

Ms. Millicent Cranor
630 W. 246 St., #921
New York, NY 10471

12/25/94

Dear Millicent,

Thanks for rushing the copies of your articles. The Wag^hnering Wounds was on top and I've sk^{ny}lmed it, intending to do that with all and return to them for a careful reading sometime when I'm testing. In accommodating a number of medical problems and my age I do lead what most others might believe is a convoly^yted life in trying to use what time remains for me perfecting the record for our history to the degree that is possible for me. So when I came to your saying that Carrica saw an anterior neck entry wound ^{or 4} and on 6 that Clark believed the head wound was tangential, I decided instead to find several things in Post Mortem, put scaps of paper in them to mark them for you and later today to wrap the book and send it in the morning by priority mail instead of insuring it.

If you should want to discuss any of this with me, and it has been years since except in what was necessary in NEVER AGAIN! since I've thought of the medical evidence, ^{and} please remember that to cope with sleep apnea and what it does to me and the usual prostate problem ¹ must be abed by six because any time beginning about midnight I'm wide awake and cannot return to sleep.

I do not recall where in Post Mortem ¹ point out that dust-like particles are impossible from that kind of bullet and that their dispersal in the head is consistent with front^t entry.

In considering whether the back of the head could have been blown out, I urge you to get a good cassette of the Zapruder film, and examine it closely shortly after frame 334. In Whitewash II I caught the Commission printing nine fewer slides than were made for it by LIFE. The Archives then placed those nine in the viewing tray and I examined them ¹carefully with a screen about five feet wide. The back of the head is intact, there is no visible blood on it or the short collar and jacket^t and I believe it would have been impossible for that to have been doctored or toyed with in any way.

On neck front entry, at the press conference as soon as JFK was pronounced dead, in response to questions Perry said three three times that the neck wound was from the front. Each time Clark confirmed him. In Whitewash I I quote Clark as saying that Perry asked him to take the lead in the next day's press conference because Humes had told him what the autopsy would say, and he did not want to respond to more questions. Humes lied about calling Perry only once and that on Saturday. ¹ I have more proof of this now in NEVER AGAIN! And as you'll see from what I've marked in Post Mortem that wound was above the shirt collar. ¹ As the picture of it^t confirms. ...We do not have a computer so you can mail us a check. Thanks and best wishes, Harold Weisberg

Harold