Jim Lesar 948 F St., 1M, #509 Washington, DC 20004 Dear Jim, AARC

Right after Jonathan and I talked yesterday Gallen's office told me that the first few copies of Case Open were there forom the print er's, one was in the mail to me and when they have a supply I'll gdt more. So, unless you and J. are in a hurry, when I have some 1'll sent you copies.

Because you had said I should read Fonzi's book and when I asked why you said there are some things in it is should know. I'm up to Odio, a litted after page 100, and it is much, much worse than I'd feared. If he had intended it as a spoof it would be pretty good. He is uninformed, ignorant, gullible, irresponsible, less than honest and if I tried I could think of more to say how bad it is. He does not even know what is well known and public, knows nothing at all about what he writes about, repeats as dependable information silly rumors and assorted mythologies, and as far as Odio in his better noments merely wastes time, he and the readers'. Not a single things about it is good other than as elf-exposure and with the first will.

One of the points where he is less than honest that you should have spotted is that he makes no mention of the fact that Schweiker of had another Senator on his subcommittee and that he pary hart, had nothing to do with that silly investigation once he learned what it was and refused to signy the report. Another is that he omits his writing on the ssassination in his magainer in 1966. I remember a lengthy article in which he and that crazy one Salandrafic decided that Connally was not hit until Zapruder 298!

That important base for minitions he says was outside of New Orleans was not a base, not important, and the munitions, stolen from an oil opderation, were unsited for the planned uses. It was in a small white (rame house on the other side of Lake Pontahartrain, the causeway across which is \$\overline{\pi}\$ 25 miles long (that is "outside") and then about 10 miles more. It is near Slidell, off Pontchartrain Drive I was there and have pictures and interviews. It was not CIA and the raid was not part of JFK's program. It was because the se crazy Cubans brought those explosives there in the open, on a U-Haul trailer, and then in cleaning the place up almost set fire to the house. The nextdoor neighbor toward the lake phoned the police and they phoned the FBI or he called the FBI himself. He is my source. If Fonzi were not so incompetent, so inept, he could have had his kind of fun with the incident. That house was owned by a man big in more mob gambling in Cuba, Mc Laney. This is in my 0 in NO and is public knowledge grave to nuts who shun knowledge.

Fonzi makes a big thing of the so-called Tramp pictures and he did this book long after the ruth was known publicly. It was known earlier and it obviously has no relevenance. But if on the Schweiker or assassins committees the FBI had been asked, I forced an FBI investigation the summer of 1968 and when I got those records they confirmed what two investigations made for me by professionals told me, that there could not have

been any assassination connection. You should remember the use of a sketch obviously made from one of the pictures as the man wanted in the King case. That is what I used to force the FBI to look into it.

What has no credibility, like Marita Lorenz, he persists in regarding as credible despite all the things she did to prove she was incredible. Despite publication of her lack of credibility. She said what he wanted to be true no matter how impossible it was and he needed no more to con himself for her and others, like Sturgis.

To this point he has not made even a genture at showing any relevance. He just assumes what has none and to the rational mind cannot have relevance is relevant. His personal nuttiness and irresponsibility requires it so no matter how ridiculous it is to him it becomes real and he writer as though it is and has to be despite making not a single ff effort to show any relevance.

The more impossible it is from the known fact that is beyond question the more real and redible it is to him and in his writing.

He has made diligent and successful efforts not to know wht was public. Like what you should remember, that 1/27 transript I got and we published. We says it was two years later that Schweiker "discovered" it. When I have the Post a copy they did a st cry of about a third of a page. But Schweiker still "discovered" it.

I am annotating it, as I have reveral books for ave, so the time is not wasted. I do hope when I get into the committee parts he has something that is rational and reasonable as criticism and is what I do now know.

Guys like him must have ripped many FBI and GIA guts from hard laughing. He is a spectacle and he makes this spectical of himself.

With no source notes and with much not being capable of being sources because it it paspably impossble.

How arrogant his stapid title, <u>The Last Investigation!</u> Somebody he does not name said so, so it is instant fact. Of the same silliness, he has two and only two "Government" investigations. In the executive agency sense he includes the two of the Congress, which makes three, and he ignores the largest of all, by the FBI. He never mentions that they ran an investigation. ...He do s not know what "Gemstone" was in Watergate.

But, Virole