2/19/85

Dear nack,

records disclosed to "ask allen reflecting the fact that the FBI lies in at least FOIA litigation in always essening that ticklers are routinely destroyed after a matter of day, as Phillips and others have mean in all my cases. I think that the reason I did is because it sight be relevant in cases you handle, FOIA and other. What I have in saind by other is a case you do not have, Paul Robeson's son. Or the Rosenberg case, etc.

There is another toutibe lie, that the ticklers contain only carbons of what is in the disclosed main files. A classic case of this in the so-called long tickler, in my King suit, clearly a political tickler loaded with what could be used to defame, etc. Misused, I should say. This tickler disclosed wiretapping to me, and it was in a bank robbery file, not a main assassination file. Etc. etc. etc. It also happens, it may interest you to know, that permission to addition had been requested, Ramsey Clark and not approve, and much later, after his wiretapping, however write an indignant withdrawal to Clark.

Enclosed is a copy of a memo on some of the copies of records reflecting the existence of ticklers after as much as 20 years that I'm sonding to Jim. If at second point in the future this is of interest to you, fark allen has all of it because "im is sending me only some of it.

Best wishes,

Dear Jin, . 2/19/85

Glad the last batch of stuff disclosed to "ark Allen came today because it is a rocky one, beautiful and I'd like to be outside but I do not dare. So I've gone over it and will comment separately. (I had to stand in the bank this norming and while it was not for long I felt like I was close to passing out by the time I left and it hasn't improved much.)

I think there is only one exception to the rule that I'm filing these copies of what was disclosed to Mark separately and identified as disclosed to him. However, there is nothing by which I can identify this batch, which I identify to you with a copy of the first page with your note. So I'm keeping it, if we have to refer to it again, in a folder identified as Ticklers, FBIRC, to tark Allen, and in that file cabinet.

Some may be of use to "ark "ynch in FOIA or other litigation against the FBI so I'm sending him some copies.

In general I believe that this alone, without previous similar disclosures, is enough for any litigant to go back to court on "new evidence" where a factor in the litigation was, like Phillips' and other attestations in mine, that ticklers lasted but a short time and then were routinely destroyed.

Thanks and best.