

Christian

Paul Hoch
2599 LeConte Ave.,
Berkeley, Ca. 94709

8/7/76

Dear Paul,

Thanks for all the work and good thinking in your 8/3/76 re: that unusual "incident report" relating to me and from the CIA.

If you have ways of doing it at the Radlab I think it would be a good idea to see if that form is one they need.

I had not given John Christian a thought. He's capable of anything. Burton was then 18-19 years old and at NSA. Gary also is a possibility I had not considered. And he was involved in an "incident" that cost him an eye.

I had forgotten how free I had been with copies and how free some of those like Bud have turned out to be with almost anything.

The report includes enough of the content to make me wonder if it is based on overheard conversation only.

While my recollection also is unclear I do believe that there was a mention of draft-dodgers and Canada from California.

How would your thinking go if you took the part about printing in small quantities to be an error? It is, of course, because there never was any printing.

What do you think about your asking the Radlab and CIA is that report refers to you whose names are masked? With CIA I'd ask Gene Wilson, FOIA/PA Coordinator under the Privacy Act. You could tell him that as I tried to figure up it out I asked you.

The Zodiac story was not based on any release and I had not release. Jack Newhall spoke to me by phone. Others also did. I'm sure he did not use all I said.

On the letter substitutes for names, I'm inclined to agree with you. I think it is likely that A is Ricardo Davis or one of his group. The unnamed agent is Kowanko. The original form of the kickback nonsense is a Pearson-Anderson column during the Garrison period. They did not disclose their source. In private they have said it was a Washington lawyer. Does that fit Edward P. Morgan, who I understand now represents some of the FBI's official crooks? You know the Mexico City end. As I recall the guy they are most likely talking about is Ugarde Alvarado.

I did not recall the NYT 8/28/64 story about Guinn going over the paraffin tests for the WC. I'd appreciate three copies of this if you can go to that trouble, one for Jim, one for me and one for a reporter I tried to interest in this case time ago. He retains the interest but was able to do nothing. I think Guinn then was with Gulf-Atomic in San Diego. In this connection you may recall the Abersold letter in PM. This might also be helpful in the spectre case.

We stay overly busy on the litigation and preparations for more. I think it is promising on all fronts and all counts.

Thanks for the time, trouble and help/

Sincerely,