

4/22/71

Dear Mr. Durkin,

In your 4/22 you begin:

"On page 465 of FRAME UP you publish a photo and a sketch of a man ^{that} you say killed Martin Luther King and was also arrested in Dallas at the time JFK was assassinated."

No single part of this is true, nor does any part of it represent my belief, nor does it bear any rational relationship to any fair interpretation of what I said, think or inferred.

Is there no end to your lies, self-deceptions, misrepresentations? Are you completely corrupted by that strange thing you call politics? Or is this your natural curse?

I suggest in your own interest that you compare your words with mine and then apologize to yourself. I couldn't care less whether or not you do to me.

More, I do not know who killed King, nowhere said I do, and nothing I can recall having written or said in public can by any sensible human being be interpreted in any other way. You really should make an effort to make occasional contact with reality.

Although there is a strong resemblance to the picture in the small shot of the man you enclose, I hesitate to agree with your statement that he and the Dallas man are one and the same. I see no reason to believe they are the same man, even if the pictures were taken at different periods in their lives. As a matter of fact, the picture you enclose does seem to be that of a man whose picture I have seen before, but not, to the best of my recollection, in any context that could lead me to believe he had any connection with the King assassination.

I do not know what you mean by the contradiction, "would you like further information at charge and with no obligation?" unless, liek me, you make erros in your typing. But I would like to be in a position to keep this man from being hurt by unfair accusations, for nothing I know warrants any charge in these connections against him, by you or anyone else.

From fingerprint markings, I suspect this is a great enlargement of a rather small part of a picture.

Is it, perchance, part of one of those Ross fabrications?

Sincerely,

April 22, 1971


Mr. Harold Weisberg
Route 8
Frederick, Maryland 21701

Dear Mr. Weisberg:

On page 465 of FRAME UP you published a photo and a sketch of a man that you say killed Martin Luther King and was also arrested in Dallas at the time JFK was assassinated.

I know who he is and attach herewith a recent photograph of him. Notice the similarity in chin, cheeks, ear, nose and lips. The hairline is a bit farther back, but I think it's the same man. Would you like further information at charge and with no obligation? Please write.

Sincerely,



Henry P. Durkin
Box 1537
FDR Station
New York NY 10022



4/22/71

Dear Mr. Durkin,

In your 4/22 you begin:

"On page 465 of FRAME UP you publish a photo and a sketch of a man ^{that} you say killed Martin Luther King and was also arrested in Dallas at the time JFK was assassinated."

No single part of this is true, nor does any part of it represent my belief, nor does it bear any rational relationship to any fair interpretation of what I said, think or inferred.

Is there no end to your lies, self-deceptions, misrepresentations? Are you completely corrupted by that strange thing you call politics? Or is this your natural course?

I suggest in your own interest that you compare your words with mine and then apologize to yourself. I couldn't care less whether or not you do to me.

More, I do not know who killed King, nowhere said I do, and nothing I can recall having written or said in public can by any sensible human being be interpreted in any other way. You really should make an effort to make occasional contact with reality.

Although there is a strong resemblance to the picture in the small shot of the man you enclose, I hesitate to agree with your statement that he and the Dallas man are one and the same. I see no reason to believe they are the same man, even if the pictures were taken at different periods in their lives. As a matter of fact, the picture you enclose does seem to be that of a man whose picture I have seen before, but not, to the best of my recollection, in any context that could lead me to believe he had any connection with the King assassination.

I do not know what you mean by the contradiction, "would you like further information at charge and with no obligation?" unless, lick me, you make errors in your typing. But I would like to be in a position to keep this man from being hurt by unfair accusations, for nothing I know warrants any charge in these connections against him, by you or anyone else.

From fingerprint markings, I suspect this is a great enlargement of a rather small part of a picture.

Is it, perchance, part of one of those Ross fabrications?

Sincerely,