

These came from Document Addendum to the Warren Report..David Lifton's book which I am sure that you have. It is a must, one of my most important books.

Summary of SELECTION OF WITNESSES,
AND

Meeting of Commission

HOW THE ASSASSINATION WAS TO BE "investigated"

~~Commission~~ CIA

Archives of first meeting;
Dec. 16, 1963

57

At the first meeting, it was brought up by Warren that the State of Texas intended to have its Board of Inquiry into the assassination

Attorney General of Texas, Waggoner Carr, invited his attorney Leon Jaworski, to BE THE LIASON BETWEEN THE STATE OF TEXAS AND THE WARREN COMMISSION ONCE DECISION ON WASHINGTON COMMISSION.

- a) Houston CIA Director to represent State of Texas at hearings before Commission.
- b) Attorney for Attorney General of Texas also attorney for Houston CIA foundation.

Being as almost all witnesses came through Houston, and DeMohr, relationship to funds and assignments from Houston, interesting to know the ties between Dallas and Houston.

3

Original Meeting of Commission, stated that BOB STORY, and Leon Jaworski TO SERVE TEXAS BOARD OF INQUIRY.

CIA represented upon decision of which witnesses, what to presented in evidence.

Waggoner Carr, with attorney Jaworski, and Story, to work with Commission

Texas Board of Inquiry could PICK ITS OWN JURISDICTIONAL OFFICER.

" " " CAN MAINTAIN ORDER AND ANSWER QUESTIONS
THE ATTORNEY GENERAL, WAGGONER CARR, with Jaworski, DETERMINES AND ASKS
QUESTIONS AND EXAMINES WITNESSES.

Attorney General SELECTS ANY JUDGE OR JUSTICE OF PEACE TO CONDUCT
INVESTIGATION.

SELECTION OF WITNESSES

Warren's objection to the TEXAS BOARD OF INQUIRY WAS THAT "IF THERE WERE IRRESPONSIBLE WITNESSES BEFORE THE COMMISSION AND GAVE SENSATIONAL TESTIMONY TO THE PUBLIC, NO FINDINGS THAT THEY ARE UNTRUE OR ANYTHING, WE WOULD HAVE THE JOB OF ALLYING THE PUBLIC FEARS FROM THAT KIND OF TESTIMONY. I THINK IT WOULD BE VERY BAD INDEED.

CIA and Commission
Jaworski

CIA, Commission
Attorney General of UTexas

Minutes of Meeting, Archives book
Dec 16, 1963
page 57

57 Dec.16,1963

(Waggoner Carr)
Warren: Chairman; "I HAD PROPOSED to talk to the Attorney General this
after noon, after our meeting, and invite him and his attorney,
A MAN BY THE NAME OF JAWORSKI, so bears an excellent reputation
in his State for all purposes, and ask him to come down here and
visit with us tomorrow and talk about the matter of LIAISON
BETWEEN THE STATE OF TEXAS AND OUR COMMISSION.

From what I have learned from the Attorney General, and from Mr.
Jaworski I am satisfied that it will be forthcoming and we
can do business with them on a very fine plane.

First meeting of Commission Dec. 5, 1963

5. First meeting of Commission ; Dec. 5, 1963

Warren: The President, I'm sure, is right in trying to make sure public
given all the facts.
Our job is essentially for the evaluation of evidence..

I heard THE STATE OF TEXAS INTENDED TO HAVE A COURT OF INQUIRY.
The DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE HAD CONVERSATIONS WITH THEM, AND THEY have
shown inclination to cooperate with us.

They have two very fine men. They HAVE ENGAGED BOB STORY, who everyone
in the legal profession knows as an outstanding man, AND THEN A
GENTLEMAN BY THE NAME OF JAWORSKI/

Katzenback; LEON JAWORSKI FROM HOUSTON

6) The only point with respect to the TEXAS BOARD OF INQUIRY, LEON
JAWORSKI HAS AGREED TO SERVE.

Warren; Mr. Jaworski has, agreed that PERHAPS they can withhold their
hearings on this Board of Inquiry and IN THE MEANTIME COOPERATE
WITH US. To have one investigation would be a great deal more
helpful.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, UNDER THE ATTORNEY GENERAL, WAGGONER CARR,
INTENDED TO HAVE HIS OWN BOARD OF INQUIRY. JAWORSKI IS HIS ATTORNEY,
FROM HOUSTON, AND INTENDED TO WORK WITH BOB STORY.

8 Katzenback. THIS BOARD OF INQUIRY CAN PICK HIS JURISDICTIONAL OFFICER,
Who is there to maintain order and answer questions.
THE PERSON CONDUCTING THE INQUIRY, THE ATTORNEY GENERAL (with CIA
attorney Jaworski) WOULD DETERMINE, WOULD ASK THE QUESTIONS AND
EXAMINE THE WITNESSES.

THE ATTORNEY GENERAL CAN ALSO SELECT ANY JUDGE OR JUSTICE OF THE
PEACE THE STATE WANTS TO CONDUCT THE INVESTIGATION.

WARREN'S OBJECTION TO TEXAS BOARD OF INQUIRY WAS THAT: I would be happy if they
withheld such hearings because AIF THERE WERE SOME IRRESPONSIBLE
WITNESSES BEFORE THE COMMISSION AND GAVE SENSATION TESTIMONY TO THE
PUBLIC, NO FINDINGS THAT THEY ARE UNTRUE OR ANYTHING, AND WE WOULD
HAVE THE JOB OF ALLAYING THE PUBLIC FEARS FROM THAT KIND OF TESTIMONY
I THINK IT WOULD BE VERY BAD INDEED.