To Quin Shea from Harold Weisberg King assassination records 12/16/78
Privacy requests

Thank you for resinding so that Hr. Lesar did in fact file the appeals to the Baltimore and Los ingeles Field 'ffice with regard to my Privacy act request of 1975. This also reminisms that when there was total stonewalling from the field effices, as distinguished from extensive and continuing stonewalling by FBIEQ, Hr. Tesar also repeated the request to all field offices for me because that was beyond my capability.

If you will be kind enough to reread Mr. "esar's appeals of January 24 and 31 of this year you will find that for the most part they are asmored.

He also referred to the Vashington Field Office. There is no reference to this in the few pages of records Hr. McCreight sent redently. And no WFO records.

There is no reference to the still withheld fecords referred to. Nos is there any denial of their existence.

When so such time passes and then the FBI goes out of its way not to identify requests in any way and with me almost always refuses to include the sequential numbers, it is not difficulty to get them mixed up. I presume this is FBI intent, otherwise it would properly and clearly identify each request and communication to the exclusion of all others.

Baltimore is clearly and deliberately not complying with this and with the "ing request/appeal because, as you now know, it withheld its enteness in associating me with two bank robberies, unless FMIMI decided that. Considering its earlier fabrications I suppose I could regard filing me with bank robberies as kindness by the FMI. However, all such records are within my PA request and in my earser of alleged association with bank robberies, surprisingly enough, with the Ming investigation. For that matter, although I have written you and the PMI about it often enough and promises were made to the judge more than a year ago, 200 still has not returned the photo and sketch I loaned the PMI instapril 1968. Nor, I am confident, all relevant records.

hr. Lesar asked what law was being enforced. The name of the local SA was withheld from a memo forwarding Minutemen records I loaned the FBI. There was excision from the copy of my letter to the editor of the local paper over the firing of a caniclocate who apparently failed to refer to the Founding Director as St. Edgar. I repeat the unanswered question, what laws were being enforced to make any Exemption 7 claim applicable?

I was not a considering hiring no without speaking to me about it. So how does Exemption 1 become applicable?

Whom may I expect response to the actual appeals of about a year ago rather than a few more of the many withheld records? And would you mind nudging FBIHE and the Springfield F.C. about that - don't recall even from dream, my alleged association withbank robberies? No copies elsewhere, like Memphis, Chicago, St. -cuis?

tid - M +7