Balt Pt

JL - 1996- Surveillance Item

HW 12/10/78

I should never have spoken as I did about the (sh!) c-h-i-g-g-e-r-s. They have gotten their revenge. But like the not ill wind that warmed things up so after their normal departure for the year, without which I'd not have been gloating about what the absence of chiggers enbaled me to do, maybe some good will come of it.

Wheter or not there was chigger surveillance I think that maybe we have evidence of the FBI's.

This little The sade sleep impossible. First I lay awake and then I got up. While laying swake it dawned on me.

Maybe the FBI works in only strange ways, but it was rather strange, as I noted in my appeal to Shea, for McCreight to send the Baltimore and Los Angeles records to you when I filed the appeals and I have no PA case in court. Maybe it was not strange for the FBI for there to be no specification of the request or the appeal, as I also noted. Instinctively, not after deep thought, I treated it as in response to the 1996 Surveillance Item request and appeal. Noe I think I was right.

The ridiculousness of MANIEX FEING designating me to five different Bank Robbery files in June of 1970 was overwhelming and funny, which blocked further thought until the Chiggers' Revenge.

Those are two kank hobs the FBI tried to pin on the Rays, East Liberty and Meredosia. Both on John. East liberty only on Jerry in the HSCA's notion. Now me - as of then?

How could the FMI associate me with any may in 6/707 I'd not met any. I'd not been in touch with Jimmy or John at all. BUT, I had been hearing from Jerry, mail and long, reverse phone calls. However, I never met Jerry until after I first met Jimmy, which was about 3/1/74. It was the Monday of the week after the February 1971 hearing before Judge Williams in Memphis.

I don't think I even heard from one until shortly before I went to wavenworth in 5/72 on the habeas corpus work.

We have a letter from Shea in which as I recall he says we were never the target of any FBI tap ing or bugging, according to the FBI's indices. By reply is that the indices mean Little, that all the dirty work is hidden in the field offices, detaching FBIHG, and I said other things about the obvious evasions. This whole thing is so utterly ridiculous I think you may want to include it in your Memorandum to the Court you will file with the affidavit I've almost finished reading and correcting. I don't think any judge will believe that filing me in connection with any "ay and more in connection with their conjectured involvement in those two bank robberies - in 1970 - is addidental and I think of no onsis except as the result of surveillance on communication between Jerry and me.

You may not remember it but there came a time when Jerry told me that the FBI was going around trying to get people to say he had been involved in bank robberies. The younger woman he brought here from St. "cuis after May, Rhonda Sibson, is one so questioned. The House assassing had her down as the whoolwomen when she was barely more than a 100 lb or less teen agent. It was to enable him to be prepared that I asked Bud's help for Jerry and Bud agreed to arrange for St. L counsel if Jerry needed it, etc. It was all about that time. But's records may provide a date, if you ask Carmen. Mine will be too hard to search but I can do it.

I don't trink it will be easy for the FBI to give the judge any credible explanation of this 1970 linking of me and the May brothers and those two bank hiests without involving some form of surveillance. So long after the guilty plea, too.

You may want to keep in mind that this is the way in which I arranged for the new Ray defense, the one in which you and I shredded their entire case against Jimmy, even if McRae held guilt or munocence to be irrelevant.

Remember also that the FBI has never said that we were not surveilled. Only that you and I were not tarreied by the FBI according to their indices, some of what I meant in characterizing them as evasive on this ltem.

I am not clear on whether Jerry had ever gone to see Stoner by then or had gone to work for/live with him by then. My recollection is that I was then hearing from Jerry from St. Louis.

The Meredosia job was 1/70. Laddonia the day of the HQ ltr to Springfield, 6/11/70. Liberty 10/17/69.

Superficially this may appear to bet tenuous. In an FOIA case, where the questions relate to searches, compliances and evasive responses that are not in terms of the request and because it is so lugubrious and more because we lose nothing if Green is not interested I think we should raise this.

They will be claiming partial summary judgement with all sorts of affidavits. We have said all along that even with complete compliance with MUNKIN records compliance with the request is impossible. Here you have May records that were withhead until after the assassing closed up shop on their public hearings under a really ancient request and a decrepit appeal, regardless of whether under PA or FOIA. There is relevance in this case because this is part of their Ray file squirrelled away in a 91 file. Where they are lost in any demials is in the indexing. The records had to have surfaced on any search. (You can have some fun with an indexed but not recorded record and thow in the dead and do-no-file files. One of known these brings to new life an old "dead" file in la.) It will appear that these are devices for being able to avoid compliance, as they are. Why not record records? Why have "dead" files that are alive, as when they open a "new" "dead" file and file in do-no-file files?

The judge, if you do this, will also see that they indexed me over a letter to the editor relating to the Firing of a local college professor I've never met. What business is this of the FBI? May index it? Why was it not produced long ago when it was indexed? No exemption was or is claimed. Just withheld. Again withholding of the agent's name, which I've ridicaled to Shea. (Ind he was involved in that caper with his son(s). It made t'e local papers. So "privacy" for him - with his phone listing published?)

It is not by accident that I didn't ask Zhea for the referenced Baltimore letter. First let us see if he gets it on his own. If he doesn't we can ask him to trace all of t is. I'd like to see if he does it voluntarily, without prodding, and makes the right kind of check. But we want to remember if he doesn't.

You'll get this before you get the affidavit so think it over. I'll finish editing the affidavit this a.m.

Me and Moredosia!