The private papers of Senate Re-publican leader Hugh Scott reveal for the first time the agonizing that went an inside the GOP during the Water-The Scott Papers gate investigation.

resident Nixon even while they con-inued to offer him lip service. But eaders had grave misgivings about The papers show that top Republicar they became convinced of his they would no longer support

nained in office, as Indira Gandhi is mergency and usurping dictatorial owers, His own party leaders simply oing in India, by declaring a national mergency and usurping dictatorial vouldn't have gone along. Clearly, Nixon could never have re-

Our investigation has established, or example, that former Defense Secave his assistants explicit orders, no nproper White House pressure. He etary Mel Laird fiercely resisted any avors to his office. irected to refer all calls for special louse, that they were not to comply natter who called from improper requests. They were the White

On March 19, 1974, the Scott papers how, Senate Republican leaders had ersonal attorney, James St. Clair, and hite House counselor Dean Burch, dramatic confrontation with Nixon's

n the fact that this scandal continues "We told St. Clair," Scott confided fterward to an aide, "that our obli-ation was not to the President but greement on need for full disclosure the truth. (There was) common "We said that, if the case comes to

arty no matter how high it goes. Will go that high? I know you think it all. St. Clair thinks not. It's a hell of ot and will not shield any guilty ct-as jurors and can't prejudge the he Senate from the House, that we vidence. At the same time, we canbituation!"

> "Scott continued to worry about Nixon defending him in public." in private, the memos show, even while

exchange of confidential memos with account of the GOP agonizing in an fidant, Martin Hamberger. nis former trusted assistant and con-Scott provides an intimate, absorbing

convention, Scott expressed reserva-tions about Nixon: "He has the knowl-edge," Scott told Hamberger. "But the question is: does he have the wisdom?" As far back as the 1968 Republican

you sure you're getting the whole truth from these people?" Early in the Watergate drama, Ham-berger asked his boss bluntly: "Are Nixon in private, the memos show, even while defending him in public. Scott continued to worry about

must not, as party leader, prejudge this horrible situation until all the facts responded Scott on May 10, 1973. "Is higher up? I have today decided that it H and E (H. R. Haldeman and John Ehrlichman)? Dean? Or, God forbid "Someone is not telling the truth,"

possibility of White House involve-ment, and I indicated I would put it up to R.N. (Richard Nixon) at the leadership meeting at the White House Gerald Ford who was then the House Republican leader. "We discussed the to the the next day," related Scott. The previous March 19, according memos, Scott huddled with

down with Nixon in the Oval Office. "I told R.N. that many senators had The following morning, Scott sat me to clear

doubts, and I thought he should authorize me to state, if it was true, that no one (including himself) in the White House was involved," related

was involved in it," he said. Nixon lied to his Senate leader. "No one now at the White House

"Good," said Scott. "I'll tell the press that on my authority." "Hell no," declared the President.

somebody knows more than they're telling... The truth will finally come out. Lord knows where it will lead." "You can say it on my authority." the incident: "This is relieving. Still, Commented Scott in his memo on

lowing January, Scott held an urgent meeting with House Republican lead-er John Rhodes and Republican Nati; onal Chairman George Bush about Watergate, "All of us worried about lacking enough facts," Scott reported After Congress reconvened the fol-

uary 1 meeting with Al Haig and St. else. St. Calir didn't think so." "I asked whether there was anything showed me last December do not make ness but continue to insist that the Clair. "They don't conceal the seriouscase against R.N.," related Scott He pressed for the facts at a Feb. House) tapes which

(R-Mich.), on March 19, 1974. "I com-plained to him that the White House Scott talked it over with his assistant Senate leader, Sen. Robert Griffin my advice . . .

thought we'd better have a go-round with our leadership and get their re-

same answer: 'That's what I'm trying Gerry Ford at one of our meetings what I'd said," reported Scott. "He's made the same strong recommendation to RN that I have. We both get the closure" to the White House, "I told Scott had recommended "full

only full disclosure but full cooperation Scott went back to the White House on March 29, 1974, to recommend not

Republican Edward) Hutchinson, that St. Clair was working on it, that they with the House impeachment inquiry.
"R. N. stressed willingness to cooperate," wrote Scott, would keep us informed. Chairman Peter) Rodino, and (ranking been in touch with (House Judiciary

You're in a position to say, 'Let the chips fall, let the guilty be punished, I will not stand for wrongful conduct.' is a strong show of moral indignation. Nixon to his face: "What's needed here think now you'll have to say it with great deal of emphasis." But all Scott got out of Nixon, he According to the memo, Scott told

"We're cooperating. We're working on was the same old answer:

to pull away from him . . . We're beginning to realize that we may yet be jurors." Unless there was full cooperation, Scott stressed in a March 30, 1974, memo, "our whole leadership will have

claiming during the Fourth of July yond the legal bounds-is worth the President of their own party be-Republican faithful would not support The message of the memos-that the

abroad and could not be reached for Footnote: Sen. Scott is traveling

@ 1975, United Feature Syndicate, Inc.