, missed the few inches of the narrow and or such a package sticking up past Oswald's shoulder.

CRONKITE: Despite the dispute about just how he carried the package, the reasonable answer to this question is that he did take a rifle to the Book Depository Building.

ANNOUNCER: This CBS NEWS INQUIRY: "The warren Report" will continue in a moment.



not only unwarranted; it is dismotrically opposite to the truth, on which Prazier was explicit: "(I) looked at my watch ... saw we had a few minutes ... sat there ... watching (railroad) cars ..., but I was letting my engine run and getting to charge up my battery, because when you start and stop you have to charge up your battery" (2H227-8). A glance at Prazier's emcient websicle (Exhibit 447. 17H167) would seem to remove any doubt of the desirability of this practice.

There is none of Frazier's testimony about Oswald that is not opposed to the Commission's theories. He found Oswald trathful, quiet, devoted to his family, especially fond of his shildren and smiling and happy in talking of and being with them. Osuald never talked politics and made no mention of the fresident's visit or the motorcade (2H219ff.). Frazier's account of the elothes Dawald was wearing that day was in contradiction to the Commission's, and Frazier saw more of these clothes than anyone else. Frazier also insisted the shots came from a point other than the one the Commission alleged, and in this be was in accord with a majority of the observ-

koried

h'a .. the * pack-1710.

. • 1 . . 446 .

. setuation

2 "01. A 1.10 - 1. Mar. C 5 1 A 2 4 9 1 16,7 %

1. 8.9.9

ers, including police of various kinds.

Presion's truthfulness was established, escording to Detective R. S. Stovall, by a polygraph examination (72290, 212602). Stovall's words were, The examination showed concludively that Wosley Presion was truthful and that the facts obated by Presion in his affidavit

were true.

But the Commission had to use Prasier to got Oswald to the building with any kind of a paskage, oven though Prasier, as did his sister, proved Oswald could not possibly have been carrying the rifle. With complete and total disregard of the only testimony it had, the Commission of the opposite from its only evidence. It mission concluded exactly the opposite from its only ovidence. It said simply, Frazior and Randle are mistaken (R134).

So Frazior put Oswald at the building and was himself about 50

so Frailer pur Uswald it two purifiing and one himself about 50 "eet behind the presumed about to be assassin. This is how the Remort gets him into the building: "One smployee, Jack Dougherty, while wed that he saw Oswald coming to work, but he does not remember swald had enything in his hands as he entered the door. To other smployee has been found who saw Oswald enter that morning." (R131) this point the Report refers by footnote to that part of Dougherty's ···imony (6H373-82) appearing on pages 6H376-7.

The excerpt from the Report needs clarification. It was Oswald,

The excerpt from the Report needs clarification. It was Oswald, respectly, who was then coming to work, and Oswald, not Dougher-who went through the door. Dougher's was trusted with extra remeabilities by his employer and reported to mark an hour earlier was other employees.

The except of the sample of the companies of the sample of the companies of the compan . the door?"

Tes: I saw him when he first same in the door - yes," was

"y's unqualified reply. So much for the use of the word "bete describe Dougherty's testimony.

for the language that says Dougherty does not remember and anything in his hands".

eaving, "I didn't see anything if he did. Ball then additional questions, to which Doughorty replied, I did.

writing in his hands ...

wher words, you would say positively to had nothing in his
will demanded. (All emphasis added.)
will say that - yes, sir, was Dougherty's equally usquali-

"be the commission was composed then the bard the commission was composed the track of the commission was composed then the track of 38 inches

missed the lew inches of the harrow sticking up past Oswald's shoulder.

CRONKITE: Despite the dispute about just how he carried the package, the reasonable answer to this question is that he did take a rifle to the Book Depository Building.

This CBS NEWS INQUIRY: "The Warren Report" will ANNOUNCER: continue in a moment.

not only unwarranted; it is diametrically opposite to the truth, on which Frazier was explicit: "(I) looked at my watch ... saw we had a few minutes ... sat there ... watching (railroad) cars ..., but I was letting my engine run and getting to charge up my battery, because when you start and stop you have to charge up your battery" (2E227-8). A glance at Frazier's ancient vehicle (Exhibit hip?, 17H167) would seem to remove any doubt of the desirability of this practice. **** Description of the to mid 996 30 COM-Der se B. ... 和教会会 practice. There is none of Prazier's testimony about Oswald that is not opposed to the Commission's theories. He found Oswald truthful, quiet, devoted to his family, especially fond of his shildren and smiling and happy in talking of and being with them. Oswald never talked politics and made no mention of the fresident's visit or the motorcade (2H219ff.). Frazier's account of the clothes Oswald was a commission of the commission of : bag \$ 150 ma 1 t & No. of the 1t of sible. arried the seting his wearing that day was in contradiction to the Commission's, and Frazier saw more of these clothes than anyone else. Frazier also in swall to the w sed a packzier saw more of these clothes than anyone else. Frazier also insisted the shots came from a point other than the one the Commission alleged, and in this he was in accord with a majority of the observers, including police of various kinds.

Frazier's truthfulness was established, according to Detective R. S. Stovall, by a polygraph examination (7E190,21E602). Stovall's words were, "The examination showed conclusively that Wesley Frazier was truthful and that the facts stated by Frazier in his affidavit were true." same rifle, your car ate testiw or take a and posiw malled But the Commission had to use Frazier to get Oswald to the building with any kind of a package, even though Frazier, as did his sister, proved Oswald could not possibly have been carrying the rifle. With complete and total disregard of the only testimony it had, the Commission concluded exactly the opposite from its only evidence. It said simply, "Frazier and Randle are mistaken" (RI34).

