Wiretapping: A Numbers Game

By FRED P. GRAHAM Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, May 4-The tion "How many wiretaps are there?" The fact that the Presithe subject at his news confer-the subject at his news confer-dent was asked to comment on the subject at his news confer-were the very state there were in all cases is increasing, as he did not note.

News Analysis

said. Selecting the years 1961, 1962 and 1963 as a period of comparison, he said that the

ing snooped upon. But as Mr. Nixon's statement illustrated, the terminology of electronic surveillance is so slippery that the statistics reeled off by officials often confuse more than they explain.

The word "wiretapping," to mincreasing rapidly.

Security wiretapping had been subject to an unofficial lawmen to eavesdrop.

Security wiretapping had pass laws authorizing their lawmen to eavesdrop.

As for now, the answer to before 1961. One year when the question "How many wiretapping had lawmen to eavesdrop.

As for now, the answer to the figure of the theorem are there?" seems to be that there are more than ever the figure 34. But the figure before but not as many as many people believe. about the chances they are be-increasing rapidly.

the average person, means all son, to as low as 32 in 1966. electronic eavesdropping. But Officials who were then in the technically it includes only de-vices that intercept telephone that about an equal number of washington, may vices that intercept telephone that about an equal wiretap numbers game has reached a new plateau now that phones, called "bugs," which president Nixon has undertaken pick up all the conversations the same now, as Mr. Nixon has the parennial questioned bugs were used. Security wiretapping is about the same now, as Mr. Nixon has the parennial questioned bugs were used. in a room.

the subject at his news conference last Saturday might indi-ence last Saturday might indiaturday might indicate that government at wiretap less. There might have been an equal number of bugs in use, and (as some ex-F.B.I. agents have contended) some wiretaps have contended) some wiretaps might have been turned off the might have been turned off the day before Mr. Hoover's testing the years 1961, many to give him a lower part of the required that they report the mony to give him a lower num-required that they report the ber to relate.

comparison, he said that the Government then limited itself to 100 wiretaps at any one time. His own Administration has limited itself to 50 at any time, he said.

The result, he said, is "half as many taps" these days as there were then, "and 10 times as many news stories about them."

Mr. Nixon thus raised to the Presidential level the wiretap numbers game that has been a frequent sport of Attorneys General for the last few years. J. Edgar Hoover has played it for decades in his annual Congressional appropriations testimony.

Slippery Terminology

The ostensible purpose of the game is to tell the people how much electronic surveillance relatively low today, when in the context of the context of the context of the game is to tell the people how much electronic surveillance relatively low today, when in the context of t

much electronic surveillance relatively low today, when in it. Last year they employed a the Government is doing, so fact it is probably higher than total of 414 court-approved that they can ease their minds it has ever been before, and is listening devices, and each

mentioned, but wiretapping

Impact of 1968 Law

results to Congress.

These reports show that the

legislatures year more state