bear Mary and Jin,

6/10/77

I write you both not only to save time but because I want "ary to know about Jin's call off last night about "The trial of less Harvey Cawald."

not only do I want to thank you both but I owe each an apology I'll come to.

before we can find out. I juige this from the reaction of the show staff. Even Cartaen left the studio during a tape presentation to come and talk to me. His producer, who had done the same thing, had to come and grad him. We'd have talked longer if he did not have to take his wife to the obstetrician.

But on top of all that went before it I was tired when I got home. So tired that just before support I fell asleep at my deak going over the sail, such from federal agencies. I've not finished it yet. Idl roused me and had a ne nove to where I could doze more comfortably. I may not lie down in the supports I wear daytime. She had to rouse me and make me walk around three times before I could stay asake. I also may not doze this way for more than 20 minutes at a time.

while I was not with it she packages the books of which I had notes in my wallet, the one sure way of not forgetting them. Otherwise I'd have inscribed them.

Perhaps the reception I received from Aynessorth can be transferred to dary. In part I believe he has, without secting me, separated me from the dance because " have never exploited as they do. In part I think it is because he is exere of my factual rather than politican criticism of the House assassins. This tells his that we are not all nuts, that we have and live by concepts of right and wrong, and that we do not consider what is wrong for others, like the Marren Commission, to be right for the House assassing or curselves. Anyway, his desire to know what the recodds show on Priscilla Johnson Memillan is at least indicative of a desire to know about what dight be considered his side, that of prior support for the Commission. So because ay may have more than I do and certainly can retrieve what also has easier them I now can I'm very pleased she is ging to provide these records to him. If "ary has not yet done this I'd like to suggest that she do it with a short covering letter of which she sends me a copy because I believe that I will be bearing from him. There are many reporters all around the country who do checks developments with me. To now mone from Dallas. I am hopeful that this can be the beginning of a different Establishment attitude toward you am all of us in Texas. What Hagh writes can tend this way. t can certainly distinguish between those of us who are responsible and the mate and let it be known that so Jim Legar puts it there is a third position on this subject, a responsible occ. (If I find time before I take idl growery shapping this a.m. I'll drop Sugh a not and anclose a carbon.)

Jis's concern is ever "The Trial of Les Harvey Cavald." He wests to know what the law permits on this. I was still a little grossy when he called. "ater I phoned dia Lesar. Jim was at the law library and I had to go to bed so I've not heard back. I left word. While I do not auggest that Pary seek to involve her firm I do believe that there will be a need for Delies commod. By non-lawyer's ordinion is that this impolves a question of representation, scaning a portrayal of Jim Tague without his permission. I believe the law does protect ide against this. I believe further than because he is well-known as the only other one wounded in the JFK assessination and because of the title of this newest crap there is no way the changing of name can be chained not to be a portrayal. Moreover, I also believe that this may be a specialized area of law. I do not think Jin should nelso any nove without commed. I do believe that lith a properly-phraned written notice and request be should be able to obtain a script promptly and that once Hary and I go over it t ere will be legitimate basis for going farther. There is no chance the script can be other than more official propagands. If I were Jim I'd regard being involved in this as very hurtful. My guess is that their only out sight be to be totally faithful to the testimony he gave. By belief is that they cannot be, from what have been doing recently. If there were a good Dallas lawyer who is willing to take the time and wante all of this esoloitation of a great tragedy ended I think he could do much with this situation.

What was odd about the A.A. America Show is that they used only one of the questions they asked me in advance. All the rest was improvised from that, teleprompter or no teleprompter. I go into this on the chance that in her personal relationships with those two very good young reporters Beb Sirkin and Bill O'Reilly Mary might be able to get accross to them that they'll learn more and get more they can use if they do not try to impose a doctrine on those they interview as they did in their casentially philosophical beginning of the radio show. They were really trying to get me to say what they wants, not what a believe. This made it necessary for me to preserve my own position, in turn meaning longer answers, in part to avoid being critical of them. The questions I was asked on A.M. America made short, direct answers possible. This is what they all want.

Indiceses. It is not enough to know that we all believe in the same thing and thus have conven interests. You people all went farthur than that and I do appreciate it very much. It has always been that may in "allas, at least with me. That I can talk with and establish a friendly relationship with those considered of "the other side" down there is gratifying to me and I think at least in next cases speaks well of the others.

To now there has been but a single negative, Lapruder, with when I tangled. I did not expect saything of the Paines. I smorely manted to get a feel of them. All others were positives.

My thenks to you all,