now the multi-million dollar suit of SWP makes that historical, my involvement is part of the JFK case and that has been so held.

While the FEL's interpretation that I was plugging my second book is not unjustified the fact is that this was not possible because only one bookstore west of the District of Columbia had any copies. I was in California at the request of some Los Angelos people and of their San ranscico associates in the so-called "Citiznes' Committee of Inquiry." I knew this had been organized by ark Lane but was not aware

While to the best of my knowledge at that time I had had no prior direct or indirect contact with the SWP (I did have later contact with verb) I was no more concerned about addressing a meeting it sponsored that I have ever been about any of those I've addressed. At the opposite political and there have been chambers of commerce and industrial management groups. Under my concepts there was no reason not to address any group and I did not withdraw on learning that the SWP was sponsoring. (Perhaps the FBI might want to check its own files for those extremist organizations for which its respected founding Director wrote and to which he spoke.)

Perhaps what ensued explains the absence of the supposedly attached report referred to on this FD-306. Serial 3.

During the course of the program, which was moderated by Mary Morgan on KCBS there was a provocative political caller-in. That station was CBS network owned. It shared studies with the net's TV station of the same call letters, in a fine hotel as I recall at Market and Jesus Streets. Morgan is a former reporter who has returned to reporting. His was then the top talk show in the area, on a clear-channel 50 km station. The FBI has monitored his shows when he breakenst in Cleveland. It had the reports and transcripts classified when the Warren Cimmission files were first made available at the National Archives. Real "national security."

When the caller-in started to red-bait me forgan wanted to cut him off. I refused and quite a dialogue ensued, live and prosdeast. It turned out that this man was too young to have had any knewledge of the events to which he made unfaithful reference and that his source was the identical clippings going back 26 years that are attached to Serial 3. There was no possible association between me and the alleged war chest to defeat Dies, if in fact there was one. (If not there shiuld have been.) There is no truth to the other allegations, like Jackson giving me soney to pay for forgaries. But what a remarkable coincidence, even as I try to recall other coincidences involving the FEI, that of all the stories that then appeared the caller-in used those attached to this Serial. I recall clearly how he responded when I asked him how he happened to know about those matters of his infancy. Starmesponse is that he came accross them while doing research at the library. Why he was researching me, if he said, I do not recall.

I appeal the same withholdings from this Serial, including what appears not to have been provided, "(obliterated) indices cards on Weisberg." I believe the identification of the indices becomes more significant when I note that of the copies of

two and part of a third card that are attached contain no reference to any FEI files by number, refer to my being in a photo book (not provided) and quite remarkably, even with my prior experience with "coincidence" and the FBI, has a 1940 entry of - yup - those same news stories attributed to library research.

If there are more cards, this is not clear. It also appears that come remain withheld. The card provided refer to underlying records not provided. Nor is there any record indicating why the SFC had any remain to have any outerest in me in 1940 and 1941 saids from the common interests of the FMT and Dies committee. But even them there should be some records.

And I do appeal the withholdings from the cards. The card for 12/16/66 appears to confirm by the withholding that the Fal's fink try to sabarrass as from that withholding and the 7D claim made for it.

out to well and he surely did build the audience. I believe the report giving it at 300 is an understatement. While I don't know the capacity of that hall in Colden Cate Park, I recall what I believe was at larger crowd and I am cortain there were standers. (As well as portable taps records in that period before they sere common.)

The eard also refers to a withheld report or reports, its source(s). I request it.

The first card as xeroxed appears to refer to the newspaper called the Matienal Guardian because I remember that review only by Belfrage, unless it was syndicated, not likely with se minor a publication.

There is no reference to the source of "Reputed to be a feequent contributor to leftist newspapers and magazines." I was not at that time a "contributor" to any newspaper. I was doing magazine free-lancing and as an intriguing entry on the listing above this resinds se, I was for a short while "Washington correspondent for 'Fridey.'" I'd forgotten that. That magazine was a liberal one owned by the heir to the Sperry-Rand or perhaps then only Sperry syroscope fortune, that kind of "rdd." The association lasted only briefly because of my dissatisfaction, I do not now recall over what.

