

AI. English, retired from Dallas W.U.

Dear A.I.,

1/9/76

If all your hopes for the new year are as easily fulfilled as that for me, friend you have a wonderful year ahead because in fact I do feel great. From time to time minor annoyances, like when the supports I must wear just don't seem to set right. But I'm learning how to cope with the limitations from the thrombophlebitis and except for some access to some files can do about everything I used to do in this work and as much of it too.

Appreciate your wishes.

And the clips. They can be very valuable and those you sent are for different reasons ^{some} of which you have no way of anticipating.

The Gemberling story, for example, we may use in court. By the way, if you find it laying around, you forget to include the carryover. I am suing the DJ and FBI and with some success. They have and use the enormous federal power plus that accruing to the FBI. They resort to dirty devices to stall, delay the courts and comply less. One of these is to hide the names of agents, even though there are decisions against it and we have put into the record the statement of Kelley that it should not be done. Their claim is that they have to protect privacy of the agents who worked on the case. With that kind of publicity how much privacy is there? Or is wanted. I use this as an illustration because the Dallas papers may well carry items that can mean to me what they may not to others or may not be apparent to those who are not deeply into this.

The story on the bullet is another such example. It happens that two different such stories surfaced at the same time. Your papers carried what did not appear elsewhere and in that sense are especially valuable. I'll use this as another illustration in the hope it can lead you to save all of this and from time to time mail it. Getting the Dallas papers and going over them is now beyond me. I've had to let all subscriptions lapse except to two papers, Washington and local.

Coinciding with these stories is the official need to mix minds up all over again, particularly with a new committee to misdirect and bewilder before it can learn to distinguish between fact and fancy. The FBI has already used the bullet as a means of causing a totally unnecessary diversion.

To date the committee has been chasing the mechanical hares and has not seen a real one. Not only for my own work do I want to know what is going on, what appears in the papers, names and details, etc., but I do get many inquiries.

So I hope you can keep an envelope on hand addressed to me, put the clippings in it as they appear and when the envelope holds enough to make a stamp do full duty mail it. This could be very helpful in general and specifically with your recent mailing was with each clipping and each subject, including the new details on the Ruby denial, which I do believe, and on Marina's finances, which fill in a gap.

Just this past week I had an inquiry which made me think of you. There is a writer in the southeast who recalling the report that someone was sending Oswald money orders asked me. Is my recollection correct that you know of none that went through the Dallas W.U. office when you were there? I believe this is the official story about them.

None of the Dallas area colleges has ever wanted me to make a speech and now this is the only way I can afford to travel. If it ever happens I do want to shake your hand and thank you in person. May you, too, have a fine year.

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg



From the desk of

A. I. ENGLISH

1/6-77

Dear Harold:

I do hope you
are feeling great—

Happy New Year!

A. I.