missed the few inches of the narrow end of such a package sticking up past Oswald's shoulder.

CRONKITE: Despite the dispute about just how he carried the package, the reasonable answer to this question is that he did take a rifle to the Book Depository Building.

ANNOUNCER: This CBS NEWS INQUIRY: "The Warren Report" will continue in a moment.

not only unwarranted; it is diametrically opposite to the truth, on which Frazier was explicit: "(I) looked at my watch ... saw we had a few minutes ... sat there ... watching (railroad) cars ..., but I was letting my engine run and getting to charge up my battery, because when you start and stop you have to charge up your battery" (2H227-8). A glance at Frazier's ancient vehicle (Exhibit 447, 17H167) would seem to remove any doubt of the desirability of this practice.

There is none of Frazier's testimony about Oswald that is not opposed to the Commission's theories. He found Oswald truthful, quiet, devoted to his family, especially fond of his children and smiling and happy in talking of and being with them. Oswald never talked politics and made no mention of the President's visit or the motorcade (2H219ff.). Frazier's account of the clothes Oswald was wearing that day was in contradiction to the Commission's, and Frazier saw more of these clothes than anyone else. Frazier also insisted the shots came from a point other than the one the Commission alleged, and in this he was in accord with a majority of the observers, including police of various kinds.

Frazier's truthfulness was established, according to Detective R. S. Stovall, by a polygraph examination (7H190,21H602). Stovall's words were, "The examination showed conclusively that Wesley Frazier was truthful and that the facts stated by Frazier in his affidavit were true."

But the Commission had to use Frazier to get Oswald to the building with any kind of a package, even though Frazier, as did his sister, proved Oswald could not possibly have been carrying the rifle. With complete and total disregard of the only testimony it had, the Commission concluded exactly the opposite from its only evidence. It said simply, "Frazier and Randle are mistaken" (R134).

So Frazier put Oswald at the building and was himself about 50 feet behind the presumed about-to-be assassin. This is how the Report gets him into the building: "One employee, Jack Dougherty, believed that he saw Oswald coming to work, but he does not remember Oswald had anything in his hands as he entered the door. No other employee has been found who saw Oswald enter that morning." (R131) At this point the Report refers by footnote to that part of Dougherty's testimony (6H373-82) appearing on pages 6H376-7.

The excerpt from the Report needs clarification. It was Oswald, Dougherty, who was then coming to work, and Oswald, not Dougherty, who went through the door. Dougherty was trusted with extra responsibilities by his employer and reported to work an hour earlier than other employees.

Ball asked, "Did you see Oswald come to work that morning?" Dougherty replied, unhesitatingly, "Yes - when he first came into the door." "When he came in the door?" the interrogator repeated, and Dougherty said, "Yes." Then Ball wanted to know, "Did you see him come in the door?"

"Yes; I saw him when he first came in the door - yes," was Dougherty's unqualified reply. So much for the use of the word "believe" to describe Dougherty's testimony.

As for the language that says Dougherty "does not remember Oswald had anything in his hands".

Dougherty had answered the question less positively than satisfactory, saying, "I didn't see anything if he did." Ball then put additional questions, to which Dougherty replied, "I did not see anything in his hands ...

"In other words, you would say positively he had nothing in his hands," Ball demanded. (All emphasis added.)

"I would say that - yes, sir," was Dougherty's equally unqualified response.

Oswald was now in the building. The only person who saw him testified "positively" that Oswald had no package in his hand, and the package the Commission was concerned about was in a bag 38 inches

missed the few inches of the narrow end of such a package sticking up past Oswald's shoulder.

CRONKITE: Despite the dispute about just how he carried the package, the reasonable answer to this question is that he did take a rifle to the Book Depository Building.

ANNOUNCER: This CBS NEWS INQUIRY: "The Warren Report" will continue in a moment.

not only unwarranted; it is diametrically opposite to the truth, on which Frazier was explicit: "(I) looked at my watch ... saw we had a few minutes ... sat there ... watching (railroad) cars ..., but I was letting my engine run and getting to charge up my battery, because when you start and stop you have to charge up your battery" (2H227-8). A glance at Frazier's ancient vehicle (Exhibit 447, 17H167) would seem to remove any doubt of the desirability of this practice.

There is none of Frazier's testimony about Oswald that is not opposed to the Commission's theories. He found Oswald truthful, quiet, devoted to his family, especially fond of his children and smiling and happy in talking of and being with them. Oswald never talked politics and made no mention of the President's visit or the motorcade (2H219ff.). Frazier's account of the clothes Oswald was wearing that day was in contradiction to the Commission's, and Frazier saw more of these clothes than anyone else. Frazier also insisted the shots came from a point other than the one the Commission alleged, and in this he was in accord with a majority of the observers, including police of various kinds.

Frazier's truthfulness was established, according to Detective R. S. Stovall, by a polygraph examination (7H190, 21H602). Stovall's words were, "The examination showed conclusively that Wesley Frazier was truthful and that the facts stated by Frazier in his affidavit were true."

But the Commission had to use Frazier to get Oswald to the building with any kind of a package, even though Frazier, as did his sister, proved Oswald could not possibly have been carrying the rifle. With complete and total disregard of the only testimony it had, the Commission concluded exactly the opposite from its only evidence. It said simply, "Frazier and Randle are mistaken" (R134).

