

Dr. Gary Aguilar
909 Hyde St., #550
San Francisco, CA 94109

Dear Gary,

The ecologist in me has me reusing discarded pages of the ms. of my new book. I have at least two discarded for each page, quite a bit with the book more than 250,000 words! I hope you do not mind. I don't know how much energy or trees or parts of trees saved but each little bit perhaps helps a bit.

I appreciate the transcript of what that idiot Lundberg said and I'll include in it a postscript. And the copies of the excellent letters by you and your colleagues. About which some suggestions, if you do not mind, intended to eliminate any vulnerability and to make what you say more effect.

I did not go to the sources you cite in your notes and I do not want to get in any arguments with any of them but several are not dependable. Meaning the men and their books. This does not mean that what you cite is. That is why I say I did not take the time to check. They are not wrong all the time but basically they are in the thrust of what they say.

There is nothing wrong with citing the official repository of records you quote but I think that in addressing a Lundberg, particularly after his spiel about being a journalist, it ~~can~~ have more impact if you cite a publicly-available source because it is, I emphasize throughout this new book, the responsibility of a journalist to do that.

I use nothing in this new book not readily available to them and used lengthy excerpts from what I published years ago to emphasize that they ignored what was as close as a phone call or in their local libraries.

And I think you will find the book as definitive on JAMA and the AMA's responsibility as I could make it in a rushed first draft. It is only when you read what I said about Lundberg, Humes and Boswell that you will perceive the utter stupidity of Lundberg's copout and of the extraordinary dishonesty of the prosecutors, including Finck.

I sent Humes and Boswell copies of Whitewash in 5/66. Boswell did comment on it to a reporter I primed for questioning him. That is the source of his lie that he did not understand that his sketch was more than a rough thing to be disposed of and did not have to be accurate!

The only way I can think of that you can help me now is when the book appears. I do not know when that will be. I've not yet received the contract. But I do believe that it can do more to further what you and your colleagues did so well than anything I can think of. I think a copy of it to the right people in JAMA should raise questions about continuing Lundberg as JAMA's editor and Breo as his reporter-at-large. Particularly if, as I hope, enough AMA members express themselves after reading it.

Please feel free to give copies to any of your associates you think might want this.

My typing cannot be any better. It is not only hunt-and-peck but I must keep my legs elevated most of the time, and that means the typewriter is to the side. Sorry!

If you or any of your associates are ever near here, a little more than an hour from the Baltimore and Washington airports, you are welcome to go over all I got and to copy whatever you might want.

My friend Cyril has been talking about doing it when he is nearby on family visits but they seem to take, not unusually, all the time he is in the area.

I do think, as you'll be able to judge for yourself in time, that with any real attention to my new book, tentatively titled NEVER AGAIN! ~~WITH A DESCRIPTIVE~~ ~~SUBTITLE~~, ~~AND (THE) (THE) (THE)~~ of doctors speaking out, we may be able to make some progress, the kind that is impossible with the works of theory none of which are proven and just about all of which are impossible. The theoreticians are that and not scholars. Or investigators, despite their interviews that so often are arguments in support of preconceptions.

I understand that Crenshaw may file suit. Perhaps has. I want to caution you, in the event you decide to support it, that I have the proof he could not have been phoned by LBJ. Now that does not mean he did not get a phone call or that he was not told it as from LBJ. But it does mean it was not from LBJ. I use those records also in this new book, but not as related to Crenshaw.

There is one way in which you(plural) can help if you can take the time. It is to send me copies of relevant stories you see in your papers and magazines, including medical. The Washington Post, which I get, most often does not use wire-service copy or stories from the NY Times, which it regards as a competitor, or other major papers, like the LA Times.

If I cannot make immediate use of such copies they can be valuable in the rather large archive I will leave at local Hood College, one of the very best in the country, according to peer polling.

The librarian is Charles Kuhn and the head of the history department (only as long as it again takes for him to get out of it) is Gerald McKnight. Both are friends and the finest human beings. McKnight teaches a course in the politics of assassination. It is not a whodunit. (As does Dr. David Wrone, historian, at Univ. of Wisc. at Stevens Point and Dr. Gerald Ginocchio, sociologist/ criminologist, at Wofford College, Spartanburg, SC.)

Greg Stone deposited Sylvia Leagher's records there and McKnight has almost finished preparing them for public access. I had a student make many copies for a friend, from what McKnight had already gone over.

Ultimately Hood will make everything available by the new optical wizardry.

Atwohl phoned me a month or so ago while driving to pick his daughter up. What he seems to have done is find some way of saying what the official mythology says that he knows

5
is wrong by saying the same thing in a different way. Thus, in being wrong, the Commission and the finiky prosecutors are right even if they are wrong!

He said he'd like to come up, I invited him, and he's not been here.

Harry Livingstone of Hugh Tras 1 and 2 and three coming, made what Artwohl says are these professional charges against him. On ^aBaltimore Police letterhead yet.

Should any of your associates want to ask me anything, please tell them that because of sleep apnea and prostate problems I must retire early, and up often, too often wide awake and the only way I've found of coping with it at all is to retire earlier. By doing that I am averaging more than five hours of total sack time, often more than six, instead of about four hours of it. I aim for bed by 7 p.m., which is 4 out there, and mostly make it before 7:30. But I was up at 1:15 this morning and when I tried to nap, after a half hour I gave it up because I was too wide awake.

Thanks again and best wishes,

Harry

GARY L. AGUILAR, M.D.
909 HYDE STREET SUITE 530
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94109

TELEPHONE 775-3392

5-15-93

DEAR MR WEISBERG,
THANKS FOR TAKING MY
CALL TODAY. ENCLOSED IS A
COPY OF LUNDBERG'S CHICAGO-
DEBATE COMMENTS.

ENCLOSED ALSO FIND A
LETTER TO THE AMA BOARD OF
TRUSTEES WHICH I WOULD LIKE
YOU TO KEEP CONFIDENTIAL FOR
NOW. ALSO PLEASE FIND THE
PREVIOUSLY REJECTED LETTER
TO THE EDITOR SIGNED BY
5 PEOPLE WHOSE PRIOR^{JAMA-}PUBLISHED
LETTERS OF DISSENT WENT UNANS-
WERED IN JAMA. FINALLY
PLEASE FIND A LIST OF QUES-
TIONS FOR LUNDBERG THAT
WERE PASSED OUT IN CHICAGO.

PLEASE LET ME KNOW IF I CAN
HELP YOU IN ANY WAY. →

THANKS SO MUCH FOR ALL
THE WORK YOU'VE DONE IN
THE PAST. I AM DEEPLY IN
YOUR DEBT.

TRULY YOURS,

Randy

PS HOME ADDRESS

360 POETT ROAD

HILLSBOROUGH CA

94010

HOME # (415) 342-8920

HOME FAX (415) 342-4536

OFFICE FAX (415) 563-4453