in Iraq Loan Case Criticizes Attorney General

Parr's Letter Rejecting Call for Independent Counsel Is Said to Contain 'Untrue' Assertions

By R. Jeffrey Smith Washington Post Staff Writer

oyer a case involving illicit bank Shoob said only appointment of an Barr's letter to Congress on Mon-General William P. Barr yesterday ndependent counsel could set the endent counsel contained several my rejecting a request for an indeor deciding not to seek an indepenquans to Iraq criticized Attorney ent investigation of how the Bush tlanta-based court proceedings. otally untrue" assertions about the Judge Marvin H. Shoob said The federal judge who presided ministration handled the case.

out a last-minute deal which, he aid, avoided a public statement by ecord straight. **/ashington interfered with the** hoob said in a telephone interview ave never seen anything that has rosecution of the case and worked exed by indications that officials in ised in June, Shoob said he is per-In 45 years practicing law, "I Elaborating on concerns he first many unanswered questions,"

347 initial counts of conspiracy and guilty plea by BNL branch manager lion were guaranteed by the Bush fice, acting with advice from the administration as part of a high-level effort to bolster U.S.-Iraqi rela-Iraq by the Atlanta branch of the Italian-owned Banca Nazionale del Lavoro (BNL), of which \$1.25 bit-\$5 billion in unauthorized loans to une when the U.S. Attorney's of-Lions. ustice Department, accepted a hristopher Drogoul to 60 of the The case involved an estimated The case was concluded in

be brought before Shoob. are charged in the case, they may ment officials say that if any others retains jurisdiction. Justice Departdling of a case over which the judge criticism of the government's haneral judge to express such vigorous It is considered unusual for a fed-

ry Committee, relying in part on Shoob's criticism of the plea bar-gain, petitioned Barr to appoint someone who could independently examine "irregularities" and possi-Democrats on the House Judicia-

key defendant whose attorneys

had threatened to implicate govern- ble criminal violations by U.S. of-ment officials in the illicit loans. ficials. But Barr, in denying the re-

shown to be incorrect."

case, he said. Carter, said he is a Democrat. "But bench in 1979 by President Jimmy ness litigator appointed to the not motivated by politics in I'm not active politically at all" and Shoob, a 69-year-old former busi-

Barr "is right that I don't under He responded yesterday that

understand why the U.S. attorney's He said he did not, for example 'facts' cited by Judge Shoob to ex-plain his conclusion have been quest, wrote to the committee that almost without exception ... the

office waited until February 1991

case, more than a year after Gayle to empanel a grand jury in the BNL

the case, had drafted an indictment McKenzie, the chief prosecutor in

tions being conducted by U.S. attorney's offices," Barr said. ment's headquarters to assist in and simply is not a crime for the departto silence Drogoul by dismissing most of the charges against him. "It leading to Drogoul's plea bargain and denounced as "completely unfounded" Shoob's allegation that the Shoob's account of the negotiations review investigations and prosecu-Justice Department somehow tried Barr specifically challenged

> zie's workload and partly to uncer-tainties about the culpability of ofprompted by Washington's desire to avoid disrupting U.S.-Iraqi relations before Iraq's invasion of Kuwait in ficers at BNL's headquarters in the delay was due partly to McKento Congress in support of Barr's August 1990. But the Justice Deletter and released yesterday, said partment, in a lengthy report given recently that and faxed it to Washington. Democratic legislators have said the delay

amounted to political interference, the Justice Department report While some legislators have sugsions" to discuss the case in 1989. call the assistant U.S. attorney stand "why the White House would states that the official involved was gested that the calls may have 'only seeking information" and not [McKenzie] on at least two occa-Shoob said he does not under-

See JUDGE, A7, Col. 1

Judge Assails Handling Of Iraqi Bank-Loan Case

JUDGE, From A6

acting at the behest of other White

Shoob said he also questions why the government did not move more swiftly to investigate U.S. companies that supplied goods to Iraq with loans from BNL; why certain BNL officials were not included in the eventual indictment of Drogoul and various Iraqis; and why it required his prompting to make the government revoke Drogoul's bond, requiring Drogoul's continued confinement before sentencing. Shoob said he had to point out that Drogoul had "substantial sums on deposit in Europe" and might flee.

A Justice Department official dedined comment on these criticisms last night, and neither McKenzie for her supervisor in the U.S. attorney's office returned phone calls.

Shoob's principal concern, he said, remains the plea bargain offered to Drogoul on May 29, which Drogoul accepted several days lat-

It was this agreement, Shoob sall, that produced an "arrangement in my opinion, that Drogoul was make no statement" about the lase in open court. The plea agreement instead required that Dragoul submit to daily questioning about BNL's activities by the U.S. attemey's office, which Shoob said is now underway.

But Shoob said the agreement was not as favorable to the government as a proposal several days earlier by Drogoul's attorney, Sheila Pyler, that called for Drogoul to pland guilty to all 347 counts and "name a full disclosure" to the court in public statement about the case expected to last five to six hours.

Thile Justice Department offi-

cials maintain that they secured Drogoul's assistance in their continuing investigation of potential wrongdoing only by dropping most of the counts against Drogoul, Shoob said it is his understanding that the arrangement put forward by Drogoul's attorney—and not accepted by the government—also would have entailed Drogoul's "full cooperation."

On several points, Shoob's account of the negotiations leading up to the deal with Drogoul is at odds with the version set out in Barr's letter and the detailed Justice Department report. The differences could not be resolved yesterday because Drogoul could not be reached and Tyler, who works at the federal public defender's office in Atlanta, did not return a phone call.

Shoob said that McKenzie's deputy, Randy Chartash, offered Drogoul the plea bargain on a day when McKenzie was out of town. In a June letter to the House Judiciary Committee, he attached additional importance to the apparent fact that Chartash had "joined the case only in February ... and [had] recently arrived from the Department of Justice in Washington."

Shoob acknowledged yesterday that he was wrong about Chartash's career path. Chartash worked for the Justice Department from 1981 to 1986 and joined McKenzie's office in 1991. But Shoob maintained that "it is highly unusual for an assistant to give away a plea bargain" and said McKenzie told him in chambers that she was not aware of Chartash's proposal until three days later, "after the bargain was made."

Justice Department officials in Washington said last night the deal offered by Chartash was identical to one that McKenzie had proposed on May 16.