Dodd Dinner Purpose Contradicted b

By Richard Harwood Washington Post Staff Writer

Sen. Thomas J. Dodd's version of how he raised \$100, 000 to repair his personal fortunes is challenged by Connecticut press accounts of his fund-raising dinners.

Hartford newspaper records failed to confirm the account of the Connecticut Democrat's 4, 1965. associates that the moneyraised in 1961 and 1963—was Connecticut firm whose presiclearly intended as a taxfree dent, A. N. Spanel, sought gift to him rather than as a Dodd's sponsorship for an amfund to finance his 1964 reelec- bassadorship in 1964, accordtion campaign.

The 1963 testimonial, which son and Jack Anderson. attracted then Vice President Disclosures by Pearson and Lyndon B. Johnson and report- Anderson prompted the curedly netted \$47,000 for Dodd, rent investigation into Dodd's the Hartford Courant as a Committee on Standards and means "to help (Dodd) raise Conduct. The columnists have

tended by Mr. Johnson, was come taxes on them. described by the Courant as Dodd's explanation on the a "nonpartisan testimonial." other hand, is that money that There was no reference in came into his possession from newspaper accounts to the 1961 and 1963 testimonproceeds (reportedly \$55,000) ials, was earmarked as a per-or that they would be used sonal gift by the donors, and for Dodd's personal expenses, that that it was therefore tax-

The paper also had no rec- exempt, ord of a "testimonial" dinner for the Senator, which report- testimonial gift and a "camedly was held in March, 1965. paign contribution" is crucial Irving Ferman, a Washington representative of the Inter-liability.
national Latex Corp., said yes. The In national Latex Corp., said yes-terday that he and various ice has held that money associates had contributed

International Latex is a ing to columnists Drew Pear-

was described at the time by affairs by the Senate Select a war chest for his renomina-tion and re-election campaign." claimed the Senator diverted campaign funds to his person-The 1961 dinner, also at al use and failed to pay in-

The distinction between a in the context of Dodd's tax

See DODD, A23, Col. 4

THE WASHINGTON POST

From Page Al

\$100,000 'Gift' Is Challenged

. . . constitutes taxable in-come to such candidate."

come to such candidate."

But IRS officials indicated yesterday that it would be difficult to demand income taxes on "testimonial gifts."

A decisive factor, said IRS, is the motive behind the gift. It would be difficult, if not impossible, the agency said, to the financial of the quoted letter, "allow me paign, Pearson and Anderson have quoted from a letter they bear and and the the financial of the financial dinner and the 1150 who conmy forthcoming campaign. tributed to Dodd at the 1963 "I wish I could convey to tributed to Dodd at the 1963

In support of their conten- is about your visit and how

paign, Pearson and Anderson more to me than I can say, of the American way of life."

possible, the agency said, to to thank you again for your probe the motives of the 700 generous offer to come to people who attended the 1961 Connecticut to assist me in

you how enthusiastic everyone

which is diverted from politition that the 1963 contribution it will assist me in get contend that "testimonial" din cal campaign purposes to the tions to Dodd were intended ting my campaign drive under ners for this purpose are so personal use of the candidate for his 1964 re-election cam- way . . . Your visit means commonplace as to be "part