So Frazier put Oswald at the building and was himself about 50 feet behind the presumed about-to-be assassin. This is how the Report gets him into the building: "One employee, Jack Dougherty, believed that he saw Oswald coming to work, but he does not remember Oswald had anything in his hands as he entered the door. No other employee has been found who saw Oswald enter that morning." (R131) At this point the Report refers by footnote to that part of Dougherty's testimony (6H373-82) appearing on pages 6H376-7.

The excerpt from the Report needs clarification. It was Oswald, not Dougherty, who was then coming to work, and Oswald, not Dougherty, who went through the door. Dougherty was trusted with extra responsibilities by his employer and reported to work an hour earlier than other employees.

Asked, "Did you see Oswald come to work that morning?" Dougherty said, unhesitatingly, "Yes - when he first came into the door."

"When he came in the door?" the interrogator repeated, and Dougherty said, "Yes." Then Ball wanted to know, "Did you see him come in the door?"

"Yes; I saw him when he first came in the door - yes," was Dougherty's unqualified reply. So much for the use of the word "believed" to describe Dougherty's testimony.

As for the language that says Dougherty "does not remember Oswald had anything in his hands".

Dougherty had answered the question less positively than satisfactorily, saying, "I didn't see anything if he did." Ball then asked additional questions, to which Dougherty replied, "I did not see anything in his hands ...

"In other words, you would say positively he had nothing in his hands, Ball demanded. (All emphasis added.)

"I would say that - yes, sir," was Dougherty's equally unqualified response.

Oswald was now in the building. The only person who saw him do so "positively" that Oswald had no package in his hand, and the package the Commission was concerned about was in a bag 38 inches

missed the few inches of the narrow end of such a package sticking up past Oswald's shoulder.

CRONKITE: Despite the dispute about just how he carried the package, the reasonable answer to this question is that he did take a rifle to the Book Depository [illegible].

ANNOUNCER: [illegible]

not only unwarranted; it is diametrically opposite to the truth, on which Frazier was explicit: "(I) looked at my watch ... saw we had a few minutes ... sat there ... watching (railroad) cars ..., but I was letting my engine run and getting to charge up my battery, because when you start and stop you have to charge up your battery" (2H227-8). A glance at Frazier's ancient vehicle (Exhibit No. 17H167) would seem to remove any doubt of the desirability of this practice.

There is none of Frazier's testimony about Oswald that is not opposed to the Commission's theories. He found Oswald truthful, quiet, devoted to his family, especially fond of his children and smiling and happy in talking of and being with them. Oswald never talked politics and made no mention of the President's visit or the motorcade (2H219ff.). Frazier's account of the clothes Oswald was wearing that day was in contradiction to the Commission's, and Frazier saw more of these clothes than anyone else. Frazier also insisted the shots came from a point other than the one the Commission alleged, and in this he was in disagreement with a majority of the observers, including police of various kinds.

Frazier's truthfulness was established, according to Inspector R. S. Stovall, by a polygraph examination (7H190, 2H240). Stovall's words were, "the examination showed conclusively that Wesley Frazier was truthful and that the facts stated by Frazier in his affidavit were true."

But the Commission had to use Frazier to get Oswald to the building with any kind of a package, even though Frazier, as did his sister, proved Oswald could not possibly have been carrying the rifle. With complete and total disregard of the only testimony it had, the Commission concluded exactly the opposite from its only evidence. It said simply, "Frazier and Randle are mistaken" (WR).

So Frazier put Oswald at the building and was himself about 50 feet behind the presumed about-to-be assassin. This is how the Report gets him into the building: "One employee, Jack Dougherty, believed that he saw Oswald coming to work, but he does not remember Oswald had anything in his hands as he entered the door. No other employee has been found who saw Oswald enter that morning." (WR) At this point the Report refers by footnote to that part of Dougherty's testimony (4H373-82) appearing on pages 4H376-7.

The excerpt from the Report needs clarification. It was Oswald, not Dougherty, who was then coming to work, and Oswald, not Dougherty, who went through the door. Dougherty was trusted with extra responsibilities by his employer and reported to work an hour earlier than the other employees.

Asked, "Did you see Oswald come to work that morning?" Dougherty said, unhesitatingly, "Yes - when he first came into the door."

"When he came in the door?" the interrogator repeated, and Dougherty said, "Yes." Then Ball wanted to know, "Did you see him come in the door?"

"Yes; I saw him when he first came in the door - yes," was Dougherty's unqualified reply. So much for the use of the word "believed" to describe Dougherty's testimony.

Nor for the language that says Dougherty "does not remember Oswald had anything in his hands".

Dougherty had answered the question less positively then satisfactorily, saying, "I didn't see anything if he did." Ball then put two additional questions, to which Dougherty replied, "I did not see anything in his hands ...

"If he had any other hands, you would say positively he had nothing in his hands?" Ball demanded. (All emphasis added.)

"I would say that - yes, sir," was Dougherty's equally unqualified response.

Oswald was seen in the building. The only person who saw him positively that Oswald had no package in his hand, and whom the Commission was concerned about was a boy 16 inches