## Richard N. Haass

## on't Hobble Intelligence Gathering

Reporters Is a Stupid Idea." ing for relaxing rules governing covert action. An article by Dan Schorr fol-A news story in The Post on Jan. 20 noted that a panel sponsored by the Council on Foreign Relations was callheadline: "Get Smart: Spies Posing as lowed on Feb. 4, in Outlook, under the

by the original story as blue-ribbon suggest such changes? The answer is simple. They may be necessary to avoid limiting the effectiveness of an increasingly valuable foreign policy Why, then, would a panel described

on intentions and capabilities. Such by reconnaissance or eavesdropping. Human intelligence can also shed light where decision-making and information plement other sources and on occasion be the sole source of information. This unconventional weapons programs. tracking the activities of terrorists and knowledge is likely to prove crucial in targeted activity is not easily captured are limited to a few and where the tends to be true in closed societies, intelligence. Such intelligence can comthe CIA—is the collection of human clandestine services-mostly found in The most important function of the

terrorist action, intercept some technology or equipment that would help a take such tasks—be they to frustrate a government. The capability to under important to hide the hand of the U.S. another country in which it is deemed out of operations to influence events in services is covert action, the carrying A second task for the clandestine

> device, or assist a group trying to overthrow a hostile leadership-conrogue state or group build a nuclear instrument. stitutes an important national security

even prohibit preemptive attacks on terrorists or support for individuals Rules that work to discourage or

## Taking Exception

inhibit efforts to work with non-Ameri-cans in trying to overthrow unfriendly to engage in or conspire to engage in political assassination. The effect is to ated by his successors, together with repealed. Executive orders first issued hoping to bring about a change of regime in a hostile country should be military force. costly policies, including the use of the and associated legislation, make it a crime in 1976 by President Ford and reiterdangerous governments-often best chance to avoid far more

members of the clergy and Peace for hiding and protecting those in-volved in clandestine activities. For ability to perform their functions. dize their safety or complicate their Corps volunteers so as not to jeoparthat prevent it from using journalists, years, the CIA has followed directives involves the use of nonofficial "covers" A second area for possible reform

the use of such covers is a luxury the United States can still afford. In the The question is whether precluding

> a government official. cartels, criminal organizations and rogue states. Learning about and dealwhen the target is someone other than sies is impossible in the absence of posting intelligence officers to embasing with these threats is often achieved threats are posed by terrorists, drug diplomatic relations, and of little use best by clandestine means. Moreover,

volunteer is a spy, especially as other countries place no such limits on themnalist or clergyman or Peace Corps convince others that no American jourdubious. Even with the ban, nothing the U.S. government can do or say can enough. The claim that individuals will be tainted or even endangered if the selves. ban on using them as spies is lifted is and academics, but they may not be making greater use of businessmen Some slack can be picked up by

man rights violations. worse, of placing someone on the pay-roll who has committed crimes or hudesire to avoid the embarrassment, or erning contacts with foreign nationals of clandestine activities with a large around the world. There is an obvious have just been issued to operatives degree of realism. New guidelines gov-Third, we need to conduct oversight

gence or covert action, will often re-quire associating with individuals of of foreign intelligence, counterintellirequire that we do just that. Clandestine operations, whether for collection Our interests, however, sometimes

post-Cold War world, the greatest costs. certain moral and potential political long as the likely benefits outweigh the criminals, and should be acceptable so forcement of using criminals to catch unsavory reputation. This is little dif-ferent from the tradition in law en-

needed to ensure that it is doing qualito ignore the instances in which the CIA has acted with questionable legalexisting regulations and laws. and that its actions are consistent with outside the CIA are kept fully informed ty work consistent with policy prioriity and judgment. Constant vigilance ties, that senior officials inside and None of the above is meant as a cal

policy tools we will need. are to have the human intelligence and support. This must be rectified if we tive discipline and a lack of high-level strictive regulations, a fear of retroacspread impressions, one problem with support is crucial; contrary to widelong as what they do is authorized and legal under U.S. law at the time. Such risk-taking will be supported and that they will be politically protected so destine activities should know that lack of initiative brought about by rethe clandestine services has been But in return, those involved in clan-

administration. Council in the Bush the Council on Foreign Relations. study on the future of U.S. The writer is principal author of a He worked in the National Security intelligence published this week by