## HAROLD WEISBERG

7627 Old Receiver Rd. Frederick, MD 21702

8/9/95

or. Johnnie Coghran 4929 Wilshire Blvd., Los angeles, CA 90010

Dear Hr. Cochran,

Apropos of whether Mark Fuhrman framed O.J. Simpson, two things struck me early in this case that you may yet get to but until now have make no mention of. Perhaps because - have spent three intense decades investigating and writing avout the two major framewaps of my lifetime I may be overly suspicious but I hope somone in your office will consider them.

I believe it is impossible for a man to have scaled that overgrown fence at the rear of the Simpson property without disturbing any of the efficiation I saw on TV. I was suspicious of that after the Kate story of those three hard and rapid knocks on the wall that could not possibly have been made by a falling man. "ho would have done even more damage to the vegitation if he was falling. Those knock are, however, consistent with knocks to attract attention. Because of my suspicion I paid close attention to the pictures of that vegitation on TV. They should be available to you and perhaps experts on these areas of inquiry may also be.

"Frame-Up" is the original title of my book on the hing assassination. In an effort to make the system work I became Ray s investigator and got him other than extremist counsel. The evidence at the evidentiary hearing rebutted all the allegations made against Ray, which is to say about the crime. The judge, incredibly, held that guilt or incocence were heaterial to what was before him, whether or not Ray had had the effective assistance of counsel. Who could have produced more than 1 did to exculpate.

The officafil allegations and leals before the jury was selected in the Simpson case parallel those in the JFK and King cases. I offered to Mcite duplications from memory to the AP, it referred the offer to the reporter on the case and she had no interest. The similarity in this pattern are startling and in both cases were proven.

Perjury by the FBI was so commonpolice in my FOIA lawsuits I alleged it to FBI agents I named, making myself subject to the penalties of perjury if I lied, and there was not a peep. Other than once to say - could do that indefinitely because I knew more about the JFK ssassination and its investigations than anyone Workingnfor the FBI! A defense against proven perjury. Which the court accepted!

I hope someone on yout staff will consider and look into what I suggest above that relates to Fuhrman's planting of that glove. Best wishes, /40000 LUSCY Harold Weisberg Please excuse my typing. It cannot be botter.