Durch Fricheld -11.0. alimane of prini garanis Care "Carona of Litarice" Limina ( thing - hay
belie esent with
love - mornain
Cacida in - ) in his
of pilese more July Carponn oplactions } Beach of played There lacing pleasuren -Car Destacuted Ettertinerain

Mesin - pawarhe 
vert call below 
cet white below 
cet Western

more mels - brefiel

fecce of Thesein

Retuce to

Dear Allan,

Before I get to the interesting things you told as last night, here are the only three duplicates I have of some of the frames of the Zapruder film. These are photogra had directly from Volume 18 of the Commission "evidence", the word we have to use for lack of a proper description of that conspicuous abendonment of every american concept of proper evidence.

There are a number of things I can illustrate-prove-by it and also explain why Jim Garrison has subpensed the original of the Zapruder film rather than any copy. You will note sprocket holes in the laft-hand margin of the film. These come close to being a third of the entire area of the film and by content, are about a quarter. Now it happens that when the film is projected, the material between the sprocket holes is invisible-not seen at all. This extends to slightly to the right of the sprocket holes as you look at the dictures. Here are 8. mm film is copied, that area is automatically masked by the machines that do the copying. Hence any copy of the Zapruder film has this same material automatically eliminated. For home movies this is okey. For evidence, it amounts to the distriction of evidence. Any body that uses a copy instead of the original is automatically suppressing evidence if the original is available.

In the appendices to my first two books, pp. 200 and 246, respectively, I reproduce one of the Commission's besic exhibits. Shaneyfelt 25. The top half is an enlargement of 3 35mm picture taken by Phil Willis. The Commission agreed with 100% of the evidence, that this picture was taken after Willis heard a shot, after the President had been hit, in response to that shot-taken before Willis was ready to shee his ticture because the shot caused his automatic reaction. Note that in approximately the middle of the b-akground of this picture, Abraham Zapruder stands taking his movies. This means that at that point, Willis must be in Tapruder's pictures, close to the middle. The Commission estim tes the Willis picture was taken after Zapruder Frame 210 and before 225. It also says the shot could not have been fired before 210, which, in addition, if says is the first time the shot could have been fired by Oswald in the sixth-floor TSBD window.

Now it happens that by a remarkable "coincidence" Frame 210 and those surrounding it was destroyed. Without acknowledging this, the Commission said it printed all the frames seristim. As you will see in the picture, the applicing at this point is quite amsteurish. There is no Frame 210 in the evidence. It goes from an altered 207 to a combination 208-212 called 212. The Commission was and has been totally silent about the destruction or climination of the frame at which it says the President could, for the first time, have been hit!

But if we take the pictures I send herewith and look at the left-hand edge of Frame 220, we can see Willis, just at the aprocket holes, beginning to walk into the atreet. In 202 this is more clear, and you can see the camera beginning to come down from his eye. He has, at this point, taken that picture. Here, all or in part, he is out of any copy, out of what is seen on projection. He has disappeared into he apsee between the aprocket holes at this point. My exemination of the slides made from the original, from which these pictures were printed, shows he is entirely out of any part of the Zapruder film at Frame 205. In short, this proves that the President was hit before the Commission says he was and at a time when Oswald could not have done it. I go into this at some length in Whitewash II, "Willis in His Own Name".

Total official silence has greated my exposure in WHITEWASH of the

destruction of these crucial frames of the film, sa well as my exposure in WWII of this destruction of the official fairy tele we have been fed as a substitute for the solution of the crime.

a reporter friend of mine on the Beltimore Sun bugged LIFE about this for me. He got an "expension", that the film was destroyed by accident and that led to the publicity that prompted LIFE to say they were "releasing" these frames. How they "released" you will find at the beginning of Photographic Whitewash, beginning on page 14 (not that I do not recommend the few pages before that). On page 19 you will find a direct quotation from J. Edgar Hoover answering charges he said had been made, madeing by me, but actually not yet made-included for the first time in WMII not then wrinted-in fact, my printer didn't have a copy at that time (if you'd care to enlarge on our conversation on bugging). And on p. 23 you will read my unanswered request of LIFE for copies of the "released" frames and the hitherto secret AP attachment to its copies, "For release only if force! to", and that day has not yet come."

This is our constitutional guarantee of freedom of the press, 1960s -style!

Now for your cell. Just about everything in it is important, and fill explain why. It is evidence.

Throughout the country I now have responsible people who have read my books, gotten the Commission's 26 volumes, and asked what they can do to help. One man in Caneda, as a consequence, has undertaken to make exhibits on these three things for me: all references to arrests (there were at least 10, suppressed by the Commission, before (sweld was arrested), of venicles (and here certain cars make repeated appearances), and of weapons. If the incident of the two men and the paper-wrapped rilles can be given me in detail, with no public reference of any names, we can, perhaps, edd materially to what we know. For the future, this infommation also is vital. If there is now an official record of it, most of the essential trings should be recorded (since the FBI did not make that record). Of Mark Arm Cauthur.

The letter from the women in Mexico relates to a number of things possibly involving Mexico and Mexicans. In confidence, I would like to have her letter. I will not disclose her name. Let me tell you a few of the newer things I have learned about Mexicans in this: Osweld was seen with a Mexican, described by Dean Andrews as a men who could go to "fist city". I have several witnesses never called by the Commission and, to the best of my knowledge, never interviewed by the FBI, who saw O regularly in N.O. with a men who was or who was thought to be a Mexican newspaperman. A Mexican restaurant figures in another new part. West-cosst "inuteman involvement with an officer in Mexican intelligence is still another. And there are more. So, everything you can put on paper, including an account of the prixx pistollaros, could be very important. Only specify what you want confidential, and I will not give that even to Garrison. But we really must know these things if we are ever to establish truth and come as close as possible to solving the crime. One other Mexican espect that may be important has to do with gun-running.

Allan, what people will do to help is still inspirational. Almost two years ago I got a phone call from a woman who told me of knowing some of the characters in this story. That she then said then seemed for out. Yet I was impressed by her sincereity and reasonableness. Clearly, she was an educated woman and from her language, one of culture. I made notes and decided to learn a lot more before talking to her again. Six weeks ago, I decided the time had come. She moved and left no

new phone number. One day I decided how I might learn where she was. I tried it and it worked: She was surprised that I had found her (I never did ask why she made it difficult, knowing it had nothing to do with me). She was still willing to talk. I have four hours of her on tape, and it certainly helps piece such of that paried of which she knew together. She has come up with an enormous number of names, many of which we will have to systematically check out. In the course of time I will. I am having some done by friends, by mail, right now. It is within the realm of possibility that her knowledge may lead us to what is really vital.

Head I tried to talk to her at great length two years ago, I doubt if I would have believed her because I just don't know enough.

And I'm looking forward to the book.

Many thanks. Hope you can see your way to rutting everything also about and from Shand on paper. The not show him the reports I sent you, explain that with what he has already said he has subjected himself to the maximum jeoperdy, and that his maximum protection lies in making a record of everything also he knows, thus eliminating every rational reason for anyone wanting to herm him, to close his mouth. If he does this, I can, I think, arrange an additional incurance pelicy if he wants it. Assure him that what he says will be preserved in confidence, that his name will not be connected with it without his permission, and that there is much other information on the Manutemen that cannot be connected with him, so he need have no fear on that score.

Sincerely,

Harold Watsberg