

APRIL 2008
CIGAR AFICIONADO MAGAZINE

Dear Marvin,

Crowing over his contribution to toppling Guatemala's democracy in 1954, and blaming JFK for the CIA's rout at the Bay of Pigs, Howard Hunt (December 2000 issue) proves his moral compass is as skewed as is his judgment.

Ironically, Hunt's proud product, a "liberated" Guatemala, provides perhaps the best contrast for the relative merits of emancipation by U.S.-directed anti-Communists versus liberation by his bete noir, Fidel Castro. Although it is horrid and indefensible, Fidel's record shines compared to the succession of U.S.-approved totalitarian dictators who have tortured Guatemala, killing an estimated 200,000 since Hunt's "victory" in '54. Both the United Nations and the Catholic Church credit the Guatemalan government with over 90 percent of those murders (compared to a paltry 3 percent for the rebels), and the U.N. has concluded that the United States shares the blame.

And what rubbish is his claim that, but for JFK's refusal to approve additional air strikes, the Bay of Pigs invasion "would've been a *fete champetre*." The recently declassified 38-year-old report by the CIA's own inspector general, Lyman Kirkpatrick, reveals that, after 300 CIA interviews, he came to the same conclusion as other credible authorities: that the problem wasn't insufficient air cover. It was the CIA's own "bad planning," "poor" staffing, faulty intelligence, "fragmentation of authority," mistreatment of the exile forces, the "failure [of the CIA] to advise the President that success had become dubious," etc. What's worse is that the CIA charged ahead even after it learned that the Russians had advance knowledge from a leak of the exact date of the planned invasion, a fact the agency apparently kept from JFK.

Having failed to succeed in smearing JFK by falsifying cables to link him to the assassination of South Vietnamese President Ngo Dinh Diem, the patriot Hunt is at it again—falsifying history to blame Kennedy for the agency's "perfect failure" at the Bay of Pigs. It's likely that not only would Cuba and Guatemala be better off today without the amoral derring-do of patriotic scoundrels like E. Howard Hunt, but so would the United States.

Gary L. Aguilar
San Francisco, California

Dear Marvin,

David Giammarco's article on E. Howard Hunt was superb. It's about time a magazine sheds some light on



Please send your letters to Out of the Humidor, Park Avenue South, New York, NY 10016; or e-mail: letters@cigaraficionado.com. Be sure to include your name and address.

the truth. The brief mention of Hunt vs. *Liberty Lobby*, that little trial down in Florida, is a rarity among news reports or magazine articles. Yet it is one of the most compelling and concrete cases implicating Mr. Hunt and his cronies at the CIA for the murder of JFK. Lawyer Mark Lane's book on the trial, *Plausible Denial*, is without a doubt the best case against Hunt. Why doesn't anyone ever talk about this?! So glad you did!

As for Hunt's interview, we see a truly bitter man. It's amazing that he calls himself a patriot when in reality he's a right-wing fascist. How can he justify his black-bag jobs? The man shows no remorse or contrition. Does he realize what he's saying in this article?! I love the part where he says he should have taken out the guard during the Watergate break-in! Then he would have been a murderer instead of a two-bit thief. This guy's out there. Great piece. Mr. Giammarco got him to really open up. I found myself feeling a bit sorry for the man.

Hunt should remember this Kennedy thing is not going to go away as long as gutsy reporters and investigators keep chipping away at it. Hunt had the motive for wanting JFK out of the way. If you're such a patriot, how about the truth about JFK, Mr. Hunt?

Thank you, Marvin and Mr. Giammarco, for doing your patriotic duty in exposing this man for

E. Howard Hunt should remember that the JFK assassination controversy is not going to go away.

Drew Johnson
Studio City,
California

Gary L. Aguilar, MD
909 Hyde St. #530
San Francisco, California 94109
415-775-3392
FAX 415-563-4453
garyag@ix.netcom.com

30 November 2000

Out of the Humidor
Cigar Aficionado
387 Park Avenue South
New York, New York 10016

Dear Marvin,

Crowing over his contribution to toppling Guatemala's democracy in 1954, and blaming JFK for the CIA's rout at the Bay of Pigs, Howard Hunt proves his moral compass is as skewed as is his judgment.¹

