Dear Jim: Huie-DeSoto Motel- Raoul puctures 2/27/77

I've started to make files of the new material. In the course of it I have come to an old note. I'll probably come to others. I have no recll of these items, rather when and why I made the notations:

"P.1 Huie grand jury testimony says Ray told him he stayed at ~~xxx~~ a motel in Mississippi 4/2 and having meeting there. In anything we got from Hanes? Huie? I know he did. It checks out. But I recall no H or H record of this."

"P. 2, re: Raoul. ' ~~Ixxx~~ have/had several photographs at one time or another, photographs that the FBI has."

Both bear on other suspects and the initial request, for what is said to incriminate Ray. So both relate to 75-1996 as well as not to my wiriting.

Does either remind you of anything?

You realize this testimony was just before the last article, a month before the guilty-plea hearing. It also was well after the first two Look articles.

Which is the real Huie? Is there a real one?

He says in Skeptic that this testimony was long after he was convinced there was no conspiracy, no Raoul. (Import, not a quote.)

He made that vaunted investigation (~~not~~ down to a net cost after income of only $40,000 including court and lawyer costs rather than the once more than $100,000.) and didn't go over the Miss. line? First thing he'd have come to on the left on the old road to N.O. is the DeSoto.

However it is my recollection that Jimmy told me the meeting was the next day and that it was with Raoul and at the New Rebel. This is to say on the 3rd, not on the 2nd.

I have always believe that Jimmy was sent to the De Soto and that this was because contact was far safer there.

There~~x~~ will be no references to any Raoul for a while. I am past where there should be references to the DeSoto Motel.