Dear Dick.

Gotts white shorter letters for I've become weeker and tire easily. Tentative diagnosis: exhaustion.

Don(t believe you have confirmed receiot your negetives from Tom. I've got to see him some day soon. Going to be too hot today.

Your letter of 7/10 raises an important point and I do not now recall whether PMIII includes that precise point. I'll file that letter with the final copy and be certain. I know I go into the point that either the pneal didn't see and merely hypothesized as a possibility because there were no front pix but I do not recall whether I said if they saw in pix autopsy should have in flesh. I went into the phone calls in WW, that way.

For the moment I'm telling on you and Gary this, so do not tell enyone on the chance of surprise. I spent much of yesterdayday trying to reach Bud. He was not home until late. He phoned and agreed to file immediate suit for me on memo transfer. I now have statement from Secret Bervice that the Archives has a copy of the memo transfer pix, X-rays whereas they lie and say what they have is private (Kennedy) copy. He is coming up first free day on this and other things.

Your longer letter 7/10 with enclosures: I've subscribed to Councillor in wife's name and will let younand Gary know when it begins.

The Councillor clip on King tep is valuable only because it was published, for it is not news. Their follow could be. Black leaders helping Hoover blackmailing themselves. There is more than in this story.

Margaltzièsantparantentiffentantent Thanks for memo. I'll add and got tratimes in time. Confused Galt and Sneyd. There was use of the "Frenchy" picture before errest but I recall none sich as you describe being used. I can recall only face, as Fred sent to me in April 68. I then gave to FBI and AP, but it was cropped. NYTimes used May, also cropped. Do not recall Ramperts and SFExem use, June, but think also cropped.

If above unclear, Councillor King piece is accurate, according to other FBI leaks but it says less than has been published.

Trent has been almost totally silent since Murr gave him clip-inhs he did not share with others, as Murr expected. In moments of apparent crisis he phones. I spoke to a friend of his Sunday and told him to ask Trent to give me Galt interview nuless he expected to use himself....It is not Murr alone who turned off all ost all at same time. I conjecture Lifton, directly and indirectly. Lifton is dangerous because he is willing to serve other side consciously, because he is at best dengerously sick, andbecause he consciously sets out to do harm. It is for these reasons I want him to have nothing. Leak not yet isolated. He had a corrupted version of something I gave only that I wanted only to have as surprise in court. Gary shrewdly surmises have could have courrupted himself to deceive and probe. But there were only two people to whom I sent copies of that letter to John. Newcomb, in my book, is a bad trip whatever explains. I've had too much experiences

I do not think you or Gary could read my file and believe he is in any sense dependable, whatever the explanation.

McRae: Think I sent you copy of letter I wrote c/o him. I'll let you know if there is response. I'd like to bear from him sometime if he is friend of yours. Okay on Bieberdarf. That is your material anyway. But my only interest in that women is does she know anything. I cannot now tell you who, but I have several interesting connections with that side, recently developed. While both are untrustworthy, the untrustworthy are the sources.

I have no doubt about the doctoring of Willis 5. I wrote about this to the degree I responsibly could in WW, which is what turned Fred on. My doubts are with his proofs. The one that impressed me I later could figure out is nothing but illusion from lights, shadows. With that particular picture, whether or not it rellates to what you say, it is important to understand that it was out of focus. He was not ready. He had his camera set for closer shot. I cannot say what, if anything, this did in could have done to background. Nor have I any dispute about presences of train, for from other sources I was aware or and wrote about that, too (also WW). My doubts are with his interpretations, none of which, as I recall, are valid or meaningful.

Gary has written you about remarkable coincidence Van Heuven who contacted me then chickened. I wish it were possible for me to just sit and chet with Keating, for she might have some slight clue the significance of which is lost on her. If McRae is willing or able to spend any time on this, for whatever it is worth, I buy essence of Ray Huie story on bar mettings with Faoul. There is possibility, being checked, Raoul is real name real coursians person. My initial hunch is that Ray disguised reality, that he did have such meetings with somene but disguised person.

