

Andreas, Dean

JFK assassination records appeals
Searches, field offices and FBI
Improper withholdings - inconsistency, arbitrariness and capriciousness
Clay Shaw/Cly Bertrand; Pristine Davis; Andre Shaw; Marie Jennings

In another my call dated January 1 addressed Davis as Andrew in connection with Clay Shaw and records not provided are somewhat out date.

Attached are two copies of the same record from different files reflecting improper and unjustified withholdings from one version that are not withheld in the other version. I regard this as more than mere inconsistency, as arbitrariness and capriciousness with the intent of obfuscating and withholding for an area of zero sensitivity if not potential cause exonerating to the FBI.

Now also I want to repeat that Clay Shaw and his FBI and associates there were regular FBI sources (I believe properly and necessarily so although I also believe that because of this the FBI required enhancement once Shaw was charged) and that the CIA had admitted a continuing innocent relationship with Clay Shaw prior to the practices for and providing of the classified records.

I will also provide a separate but related appeal having to do with Jessie Corp, in addition to similar prior appeals.

For your information, the Pal-A-Tek relationship involved retired Mr. Warren C. De Bruyne who is involved in a case filed in New York. as I have told you, Mr. Lesser has a copy or that complaint if you do not.

Attached are 46-10500-4606 and the first page of the best recorded copy from 100-32555 42-10000-4612. (I have already provided a San Antonio record that is relevant and perhaps a copy of one of the above in connection with my earlier related appeal.)

If you require more of an explanation relating to Clay Bertrand then I have provided I can offer more information.

I have provided the first page only of the duplicate record because there are no withholdings from the subsequent pages. Also, they disclose what I withheld from 4606.

The second and a later withholding from 4606 ⁶⁴ is the name of Aaron Kohn. "is to so

exceptionally well known as the responsible, not other in the entire Committee, including extensively outside of New Orleans; and was so much an activist against racism I am surprised that the FBI even thought of withholding his name, as it did. In addition, he was standard, to the public no秘密.

Consistent with this relating to the editing of the telegram to Sullivan resto of the fact that such is a former FBI agent who is well known and is in other records. John's name is not withheld from the duplicate copy.

Part of the agent withholding, not withheld on the duplicate, is the date, March 19.

Do you know of any exception for the latter? Particular if we recall the record was disclosed if it is the San Antonio record referred to?

While the file identification of the duplicate copy indicated on 400 is too unclear for certainty none of the numbers do not appear to be those of that file.

The overall "fact" that follows is that your two earlier accounts were complete. One is proven to be false, that Andrews was not able to use the phone. He did, several times, as the FBI knew his code from their records. From the incomplete transcript is the fact that Oswald's connection with Andrews also facilitated his to get into Mexico - and Oswald apparently never used this service. Others are that Oswald was accompanied by another person on that date some of whom's co-conspirator aliases also were revealed. From what Andrews told me he is not in any FBI records I've seen there are still more.

Serial 4612 appears to be exceptional. No codes are indicated for New Orleans or the Office of Special Agents in New Orleans and acceptance in the FBI without the indication of that would be of interest that Mario Torruedas knew. And I recall no Mario Torruedas prior belief provided by either office. But the fact of the Torruedas-Burnside relationship and associations were well and publicly known in New Orleans. Burnside certainly was one the FBI should have questioned about Clay Hartman.

Reportedly both also served on the board of the Carroll Hall Foundation, at or the time of the FBI's foundation activities. The lawyer who tried to be investigated the allegations of the foundation's fraud also told us that many went to Latin Americans

who could not be located.

If either of the persons mentioned above were serving in their normal time, no hunting for the excuse for the 1967 departure. This would mean the existence of some personal relationship, close enough for it to leave his collected numbers.

Set the information you can find concerning p. (what else, what he does, how he has them, etc.)

Let them be listed as a list number - C 1967 members of the ICP, New Internally.

For those contact with the FBI 1967 and the day of their return. It does not seem probable that radio would have made a contact the next day simply to say he was not related to Clay Shaw.

Also there is one or two in all lists, separate from the assassination files. I have found no so called "obstructive evidence" in either of these.

In short, I believe a reasonable search has been made, including all the field offices.