

2/13/67

Dear Steve,

Your letter and the enclosed Bruin story are fascinating. How Liebeler could be allowed to get away with these continuing lies astounds me. It is important, if it is at all possible, that I have a transcript of his remarks, verbatim. He will not always be able to run away, and I would love to confront him with them one at a time. When Bill sent me a tape of Bill's appearance on Lomax, I sat down and stopped the tape at each lie and misrepresentation and noted it, in the sequence of the tape. Both my tape machines are now broken. Although they are going back to the factory and will be repaired, and although Bill will, when he can, send me a tape, the transcripts if more useable and I can use it immediately.

Also, can the Bruin supply the print of the Liebeler picture. With his new friendship with Larry Schiller and Schiller's skill in photography, I'd like some experts to study this one.

I knew Liebeler and Lane were to debate and that the debate had taken place. It is I also know Liebeler will not debate. He will, eventually, when he can no longer avoid it. The longer he puts it off, the more I hate and the more he'll regret it.

There is a Ted Dodd, Austin, Texas, I have referred to you. Some of the students there have read WHITEWASH and are getting interested.

I'm a bit late on III, partly because of the time taken by appearances and my continuing work. It will now be a larger book, and the new material I have is terrific!

Regards to everyone, and please keep me posted.

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg

CITIZENS' COMMITTEE OF INQUIRY

BOX 150

380 WESTWOOD PLAZA

Los Angeles, California 90024

STEVEN J. BURTON
GARY D. KUKES
Co-Chairmen

—
JACKIE PILCHER
Secretary

February 9, 1967

Dear Mr. Weisberg,

As you may or may not know, Mark Lane debated your friend and mine, Wesley Liebeler at UCLA late last month. In a press conference that immediately preceded the debate, Liebeler stated that he had conclusive proof that no shots came from the grassy knoll. In the debate, however, he brought up only two points:

- 1) A physicist that he had talked to says that the head moves forward in the split second after 313 and therefore the shot came from behind. He did not even refer to the violent backward movement in 314-316. I guess that this is his "conclusive proof" even if it is incorrect and contradicts UCLA Prof. Riddle as quoted in Dave Lifton's article.
- 2) He very dramatically unveiled a five foot photo of one of his students that allegedly duplicates Ex. 133A. He did not provide a copy of the Oswald photo because, "It was only shown to illustrate a point." You can easily see from the enclosed Daily Bruin article, through no help from the garbled commentary, that it does no such thing. Ray Marcus is misquoted where the print is circled.

A transcript of the debate may be prepared, and if it is I will see to it that you get a copy. My personal impressions were that;
1) Lane was not brilliant. 2) There was relatively little direct confrontation on the issues. 3) Lane won. He showed that the rifle, rifleman and bullet #399 were incapable while Liebeler didn't even reiterate the Commissions lies.

Steve