Roffman: you are right to try and keep him from Jones. Tope you succeed. You are also right to try and steer him to Sylvia, and again I hope you succeed. Try and persuade him that Jones' knowledge of case is deficient and that he confabulates. Sylvia is solid on what she knows, which ismmuch. But if he can have studied Z and believes all shots came from rear he is hopeless. If you inted to try and help him, get him to study Aldredge hit. To what is in WWII tell kom that Aldredge phoned me when I was on KRLD radio middle last "ovember to add that when he went back to examine after Report he found gash had been filled with asbestos-like material he could scrape out. I've asked Gary to get micture for me showing direction. Matt Herron took one for as day before this broadcast but never gave me print. It is antirely inconsistent with belief all shots came from rear.

Matter of fact, I'd find fascinating fact pike from one Tiber area much more tasty. It is in accord with certain of the experimental work I did as a famor. But I lope you get the thesis done rapidly and well.

Work on COUP add proceeding, but slowly. Volume more than 45,000 words. I think is is persuasive but I've read none of it yet. I do take time to try and rest daily. J.B.Stoner told me yesterday, by phone as he was about to catch plane, that they file appeal for new trial today. I gather he has not done the lwgal work on this. I offered to help in whatever way possible.

Harold:

Enclosed is an article from a recent issue of The Councilor dealing with MIK and the FBI. I send it because it ties in with your info that black leaders fear release of personal material. Obviously, I do not put unquestioning faith in what the Councilor says, but I think it worth watching, for as FBI admireres they may have a line to inside sources. I considered this article plausible, but unacceptable for lack of documentation. Perhaps that will follow.

I have material that I got from Lifton last year, mostly regarding you and Thornley, and Schoener has been keeping me up to date on recent developments. My correspondence with Lifton has been sparse and non-committal vis-a-vis you. I think it best to continue on "friendly" terms, for I do not engoy his confidence to a degree that would make my defense of you effective, and it is possible that, believing me ignorant, he might tell me things that he would not tell others, or perhaps try to influence me. I strongly doubt that I even referred to you in my recent correspondence, so it is extremely unlikely that he got any of your material from me. I don't have a copy of my recent (two, I think) letters to him, but my memory indicates that I did not mention you. I cannot be absolutely sure, only because I do not have copies of my correspondence before me -- but otherwise. I am sure. The only part we of COUP that I copied was the section on Bieberdorf, which I sent to Schoener with information on the suggestions that I had given to you about that affair. I loaned it overnight to a Toronto Star reporter, Earl MeRaym McRae, but he did not read much of it and I am quite sure that he did not copy any of it-- "sure" on the basis of my confidence in McRae, and on the short time that he had it. I may also have outlined some parts of it in a letter to Eric Lincoln but since he later read the whole thing, that is of no matter. Other than what is mentioned above, the material has not gotten out of my hands.

My difficulty in dealing with Lifton and others who might be influenced by Lifton is that I do not have contact with many of the people involved. Where I do have contact, I do not always get confidence. My regationship with Gary Murr has somehow been sabotaged, deliberately or not, by people who have influenced him to believe that I am CIA. My contact with him, which could have been fruitful for both of us, was cut off suddenly and completely. It rankles me, for I was getting on well with Murr and we could have done good work together. I believe that he is still in touch with Newcomb, but I am not sure who else-- perhaps Gough. Newcomb is not the source of Gary Murr's feelings; rather he was the recipient, a factor that caused Newcomb km at first to treat me with coolness.

My correspondence with Newcomb deals mainly with the issues that concern him and me, not with individuals. I don't think I have mentioned to him, either, any of the material in Coup.

Newcomb may be about to **xkxxxxx** retract his material on the "Last Train"; he came into some new evidence which he is now checking; apparently it badly shook his confidence in the train. This reversion of his is independent of some criticism that I sent him refuting the train—something I thought conclusive (the lack of the train in the background of the pergola windows on the right side of Betzner). Our letters crossed in the mail. So if he is wrong, he will have proved himself wrong, and will make a proper retraction. Also he is feeling like an ass.

I think Newcomb is right, however, about the doctoring of Willis 5. I base this on a comparison between Willis 5 and the picture by J. Towner published in Life. Both pictures were taken from very nerly the same position. The lowest row of pergola windows in Willis is the same color as the other rows, but in Towner the color of the lowest row is different from the other rows—i.e., Towner shows the background, but Willis does not. I cannot imagine what was removed (well, I can imagine, but it would be pure guesswork), but unless I see good refutation I shall persist in believing that one of those pictures—probably Willis—was doctored.

