

1/23/69

N.O. notes

Bud & I, delayed last night with staying with Barbara, getting a sleep later. At 7:30 a.m. Steve Borador phoned to say Jim would meet us at the office at 8:15. We rushed & had getting there close to this time. The office was still locked. We saw Jim about 10 a.m. We began by going through the mail, to the staff, the Washington Post, etc. Bud left to work at 1:25 p.m. to travel and Jim & I continued, discussing the aspects.

I told him Joffe was being saying that he got the office copy of the 2 photos & gave it to Leonard & also that he knew L. had stopped off in N.O. & had gotten it from Charlie Ward. I said if Steve were saying this is word from the truth. I note that Jim did not deny the possibility of either. He did say that he learned to have gotten a copy from Steve Borador, to which I responded that he also said they had two copies & that one was much better than the other. His story was a good & probably a comparison with credible circumstances. I will not help necessarily appeared if it were possible that Joffe did get the office copy & that they took up the Ward case.

On January, Jim said he had read in your medical, on Jim's first piece, on studying the U. Mass. which was he described as beautiful. In telling that Palmer wanted from he requested to let the other know this was before he separated the (from leaving) that he had not discovered this & that when he & his office had met, I had.

He persists in believing the man in the "tray" pictures leaves connection with the examination of Burpurnis. I only, he seemed to agree with my suggestion that we need for purposes that ~~some~~ some was possible from the way in the piece it was, and that perhaps some of them & that the presence of them not related to the

operation jeopardize it and then suddenly
I told him how I had learned from [unclear] of
the names of the auditing firm. I saw that from
none of [unclear] [unclear] clearly not.

He told me that when he first met [unclear]
he suggested the change of title to "Financial [unclear]"
He has no more faith in Turner & [unclear]
[unclear] he would be an expert. I suggested he need
not be but also put it on his record: What he is is
not the way, what he does & did is the measure.
When I pointed out that he kept from my own
investigation come up with nothing that stands
up it is related to his case, he agreed. He seems,
however, to have no doubt that there may be
something to the Bradley story. I explained how
I & [unclear] had stopped each other on Turner's
Bradley story, that cannot be informed of Jones from a
[unclear] [unclear] source, even though the same in
N.Y. in the [unclear] [unclear] [unclear] stuff. He [unclear]
He readily understood that such [unclear] for
prestige and influence with [unclear] to the [unclear]. He
also said that he has heard nothing from
[unclear] he paid B. & that the day or the day
after he did [unclear] was on the phone [unclear] with
[unclear] & [unclear] & [unclear] [unclear]. He speaks of [unclear]
[unclear] at [unclear] he also tried to keep [unclear] away
from [unclear] as [unclear] also did. He came in to

I told him the [unclear] story. He was interested
see me in the [unclear] office [unclear] was on Tuesday.
[unclear] was there. He told me he wanted me to have the
[unclear] [unclear]. [unclear] made copies for me so I can
send them around, together with the [unclear] [unclear] [unclear]
[unclear] story. I will send one of each to [unclear].
We checked the city directory & found the LTR
in it in 1962, as I recall next to the [unclear]-
ment in the [unclear] office. I asked for copies of
all the [unclear] pages of the [unclear] [unclear] [unclear] [unclear]
with 1960 & he got [unclear] & got them done. He was
interested in the LTR story & [unclear]

He showed a photograph of the autopsy
to make me for him & another for Green
& whom Paul had spoken last night for
some time at the Forensic place. I showed
him in evidence of some of the other medical
staff & showed it to him.

Spent some time trying to find out
for personal reasons (he had come from Antwerp
Belgium, but being a Belgian - & he just
happened to be there at that time
out), but because the great reason for him to be
staying in London was the current case. I
showed him some of the medical staff who were
the Green case (him was present at the
report on the family part as well as out).

More to follow he is out in field all part
the morning part. I had talked to him about
this. I am going to him about it today
when he left the office.

The arrangement on the D.C. trial is that,
if White is satisfied, Green will go ahead
with Paul in Chicago. I have a letter that
Paul will get if White is satisfied. Green is to
call him if he is to leave home this weekend.

I told him the situation as he found it and
showed it some of the Chicago case was a
medication, of Chicago's refusal to talk to
me by phone. He then told me he had
visited on the removal of the situation & that
the trial could be dropped if they do not get the
Puh & Vray & Paul whom I think de m'arré,
which had said Green had to leave - leave it in

I told him it was all done in advance of my
D.C. meeting by the judge & he understood