

WIS 172 under PHOT

9/27/69

Dear Dick,

Your 9/19 didn't arrive until yesterday. It is full of words and questions, for all of which I do not at this moment have sufficient time.

What you say of the ~~exterior~~ back wound is correct, with one qualification: they didn't "accidentally" mix up their samples, when they removed tissues for study from the cadaver. I am willing to believe this could have been a hit to the neck as late as right before the fatal shot(s).

What you say of the anterior neck wound is based upon a military bullet (either tan or a frangible type, isn't it? It could not have been thus if the bullet had been one designed to explode, mushroom, or in any way come apart. I think you have a hangup on the "fibres of the shirt", for there is no evidence the damage to it or the tie came from the projectile and very reason to believe this was cut at the hospital. Or have you come around to this belief? There is not even w "whisper" there was an exit at this point.

Have you any pictures of the South Elm manhole other than Frazee's? You describe what I do not recall from them. There was an official interest in this. Memory tricks, but I believe this is in Dulles' questioning of his ver, about 5H100-1. The debris visible in the photo showing debris is shaped like a .45 slug. If it were brain matter, I have difficulty believing the unidentified agent would have tried to taken it with his bare hand. Agreed with your questioning. But are you here saying that when a bullet explodes, the force is directed only in the direction of the projectile, or all the strong force is? I agree this is an important thing for Gary to carry forward, for he is no fool man. I ran out of time and I was in Dulles. Let me caution you, probably unnecessarily, do not cut off from or turn it off, for with his Specter-like perspectives, he'll get from the Archives the kind of exeration we cannot.

I'll be interested in seeing how you carry our cartridge-case knowledge forward. Sorry it took me so long to make the tests for you, but I couldn't justify the expenditure on a good cleaning ~~x-ray~~ rod.

Nichols has not told me of the old brief but says the complete one is not yet ready (letter received yesterday, response enclosed).

Paul

~~Fink~~ is waiting receipt of Finck before mailing Frazier with it. Paul is now in N.C. and I've asked him to get other transcripts, including Sheneyfelt. On consulting Hodek and others: Before you were here I'd located, besides him, three NRA "masters". When we have completed all this will, I think, be the time to speak to them. At least one of these, like Hodek, works for the federal government, a probable complication... Agreed on the care work...I wonder, if you recall, whether Tink had a base picture taken that he didn't use?

My confusion on frangible bullets comes from my belief, until you corrected me, that they were plastic only.

On the JFK movements, I presume you have an 8 mm projector on which you can stop safely. However, there is added knowledge to be gained by using the Archives slides, for they show JFK in two adjacent slides. I had been a long time since I mad

Can you see "great pieces of debris fly forward" at 313? To do this is a mist of fint tissues and blood. Could not the first, or counter-clockwise pivoting, be accounted for with the force, i.e., the shot, originating on the left? Lane has locked too many of us in to a north grassy knoll presumption because he got mileage out of whoring around, but there is nothing at all to preclude a shot from the knoll on the south side and much that argues it as the more desirable-certainly the safer-place....Is it physically possible for the chin to strike the chest hard enough to bruise? I cannot do it...I do not see anything like the force of a shot propelling JFK backward against the seat at 318, and the force would have been such that a single firme would ave recorded it. And I see no counterforce exerted by the springs of the neck of the seat.

Clearly, there is too much here we do not know and cannot, on the basis of the present state of our knowledge, be absolutely without question about... The Reffman's ringing-brain theory is ridiculous. What a kick that receling brain would have had!...I'll be glad to make no public mention of this. I'd prefer it be discherished "secret".

If and when you see Dempsey, in addition to the obvious, without focusing his interest or suspicion, ask him for everything you can get (and to be sure) about Miguel Alvarez Jiminez, Anton o Soto Vasquez and Acole Pedros Amores (perhaps Pedor Acela). Also one Congjo or (phon) Consealy-o or Consilly-o). Skip around and come back to these to be sure, if he knows anything, you do not unctly emphasize interest or arouse his suspicions. It is possible these could be very important.

Councilor: I have gotten only the one copy, dated 7/13. I am still interested in anything further they may have carried on the King bugling or the Ray case...Carison once had a great interest in an Emilio Santana.

Get to other things. Valuable letter. Many thanks.

Past

Harold Weisberg