

Mark Crouch
426 Singles Ave.,
New Castle, DE 19720

4/15/93

Dear Mark,

Reur 4/12, principled position re letter to Mary. But did Harry write any of us in recent months in which he did not libel? If that was his letter of 3/2 to her he gave it to another from who when his machine was not working well I got a copy.

In it he says of me, "The letter Harold is circulating about me is a criminal libel, filled with knowingly false statements. ..."

I checked my file back to the first of the year. I was "circulating" nothing about him, to anyone. There is ^{only} an exchange of letters with Mark Zaid. His 1/6 reply says what is not true about this. I enclose that page. Whether he or his source was not truthful I do not know. I asked him to identify the source and he did not respond.

I am inclined to think that it could have been Gus Russo. He has a book contract and a co-author. No publisher goes more for assassination trash more than C & G. That would have had Russo in touch with C,G, and ^Gallen, the lawyer who would be in a contract.

And, conjecturing further, Zaid's silence could come from his being the co-author.

He lost his job and was looking for work and he has the time and perhaps more knowledge than Russo.

The ~~xxxx~~ Frontline program on which he is working is a profile of Oswald. On that he would have seen Mary, who had such interests.

Mary did not ruin Boxley at all. Or Lawrence. And what Harry says about Downtown Lincoln Mercury in this one is nothing to what he said elsewhere, in effect making out that Buck was connected with the assassination.

Bookstool says that Harry had no such relationship with him. But he did have one with Rothermel because he is the only possible source of some of Harry's ^Amisinformation on me. Rothermel ^{also} did that ^{my} years earlier with the FBI, from which I got those records.

Harry refers to an Eve along with Eileen.

He did involve you in much in some of his letters to others.

Any rational examination of his letters that I have leads to the conclusion that he has not been in contact with reality for some time.

Does Eileen know anything useful about Harry or have any letters by him?

Thanks and best,

Harold



April 12, 1993

Harold,

I just thought I'd jot some quick answers to your questions in your letter of 4/9. Eileen is Eileen Auck Law, a local PI who is an old friend. She occasionally does a radio show on WCHE. I tried to fix her and Harry up a few years ago...big mistake. I suspect he thinks Eileen is part of some conspiracy that I'm involved in because she met him once then would have nothing more to do with him.

I do not know who "Peter" is. I suspect it's a phony name for whomever wrote the report on Mary.

Harry quite frequently wrote me letters with names and information which make absolutely no sense to me.

I would feel better if you obtained Mary's letter from her or Peggy. Since I feel it's libelous in nature, I do not wish to be party to distributing it.

I believe Harry's belief that he was working "with the FBI" was an exaggeration of his relationship with Rookstole and Rothermel.

That very cryptic part about Harold, Pierre Anneberg ect I wholly do not understand. As I mentioned in my letter, Harry was of the belief that you were at one time employed by du Pont. I had discussed briefly with Harry, my Iran-Contra research involving a CIA managed airport at Summit Delaware which is owned by the du Ponts.

As for the width of Harry's investigation, of that I do not know. I have been told he assembled a large team in Dallas. That came both from him and from others, but I know of no such "team" in this part of the country.

I realized over the weekend that Harry may have been setting me up in those letters in December by mentioning many things, names ect..on which I have no background. Since I didn't wish to get involved in this I never asked him on the phone what or whom he was referring too.

As for Mary, I think it's a simple matter that Harry cannot comprehend the fact that someone may not have particularly liked JFK, yet still have a passion for the truth in this case. I know quite a few people who's politics are very anti-JFK yet they are compelled to resolve this case because the issue goes far beyond who JFK was.

Mark