Dear Dick,

As soon as I completed the notes for my speeches and seminars at Wisc. Stevens Point I read your affidavit and the attachments. I'll give it to jim Tuesday. It is certain to be very helpful to him, not only in questioning Frazier, either.

I think it might be helpful to have a picture of your own removal of a piece of core from 399. If Jim can use it in depositing of in later questioning in the court room it will become part of a permanent, official record. If you can't do this please sail it directly to Jim at 1231 4 St/, SW, 20024.

I know you did much more work than is incorporated in the memos and affidavit. What I believe could be helpful to Jim's understand is a further explanation of the pattern of the dust-like particles. For example, is the consistent with the design and comjosition of a 399-like bullet? Is it inconsistent? It is consistent with varmineting and some hunting ammo? There is a point at which you go into the small-caliber, high velocity ammo and there is a page I could not make out, Poor copy.

I wish we had an opportunity to discuss some of this in the light of what we have learned since you did your original draft. Not as much for the litigation as for understanding of new possibilities.

A buff who wrote me for a while and is not abroad conjected about the Vietnam ammo I think for the M74 and the hydrostatic pressure he says it builds up in matter like the brain. Especially with the alleged entry 3 or 4 inches higher in the head all the testimony relating to occiput-level is out and invalid if it was valid for a wound at that point.

In the new material I've received from the FBI on the King assassination it turns at out that the sight on that albged fatal rifle also was not screwed on tight. Frazier could remove the screws without a tool

By the way, other consition parallel, as does the stobewalling. It is certain the proof that is still suppressed is negative.

Many, many thanks,