Dear Dick.

There not sent the Lector to alsyboy because 4 am certain the timing, formulation and a proach are wrong for them. If you feel calerwise, and to attention are Goode up ture my name.

They agonized over coming to see me for months, then didn't. They sweeted over some of my ubpublished stuff and then didn't go for it. Their explanation is that they would publish nothing again on the subject unless they could solve the crime. For know what the burkley eigheture on what I have it and the outhorize tion or sensational, acondulous things. They saw those and many others in February 1969. Now we also know they have not ended treatment of the outject, for they are publishing-commissioned-dirkwood. To, it boils down to a fear to handle except in special formets, like int reviews.

It is better to eperceth them with the completes orticle, for they to not know you. Failing in the ty then a simple letter would be such more effective and have some of the (for thos) liabilities of your approach. (no is stipulating typescance with Firkwood. Them will find it an unscreptable stipulation and would reject a this heats them. It may also be a mechanical impossibility. If you went me to elaborate. Will.

westy operent on the salition to the sections on dents:

Tage 1- ger. & do you not went to note that the case class gets marked? "all traces" or "all visible traces"; for 5 "allegadly" before criberal; par. 5, can we postulate the time on out at the fired from the cases"; six, "Oswald" wille, quote to manning indicate lack of positive proof it was his or that he even ordere it.

inge 2-lines 20%, way not indicate no discorprints on chells, unusual for actual use an aignificant if alented; per 3, is it only the firing-pin marking the framer knesses accessed, or all you restrict it to this for a nurrose/

third that he is you should been onx the formission, robley its lowers, with relatered to the character and li itations of the questioning, for, technically, a single is restricted to respecting to cuestions. Frezier did not design this. The Been told to find outs let ned nappened, this is what he would have done. He was given a job to do. At dir that job, satisfy else. The elevant were is what you biscovered with the prejectory, etc. In you were it a position where you could ask him an jot on an ase, he could asy fidid what I was asked to do, nothing else.

I have lost the range-mestar's phone but will get in touch son.

We term time Timesln.

Rothing else new, three that Lawney is going out of business, I have a young hel at in Anadom now.

murriedly.

Harold:

to Playboy

The enclosed letter/will explain what I am after. I do not know about these matters, and cannot properly judge whether this is right or hwther I am acting prematurely.

I am acting now only because I think that this would make a great foil for James Kirkwood's crap.

Please think it over and decide for me.

If you decide that this is unwise, then throw away the letter and copies, and forget about it.

If you think it is all right to go ahead, then please address and mail the letter to Playboy and the copy to Nichads.

Here is what is lacking in research as of now:

1) confirmation that the dents were caused as I

described on p.8 of the article.

2) eyeball inspecton of the three cartridge cases and Frazier's two test cases; i.e. a search for EXXXXXX other evidence that the cases did not fire bullets.

I have slightly revised some parts that I already sent you and added three pages which will appear at the end od the section on the dents. Those three pages are included.

For the time being, I xxxx am taking it for granted that Frazier fired the two test cartridges without bullets, in an effort to make exact duplicates of the three evidence case. It is hard to believe that he would do anything so stupid, but there seems no other explanation; I can think of nothing else at all that explains it.

dick

Bernabei

P.S. Be sure to tril me what you do ne Maybey