I have looked through some books for printed references to barrel vibrations, but have found only passing references. I'll check magazine articles -- I have a couple hundred. Also I'll write to the NRA and learn if they ever did a piece on it. There is nothing in The Amer. Rifleman for the past few years, but NRA may have done something before that, and I know where I can get back copies.

I do not understand this in your letter: "You were going to send me a brief memo of your interview with Sneyd." Good grief, I only wish that I had had such an interview! I suspect that you mean (the real) Eric Galt. An outline in enclosed. Briefly, Galt verified everything that Sprague told me, and added very little. I believe I already sent you information on the story that I got from Sprague.

If McRae can give me a lead for tracing Claire Keating and Blanch van Lueven, two women in Canada who knew Ray, I'LL try to track down their information.

Sprague told me that Huie said he had interviewed three meople who saw Ray and Raoul together. I wrote to Sprague to learn whether the krew three were Canadian and whether he knew their names and could put me on to them. So far I have gotten no answer. I think Sprague has been turned off me, too-- partly (perhaps much) my own fault for treating him with suspicion and offensively after the Farewell America trap. Whatever his faults, he is a valuable contact for me, and I hate to lose him.

I am still in good touch with young Howard Roffman of Philadelphia, the boy who I mentioned said you made mountains out of molehills. I misunderstood his criticism of you. Apparently he was bothered by the polemic and attention to minutiae. He is a good boy, though, and well worth "cultivating". I give him all the help I can (the effect of which I think has been to confuse him over things about which he previously felt certain-- a good effect, I think, in this situation where much of the evidence is corrupt and not amanable to positive deductions).

He might be able to give us great help in photography. He has all the necessary equipment and does his own work-- all of it excellent. If we could tap his resources we could serve both him (because he wants pictures) and us (because we want to cut expenses). Molesworth is great, but if we can get good stuff for much less expense, I think we should jump at it.

Roffman sent me a picture that he had the archive make for him. It shows the bases of CES 399, 572 (Frazier's test bullets), and 853 (the bullet that passed through a goat). 399 and 853 are both flattened along the sides, but the ones in 572 are round. According to Roffman 853 weighs 158.8 grains and lost no lead in fragments (this seems evident even from the picture of its base). The base of 399 KKKKM is slightly more mashed than the others and shows a spot might have lost a fragment, although I don't see how a fragment can have gone from that spot.

The picture is listed as Record Group No. 220; maximum that is what is stamped on the back by the archives.

Roffman is writing a book. The format, I think, will be something like Thompson's, with text and pictures. The subject matter is like Thompson's too, only material related to the events on the Flaza. MEXHER He has asked me to read and criticise when it is ready, and I will. He also wants me to line up others to do the same. I suggested you, Sylvia Meagher, and Gary Schoener. I told him to take his pick and I would try ti line up whom he wants. I suggested Sylvia as first choice, because she is less busy than you.

He had spoken with Jones Harris some time ago, and Harris told Roffman to let him take a look at it when he was finshed and ready to show it. He asked me for Harris' address, but I do not have it. If you have it, please send it to me, so that I can send it to Roffman. I told Roffman that Harris is not his best bet for the kind of material that he is handling, but he may want to show it to Harris in any case.

Roffman knows the material well, but he treats it poorly. I would subscribe to very little of what he says, and would hate to have my name associated with it, but I think he is honest and earnest, and want to do what I can.

The worst of what he is doing is haveng all the shots come from behind JFK-- even the one that cast him backward. His main problem is that he has not previously shared his work and has been working in a vacuum, free of criticism.

I must stop now.

I have been tasting bass, though, from the lakes around here. Yum, yum; very good. I get out toward evening once or twice a week for a couple of hours at a time and empty all the good spots. Last Sunday I got seven, four of which were over three pounds each, and deeeeeeeliiiiiishuuuuuusss.

The pike are good, too, but not nearly as good as the pike

between the two bridges on the Tibur.

It's fishing that helps me keep my sanity in the face of Tibur pike and (dig this for pedantry) Portunianuses who are really Postumianuses. I bet you don't even know what & Postumianus is, or even whether a Postumianus is a "what" or a "who". Lucky you.

Still.

Firm

Bernabei

ce Schrinin