High Court Will Hear Illegal Wiretap Cases

By John P. MacKenzie Washington Post Staff Writer

The Supreme Court agreed vesterday to decide whether a grand jury witness can refuse to answer questions until the government proves that the questions are not based on information obtained from illegal wiretaps.

Two lower court rulings one favoring the Justice Department's position in a gambling investigation and the other reversing a contempt citation against a witness in the "Harrisburg 8" kidnap conspir- gument involved two Roman full review in the spring.

Lewis F. Powell Jr. and William H. Rehnquist, will be eli- burg, Pa., despite grants of imgible to decide the cases, which are typical of numerous pending tests of federal inves-pelled from them under threat tigatory powers.

The Justice Department's injuries in their need to ferret government buildings here. out facts.

ordinary criminal case, they eavesdropping claims. spring from an illegal source. or otherwise.

Witnesses and defendants contend that illegal wiretaps government acknowledged ovunderlie much of the govern-erhearing grand jury ment's inquiries into allege" nesses David Gelbard and Sid-sion, had urged the court to domestic subversion, and that ney Parna, but said the wirebeing compelled to answe taps were authorized by a

taps amounts to a continuing violation of their constitutional rights.

Justice Department The maintains that warrantless but presidentially approved taps of suspected radicals and terrorists are legal — an issue awaiting decision by the Supreme Court next year - but that the government needn't defend their legality in a contempt proceeding growing out of a grand jury investigation.

One of the cases set for aracy case, were set down for Catholic nuns, Sister Jogues Egan and Sister Anne Eliza-All nine justices, including beth Walsh, who refused to talk to a grand jury in Harrismunity from prosecution on incriminating evidence comof contempt.

ternal security division argues the grand jury had returned a that witnesses subpoenaed be- conspiracy indictment chargfore grand juries have no ing the Rev. Philip Berrigan right to contest the legality of and others of plotting to kidany government searches or nap White House security adsurveillance because such con- viser Henry Kissinger and tests would disrupt the grand blow up heating tunnels in

Ordered to prison until they Balky witnesses, many of answered the questions, the liam O. Douglas delivered an whom charge that they are nuns won their freedom opinion signed only by himself caught up in "political" prose when the Third U.S. Circuit that with two seats on the cutions, argue that since they Court of Appeals in Philadelcutions, argue that since they Court of Appeals in Philadel-court vacant, the votes of can't be imprisoned on the phia ruled that they were enti-"three out of seven are basis of illegal evidence in an tled to hearings on their enough" to obtain review in have the right to make sure Justice Department refused to they are not jailed for con-tell lower courts whether they Jr., Potter Stewart and Thurtempt for remaining silent had overheard the witnesses' good Marshall all voted to when asked questions that phone conversations, legally hear Stanley's case, but the

In the gambling case, the did not vote to hear the case.

questions flowing from such court under the 1968 Federal Crime Act. The government persuaded lower courts in California that the witnesses, unlike defendants at criminal trials, had no right to contest the wiretaps' validity at this

Widespread confusion over this issue has snarled investigations into the Mayday disturbances in Washington, the bombing of the Capitol, and the government's Pentagon Papers investigation, among others.

In other action:

Sentencing

Despite the votes of three justices to hear the case, the court refused to review the They were summoned after consecutive maximum sentences meted out by a federal judge in San Francisco against Owsley Stanley for possession and manufacture of LSD. after prosecution and defense agreed that "possession was the end product of the manufacture.'

In late October Justice Wil-The the Supreme Court.

Justices William J. Brennan court denied review. Douglas

Solicitor General Erwin N. wit- Griswold, in a major concesaccept Stanley's case and ruled that he was entitled to resentencing under a drug law that was amended last year.

Prisons

Over the dissent of Chief Justice Warren E. Burger, the court summarily reversed the refusal of lower federal courts to entertain a swit by inmates of the Missouri State Penitentiary protesting living conditions.