One gets the impression that SFO was a sort of west-coast Fally. Or that if this FO went in for such law enforcement, can any field office have failed to?

"5th Col. vs D.C." appears to be a book no page of which (P. 7) is provided.

IN I have a mild interest in who was "extremely upset (obliteration)(approximately 10:20 p.m.)" as well as a less mild interest in receiving the withhled copy of the report on which this card is based.

Now this FD-306 has no reference to any files other than the "internal security" one on me. With the subject clearly the JFK assassination I appeal all the withholdings in the historical case sense, which means less restrictive interpretation of the with-

moiding exemptions (there is withholding without claim to exemption, of course). In addition, because this was not a proper activity and because there can be little doubt that the FBI was out to harm me, of not wreck my publishing possibilities and my credibilities, I want to know who was doing it and for whom, both identifications having been removed.

There has to be a source for the notation about my being a retired poultry farmer. It also is not provided.

If there was a taps of that program I would like a dub. I'd like to listen to it again and deposit this early if not exactly successful Cointelproing in the archive. I'd like to listen to this part again, too. It was an early part of my enforced education in matters that were strange to me. Early but not the first. Or last.

That FMI effort made a couple of friends for me, harv Morgan and Jim Eason (right) who was his friend and was in the audience. Whenever I was in that area thereafter I always spent at least a night with the Morgans (wife Judy and adopted son, these infant named Mike). I regarded them as very good friends. When "ason got back to doing talk shows they were quite provocative and I always did them. Given his opposition to the Vietnam was I um inclined to believe that his shows and I on them were covered. Please ask the MSI. Intermittently since then I've done the Eason them by phone, when he calls.

I did many shows I expect to have interested the FBI out there and held press conferences that at least with the book whose subtitle says FEI and Coverup have no doubt it interested them.

I sent you two pages from a book co-authored by one Joan Christian. He phoned me from Morgan's office/studio phone.

There was other newspaper, radio and TV coverage of my press conferences and stories in college papers other than the single one of the next year that is attached. I left that meeting early to fly to los Angeles, to a convention I'm sare interested the FMI. It was of the radio and TV reporters and Jim Garrison was the sain speaker.

Also in Los angeles, I was asked to go out there in 1966 by Mrs. Maggie Field, whose husband Joe was a partner in Hutton & Co. (they lived in Beverley Hills) and an actor rused Hill O'Connell, who also did a Pacifica program. At that time I met the late Lillian Castellano, Ray Harcus, Devid Lifton and several students, Steve Burton and Steve Jaffe, the latter two later connected with Garrison. I know there are files on some of these at the least because I've seen references to them in other files. So there are more files for the FEI to check, assuming it has no indices, which I do not.

The last record, which is the first by number, refers to by appearance on the Dunbar Show at EGO-TV. I did that shoe each time I was there, and other shows on that station, so there from this should be other such reports as well as the SFO's records, not provided with this memo to FEIHQ.

Serial 1 has 62-0-20706 noted and stricken through. This is one of the file numbers not stricken through on Serial 2. Serial 2 includes a 100-0 file on ma. It also holds a reference to a "dead" file. This may provide an opportunity for learning what these mean because I ask for those records that are withheld.

I regret that I have no more time/for the recollections these records bring back. There are other porsons whos nesses can lead to other records. However, I believe that the full does not need them. What it needs is a desire to comply rather than one not to comply, its regular desire magnified in this case by its unintended disclosure of its illicit activities, its intrusion into my life and interference with my First Amendment rights.

I also regret that I do not have time to read and correct this because, as you know, we are both due in court tomorrow, when I will give this to you. I will ask my wife to read a copy she has mercard and to provide a copy of it if any of my errors makes for unclarity or confusion.