

Dear Mark,

5/23/93

I delayed sending you the enclosed because as I remember it you said you'd be writing me further. I now do not recall about what. But seeing this is the accumulating stack on my desk, I'll mail it.

To the best of my knowledge Harry and Rick have been silent since Harry was due to hand his ms. in.


If you have any news, and the time, I'd like to know it.

As I told you I would, I made a complaint to the local states attorney's office some time ago. I gave it some of Harry's letters I believe constituted a felony under the Maryland code. In skimming them when I gave them to her the assistant commented that there seemed to be what violated federal law in them. I got the impression that she meant she'd be in touch with the postal inspectors. Perhaps more but I do not know. Because I have heard nothing in quite some time now I believe that they did not reject my complaint and that they have not reached any decision on it.

I am no expert on such matters but it seems to me that reaching no decision can come from apprehension over doing anything about a writer and publishing or can come from waiting to hear from either the Baltimore city police or the postal inspectors or both.

I hope your transfer went well and that you now have some freedom you can enjoy or use as you'd like.

Best,



Dear Mark,

4/23/93

Thanks for your 4/15 with 4/20 add.

In what you say about Buck and Box you refer to his limo pictures. Do you have copies of them? I have one or a couple of the FBI's. They were taken without regard for evidence.

You also refer to Harry's not realizing the value of what you gave him several times. Typical. He sees only what he wants to see and all else does not exist.

Bill Boxley is the name used by William Wood when he worked for Jim Garrison.

Lawrence is the name of a former Downtown Lincoln-Mercury salesman about whom some of those with the instinct for it wove untenable theories..

I'll mail this after getting the longer letter you said you'll write soon.

Best,

I suppose that Robert used the threatening message Harry left on his answering machine in depositing <sup>ng</sup> Harry. I presume also that if he has not yet deposited Harry he'll want to hold that until after he does. However, I'd like to have a dub of that and of the threat he left on Lifton's machine for the prosecutor when I see her. *or transcripts*

No point in my writing Robert: he never responds.

Perhaps sometime when you are talking to him you might ask him? Thanks.

I do not doubt what you said about Eileen. I asked because a letter I got says she and her crew left bugs behind.

Another letter he wrote alleges that a Melissa was in some way defamed by Mary. Is a Melissa working for him? I never heard of either before, as critics.

A tape of 9/92, before he went really ape, gives Harry's <sup>W</sup>vice in making a modest and unspecified threat of making "legal" trouble. This is what reminded me of the messages he left on Robert's, Lifton's and Marrs' answering machines. Lifton would not respond if I asked him and I do not even have Marrs' address. However, I think he also would not respond because of what I've said about those theorizing conspiracies and knowing and caring nothing about fact.

Hope your transfer went well and that you are now free.

If I did not remember the name of the man Alfred I. used when we spoke it was Jake Hill. Landscape gardener of Blackbird, DE. And another story came to mind. I do not know whose son this was, but one met and married a whore in Hawaii. She filed suit in Wilmington, not reported locally. The Phila. Records, as I now recall, carried a story in its bulldog edition and almost immediately got a threat from Lit Brotherhood to withdraw the advertising if it did not drop the story. *(then it was moved to a Lit woman.)* As I recall it was delivered by a bank, and it may have been that the bank would withdraw credit. If Lit is not still in business, a large department store. ...The son of another left the Univ. of Virginia with some pals and took off in a sailboat and got lost. Rescued after some time and home, his mother turned her over her <sup>1</sup> ~~knave~~ and beat him with a brush and then put him in the tub to sober up. My source was

once removed from him: we both had the same girl friend. A fine young woman, by the way. Working-class family. He told her that whenever he'd had too much <sup>(mym)</sup> she spanked him and tubbed him. ...I heard but cannot confirm that Pierre was sued for alienation of affections by his chauffeur's wife. But I do know that Pierre was one who knew the cost of everything whether or not the value. He once pointed to a bend in a large pipe in the water system for his fountains and told me and a friend that it cost \$20,000. He then took us to the raised fountains control panel and told us to throw toggle switches he pointed out. As spouts of water went far into the air he told us how much each of those switch-throwings cost. One of his means of asserting influence was to treat the entire summer-school student body at the Univ. Delaware, Newark, largely teachers, to a fine evening at Longwood. He provided the buses and the meal was catered, one waiter to each table. It was in the roofed-over glass structure for tropical trees, etc. Holland was the caterer then. It happens that I was raised in the house Holland had bought for a son who preferred a Stutz Bearcat. So Holland sold the house to my father, who had a <sup>and vegetable</sup> fruit store. I delivered to the Holland home when I was a boy. Holland was then a fancy caterer.

Both suits in Wilmington. All three if Pierre was sued by his chauffeur.

As a reminder: what the papers Pierre did when he was before the Nye Senate munitions committee. Key names Charlie Gray, Don Hutchinson, Annie Laurie (?), C. Douglass Buck.

Resumed 4/25. Several of Harry's more recent letters indicate what I've feared, that the recognition his book is ~~xx~~ crap is getting through to him. He is more out of control, even less inhibited in his overt lying, lying to those he wrote the exact opposite and also attributing to them what he himself had said, etc. His megalomania is almost absent. And he has, as I was told, switched to me as the "ringleader" of the alleged campaign to get him or ruin his coming breaking of the case wide open. Such references as "Harold and his gangsters gangsters." He says that Eick was about to do something officially about us and added that he had "been provided with Washington lawyers for that purpose." Not further explained but if he has any Washington lawyers the firm must be Shyster, Shyster, Shyster and Hemlock to give any serious consideration to any of his nightmare for any "official" action it would seek. He repeats that you fed him all the bad information, which the person to whom he wrote said that seemed to be impossible. It also turns out that he has given bad information about Mary's alleged friendship with Rothermel or made it up because she and he never met. He also says that there is a deposit of Rothermel or Hunt records at Boston college he says he went over. And he says about Rothermel and me what a memo from Rothermel's files says is false! Nothing other than what he wants to be registered on him now, if ever. ... He claims to be "loosely tied" to an alleged network of former FBI SAs. Previously he referred only to Rothermel and another to me and to another he referred also to one John Currington, formerly a Hunt v.p. fired for thievery, if I recall correctly.... His references to you include that he paid you a "fortune," if I remember the word correctly, and

3

that you "betrayed" him last winter. He says that he did something to "test" you and the you fell into his "trap," a word he uses often under such circumstances. I think he used it this time. If not he implied it. ...Referring to the police IA investigation, he said, using the wrong word, that they got the highest report "to" it. In context he meant in it or from it. Neither of which is possible....Or, as you can see, crazy sick!

And this, as I've been saying all along, is when he can be more dangerous, when it all comes apart on him.

While it cannot be disputed that he is "money in the bank for his publisher," it should be wondered whether for all that expectable money they would print the trash if he has turned his book in. It was due in 10 days ago.

I've rambled trying to recall to bring you up to date while awaiting friends who are a bit late.