So Frazier put Oswald at the building and was himself about 50 feet behind the presumed about-to-be assassin. This is how the Remont gets him into the building: "One employee, Jack Dougherty, wellewed that he saw Oswald coming to work, but he does not remember smald had anything in his hands as he entered the door. No other employee has been found who saw Oswald enter that morning." (R131) it this point the Report refers by footnote to that part of Dougherty's stimony (6H373-82) appearing on pages 6H376-7.

The excerpt from the Report needs clarification. It was Oswald, neve bess were true. from the ela he had ~specially ke by Ball wet salon's waatis, say. re 15," and destable tape i. by include Trements.

1

Flar pin. to th Prazie

Salar ryation notes .W #1 ave no

· ; *

The excerpt from the Report needs clarification. It was Oswald, ougherty, who was then coming to work, and Oswald, not Dougherty who went through the door. Dougherty was trusted with extra re-

*** *** other employees.

*** *** other employees.

*** *** the other employees.

*** *** the other employees.

** the other employees.

*** the other employees.

*** the other employees.

** the other employees.

**

Tes: I saw him when he first came in the door - yes, was unqualified reply. So much for the use of the word bearing to describe Dougherty's testimony.

for the language that says Dougherty "does not remember and anything in his hands".

anything in his hands...

"wherety had answered the question less positively than satissaying, "I didn't see anything if he did." Ball then
additional questions, to which Dougherty replied, "I didwrything in his hands...

"ther words, you would say positively he had nothing in his
sail demanded. (All emphasis added.)

aculd say that - yes, sir," was Dougherty's equally unquali-

5.44#6. was now in the building. The only person who saw him positively" that Oswald had no package in his hand, and the commission was concerned about was in a bag 38 inches missed the few inches of the narrow and or such a pages sticking up past Ogwald's shoulder.

CRONKITE: Despite the dispute about just her he exerted the package, the reasonable answer to this question is that add take a rifle to the Book Depository has been as

sould have it wise visible. restorating his ne had a pack-presented rifle, of your ear. give or take a ity and posi-wring called mird dimension w or take a imidated even inst from the toll he had tie, emponially rured by Ball replied, 7 sle replied, "} water it. . Clearible time end, by tentus ARLIPHONES. dister pin.

sheerweak! H HA GIZOTAL Look es SAVE & Aced W an tet ·양· 44 👪 THE WAY ** 1 ***

not only uncorrented; it is dismetrically expected to the trust which Frazier use emplicit: "[] leaded at my unteh ... see us a few minutes ... sat there ... untehing (pelipose) core ... us letting my engine run and getting to charge up my bettery, comes when you start and step you have to charge up your helps (20227-5). A glasse at Frazier's ancient whiche (2014bit help 178167) would seem to remove any dealst of the desirability of prectice.

Provide.

There is mene of Frazier's testimeny about Caueld that is and opposed to the Commission's theories. He found Coueld truthful, quiet, devoted to his family, especially found of his children and miling and happy in talking of and being with them, . Coueld never talked polities and make no mention of the "resident's wight as the motorcade (ZEZ19ff.), Frazier's account of the alottee truth we marring that day was in contradiction to the Countainter's, and Exister saw more of these electres them styres when the shorter also the sisted the choice came from a point other than the sale to delicate alleged, and in this he was in attended with a miliarity of the delicate alleged, and in this he was in attended with a miliarity of the delicate area, including police of various while.

Frazier's truthfulness was school-land, according to intended works were, the examination about continuously that backey from was truthful and that the facts stated by Frazier is his afridavity were true.

was trutiful and that the foots stated by Frazier is his affident wore true.

But the Commission had to use Frazier to get Grunid to the building with any kind of a passing, even though Frazier, as did his sister, proved Grunid sould not possibly have been carrying the rifle. With complete and total disregard of the only testimeny it had, the Commission concluded exactly the opposite from its ally evidence. It said simply, "Frazier and Randle are mistaken" (RIM),

So Frazier put Grunid at the building and was himself about 50 foot behind the presumed about to be assessin. This is her the Report gets him into the building! "One employee, Jack Bougherby, believed that he saw Grunid coming to make, but he does not remained that anything in his hands as he entered the door. The other appliance has been found the saw Grunid enter that morning." (RIM) of this point the Report refers by foothets to that part of Requirerty's wortigany (6H373-82) appearing an pages 6H376-7.

when her been found the saw Greek enter that meeting, (2011) who point the Report refers by free mate to that part of Requierty many (40373-82) appearing an pages 40374-75. The execute from the Report mode clarification. It was detailed bunkerty, the was then coming to work, and Greek, not Doughou-do went through the door. Doughorty was trusted with extent resolutions by his employees and reported to more an hour action the etter amplification by his employees.

Annot, Did you see Coyald tems to work that merming? Doughorly Mail, whesticitingly, The - when he first same into the door. Then he came in the door. Then he came in the door. Then he came in the door. The worky said, The. Then Ball mented to know, Did you see him in the door?

Buy I saw him when he first same in the door - yee, was hearly a manuficed roply. So much for the use of the word being to door the language that says Doughorty door not remember by the copything in his heads.

In the doughting in his heads.

In the state of the manufacture, to which Doughordy register, Take-ing supthing in his heads.

The provision is the head of the provision of a specially wagnalise provision.

and in the heliting. The only person the our bits officely had been an opinion in the hope, a family of the been a family of the been and the state of the beauty of the b