Ironically, Hunt's proud product, "liberated" Guatemala, provides perhaps the best contrast for the relative merits of emancipation by U.S.-directed anticommunists versus liberation by his bete noir, Castro. Although it is horrid and indefensible, Fidel's record shines compared to the succession of U.S.-approved, totalitarian dictators that have tortured Guatemala, killing an estimated 200,000 since Hunt's "victory" in '54. Both the U.N. and the Catholic Church credit the government with over 90% of the murdering² (compared to a paltry 3% for the rebels), and the U.N. concluded the U.S. shares the blame.³

And what rubbish is his claim that, but for JFK's refusal to approve additional air strikes, the Bay of Pigs invasion "would've been a fete champetre." The recently declassified, 38-year old, report by the CIA's own Inspector General, Lyman Kirkpatrick, reveals that after 300 CIA interviews, he came to the same conclusion as other credible authorities:^{4,5} the problem wasn't insufficient air cover, it was the CIA's own "bad planning," "poor" staffing, faulty intelligence, "fragmentation of authority," mistreatment of the exile forces, the "failure [of the CIA] to advise the President that success had become dubious," etc.⁶ What's worse is that the CIA charged ahead even *after* it learned that the Russians had advanced knowledge from a leak of the exact date of the planned invasion, a fact the Agency apparently kept from JFK.⁷

Having failed to succeed in smearing JFK by falsifying cables to link him to Diem's death, the Patriot Hunt is at it again – falsifying history to blame Kennedy for The Agency's "perfect failure" at the Bay of Pigs. It's likely that not only would Cuba and Guatemala be better off today without the amoral daring-do's of patriotic scoundrels like E. Howard Hunt, but so would the United States.

Sincerely,

Gary L. Aguilar

¹ David Giammarco. **The World's Most Famous Spy**. *Cigar Aficionado*, December, 2000, p. 84 ff.

² **Guatemala – Memory of Silence: Report of the Commission for Historical Clarification: Conclusions and Recommendations.** Published by the United Nation’s “Commission for Historical

Clarification,” 1997. [On page 20 of the report, available by request, it reads, “(Guatemalan) state forces and related paramilitary groups were responsible for 93% of the violations documented by the CEH, including 92% of the arbitrary executions and 91% of forced disappearances.” On page 21, by contrast, it reported that “Among the cases registered by the CEH, insurgent actions produced 3% of the human rights violations and acts of violence perpetrated against men, women and children”]

Reference was made to this report, which was given to me in Guatemala by a U.N. executive, in “The Atrocity Findings: ‘The Historic Facts Must Be Recognized,’” an unbylined story in the *New York Times* on February 25, 1999, and “Guatemalan Army Waged ‘Genocide,’ New Report Finds,” by Mireya Navarro in *New York Times*, 2/25/99, p. 1-A, and in a *Washington Post* story, “War Study Censures Military in Guatemala,” by Douglas Farah, 2/26/99, p. A-19.

The investigation by the Catholic Church, whose findings closely mirrored those of the CEH, are discussed in: “Murder Comes For the Bishop,” by Francisco Goldman, *The New Yorker*, 3/15/99, p. 60 ff.

³ The role of the U.S., also explored in the U.N. report, was covered in an article published by the *Chicago Tribune* on 2/26/99, “Commission: U.S. Contributed to War in Guatemala,” by Paul de la Garza.

⁴ Wyden, Peter. **Bay of Pigs – The Untold Story.** New York: *Simon and Schuster*, 1979, p. 326: “Bissell’s former student, Mac Bundy, agreed in 1977 that the air strength was not only too small; it was much too small, but he pointed out that that [CIA] planners said nothing about it [to JFK]. ‘They didn’t say, Look, you’ve got to double your bet, he observed. He felt that the cancelled [air] strike was only ‘a marginal adjustment.’”

⁵ Peter Kornbluh, ed. **Bay of Pigs Declassified – The Secret CIA Report on the Invasion of Cuba.** New York: *New Press*, 1998, p. 12.

⁶ Peter Kornbluh, ed. **Bay of Pigs Declassified – The Secret CIA Report on the Invasion of Cuba.** New York: *New Press*, 1998, p. 11.

⁷ Vernon Loeb, “Soviets Knew Date of Cuban Attack,” *Washington Post*, 4/29/2000, p. A-4. [The National Security Archives’ Peter Kornbluh, who extracted the files from the CIA, was quoted in this article to say, “there is no indication that that [anyone] at the CIA warned President Kennedy of the leak before the invasion took place.”]