

12/28/68

Dear Bob,

As I told you a few minutes ago, Paul has sent me his file of painstaking correspondence with the government on the Quigley pamphlet. Based on it I have prepared this very rough draft, the purpose of which is to get a few ideas on paper and to inform you and Alcock.

Aside from their suppression, we have here exactly what this memo says, the Department of Justice that failed to conduct the requisite investigation when it would have had meaning pretending now to be conducting one, when it is denied that meaning, as a vehicle for denying the evidence to those who are entitled to it. They are conducting an investigation, at the wrong time, or they are violating the law and pretending to have the countenance of law in so doing.

I am sending a copy to Paul, in case he has some suggestions. I will be going over this again. It can be separate or part of the Clark memo I will be doing.

I also have and will bring the original copies of the applicable 544 reports and copies of Paul's correspondence in this matter. Clancey has several pictures of the building and the area.

Would it be nice to have an official of the Department of Justice on the witness stand explaining why he waited until four years after the "decision" to conduct the investigation, to say why he is now conducting it (against you, to exculpate Oswald, frustrate government critics? He will hardly say to learn who did kill the President.).

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg