Dear Jim,

FIST/PA

1/26/78

Thanks for the copies of the FAI field office letters in response to the personal requests. I enclose a carbon in the event you want to take up what I will go into with anyone in Civil. On the chance you decide this is a constructive thing to do I will say less than I can.

Some of these responses are false. I illustrate with Samanach's 1/9/79

Birmingham's of 1/18 proves non-compliance by MQ, the other such field offices of 1996, and suggests other than the reasons given for claim to copyright withholding for the catalogues and the Person's writing on the Pay of Pigs.

Atlanta admits having records and does not provide copies. Date letter 1/17/70

Dallas is stonewalling. They evaded under date of December 30,1977 and delayed under date of 1/15/78. If you have not heard from them before you receive this they are in non-compliance. I suggest that if we are ever to bring these things to an end without surrendering to lawlessness and intent to delay me we should proceed with those not in compliance when it is clear that they are not. If they need more time they can be in accord with proper procedures and state it in writing and when they will respond. When Dallas acknowledged receipt of the 12/25/77 request under date of 12/30 there would appear to be no reasonable need for more time under date of 1/13/78. Absent scatching out of the usual there should be response by the latest under date of 1/23/78.

Hew Orleans wrote you the same day, 1/13. It said the request "is being processed as quickly as possible." This means that processing was begun and that there is no claim to a need for more time for compliance. I feel about this as I do about Dallas.

But let us not kid ourselves about the reality. They are checking with EL and working out what is embarrassing to them they they will seek to withhold if not lie about. Now where they lie I may have a few surprises for them. One I mantion with intended ellipsis is that they were indiscrete in their quest for a favorable press. I guess I can safely add more with regard to have Oriesms: my 1969 letter to AC Mitchell about agents' intrusions into my life and work followed reports releved to me by a friend, Matt Merron, in whom I'm sure there was special interpst. He is the source of my Memphis taped interviews of the time of the hing assassination. his is credited in Frame-Up. His personal life includes such adventures as being navigator of the ship Greenstrings Green-com thing, of the ecologists. From whales to nuclear explosions. The FBI insists its memphis office has no record of what matt took there at the time of the "ing assassination. On this we now have enough to leave little doubt that if the FBI was the source of the latter to me from Criminal the FBI was not truthful with Grisinal. And there is the popular sythology that Garrison and I were buddies.

Be prepared for needless privacy claims. Some will be sued to disguise mischief of which I have proof.

I believe these are the kinds of reasons there has been no acknowledgement from some offices. They don't know what to do about the nasty business and are swaiting sord from SOG. Those offices which have not even written are in intended non-compliance. Failure to respond, if I am not mistaken, means you can go right to court. I'm willing. If you want to persuade or try to persuade people in Washington that someone has to drive those trampling elephants out of the jumgles, how about a separate complaint for each office not in compliance? If they are going to continue to behave this way derumtizing it a bit might be informative to others who may look back on all of this. Others like the Congress. Or GAO examining into total eastes of time and money. If not people inside DJ, whose interest is long overdue. These by their detachment they declare themselves.



In Reply, Please Refer to File No.

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

## FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

701 Loyola Avenue New Orleans, Louisiana 70113 January 4, 1978

Mr. James H. Lesar Attorney at Law 910 Sixteenth Street, N.W. Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20006

Dear Mr. Lesar:

Reference is made to your letter dated December 25, 1977, concerning the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request of Mr. Harold Weisberg for records pertaining to the assassination of President John F. Kennedy and others.

This is to advise you that your letter has been received and has been referred to FBI Headquarters in Washington, D.C., for further processing. It is suggested that any further inquiry be directed to our FOIA Section at our headquarters in Washington, D.C.

Very truly yours,

FRANCIS M. MULLEN, JR. Special Agent in Charge

By: Joseph P. Mc Malon JOSEPH P. MC MAHON Supervisory Special Agent