

U

Dr. Gary Aguilar
909 Hyde St., #530
San Francisco, CA 94109

8/21/97

Dear Gary,

Good letter in response to the professional ignoramus.

Jeff Prugh was a friend when he was with the LA Times, beginning when he was its Atlanta bureau chief. I gave him a good story that the Times syndicated on CIA spying on King. Last I'd heard from him he was with a suburban edition I think the Times closed down a couple of years ago. Please when you can phone him and tell him hello for me. I try to respond to all letters but I avoid all I can to continue working. I'm feeble but I'm continuing. The enclosed first page of the report to the local doctors reflects how lucky I am. He did not expect me to survive when he rushed me to Hopkins last year. That with my second congestive heart failure. Fact is all my doctors have expressed amazement.

I'll appreciate a copy of the voice letter you cite. Wish I'd been able to go to Palm Beach when I discovered him, which was before I published Post Mortem in 1975. Probably was about 1967 when I learned about him.

If it is up to Jeff you can depend on honesty and fairness with such pieces.

At some point you are going to have to face a reality nobody has yet faced: the ARRB is not bringing any assassination information to light. It cannot once it assumes, as it did, the correctness of the Report. It can bring much that relates to the investigation and to the phonying of evidence to light but that creates a problem for most in the future: it is not possible to go over so many records. The greater the volume the less access to anything good.

It is good that you have some input, however. I look forward to still being here when they disclose those autopsy pathologist depositions. And if they find them, those notes that Humes did not destroy as he swore to HSCA.

Thanks and best,

Harold

GARY L. AGUILAR, M.D.
909 HYDE STREET SUITE 530
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94109

TELEPHONE 775-3392

8-16-97

DEAR HAROLD,

SORRY TO HAVE
BEEN SO OUT OF
TOUCH LATELY.

HOPE YOU'RE
WELL.

ENCLOSED IS A
PIECE I WAS ABLE TO
SNEAK INTO A LOCAL
PAPER. I WROTE IT
IN RESPONSE TO A PIECE
OF CRAP BY A "DON KATES"
ENCLOSED. BEST WISHES,
Gary

3-7-77

MARIN VOICE

JFK's slaying: They put 'con' in 'conspiracy'

DON B. KATES

SOME PEOPLE BELIEVE that the government conspiratorially suppresses abductions of Americans by space aliens. Others believe that the LAPD framed O.J. And there are those who see conspiracy behind the assassination of John F. Kennedy, though they cannot even agree among themselves on who the conspirators were.

But any rational reader of Gerald Posner's 1993 book, "Case Closed," will conclude that Lee Harvey Oswald acted alone. He is condemned by the following summary of undisputed facts (adapted from the review Valerie Klein and I did for the American Journal of Legal History):



The night before the assassination on Nov. 22, 1963, in Dallas, Oswald made an unusual weekday trip to his wife's home, where his rifle was kept. The next morning, after leaving his wedding ring and \$170 on her dresser, he took a long paper bag to the Texas School Book Depository, telling a co-worker that it contained curtain rods. None was found.

But the empty bag found on the sixth floor bore his prints and fibers from the blanket in which he kept his rifle. The rifle was also there, bearing Oswald's palmprint and fibers from his shirt. Nearby lay his clipboard, none of his work orders having been filled.

Oswald's alibi for the shooting, eating lunch with a buddy, was contradicted by the supposed buddy, whose own story is corroborated by others who watched the presidential motorcade with him from the fifth floor. (They heard the shooter above them working the bolt and the shells hitting the floor).

Of the depository employees, only Oswald disappeared after the assassination. His erratic path took him to his roominghouse. He entered only long enough to retrieve his handgun and jacket.

Next, Dallas police officer J.D. Tippett was killed. The shells dropped from his murderer's gun match Oswald's to the exclusion of all others. Cornered in the Texas Theater, Oswald tried to shoot the arresting officer with that gun.

To dispel the overwhelming case against Oswald, conspiracy advocates must refute these facts and/or establish others. But their stock-in-trade is not facts, but questions — sinister, unanswerable and ultimately meaningless.

What was Oswald's motive? No one knows, but murderers are highly aberrant people whose motives are often unfathomable. Suppose John Hinckley Jr. had died in a shoot-out with the Secret Service: The fact that he was trying to kill President Reagan in order to impress Jodie Foster would have died with him.

Oswald exemplifies the aberrant "lone nut" assassin: bumbling, irrational, delusional, misfit; aimless wanderer; wife-beater; a miserable ne'er-do-well whose desire to be "somebody" was mocked by continual failures culminating in his dissolving marriage.

What was nightclub owner Jack Ruby's motive for killing Oswald two days later, thereby dooming himself for life imprisonment? Only fanatics could think postulating that Ruby was a Mafioso (or a CIA agent?) answers this more convincingly than Ruby's own explanation: Inspired by manic patriotism, he imagined he would be received as a national hero.

Outrage and grief engendered by prior assassinations have inspired similar deeds by similarly

Opinion

Marin Independent Journal

Jeff Prugh,
Editorial Page Editor
Jane Fletcher,
Editorial writer

Phyllis K. Pfeiffer, Publisher
Mike Townsend, Editor
Jacquelynn M. Kerwin, Managing Editor

"Dedicated to Marin's spirit,
committed to Marin's future."



AP photo

NOV. 24, 1963: Lee Harvey Oswald is slain by nightclub owner Jack Ruby in Dallas, two days after police had arrested Oswald, accusing him of killing President John F. Kennedy with a rifle.

disturbed people: Garfield's assassin was shot at in his cell by a soldier who was later acquitted on grounds of insanity. John Wilkes Booth was killed against orders by a soldier who had castrated himself out of religious mania.

Without any evidence of other shooters, there is no basis for assuming guilt of anyone but (or beside) Oswald. He was too irresponsible for the Mafia, CIA, or whoever, to trust as a spy or assassin. Even as a "patsy," this erratic shirker could not be depended upon even to show up for work — much less be seen there right before and after

Without any evidence of other shooters, there is no basis for assuming guilt of anyone but (or beside) Oswald.

the shooting, yet accommodatingly disappear during the crucial moments!

The single-bullet theory (endorsed by all ballistics experts) eliminates the need to postulate other shooters. Despite Oliver Stone's filmmaking antics, computer simulations show that JFK and Texas Gov. John Connally were perfectly aligned for a single bullet. (Those who are interested should access Emmy-winning Dale Myers' website <http://www.rust.net/~dmyers/jfk/>)

Fanatics of the right and left happily join hands in conspiracy theories. The racist Liberty Lobby

preaches that there was no Holocaust. It says it's an invention of "the International Jews" to cover their evil-doing — including JFK's assassination, which was carried out by their minions, the CIA, Trilateral Commission and/or Israeli secret agents.

Although conspiracy fanatics Mark Lane and Oliver Stone purport to be liberals, Lane is the Liberty Lobby's legal mouthpiece; he and Stone contribute to its bizarre, racist publications. The technical adviser for Stone's 1991 film, "JFK" (and model for its Donald Sutherland character, "Colonel X"), Fletcher Prouty, sits on LL's board along with the former Grand Dragon of the Mississippi KKK.

Bigotry is a natural correlate of conspiracism. Many 19th century Americans believed that Lincoln, an economic protectionist, was assassinated by "the international Jew Bankers," led by the Rothschilds, seeking to seize control of the American economy. Other deranged bigots contended that the Catholic Church assassinated Lincoln, having secretly sponsored secession out of its alleged hostility to free institutions and thus to the United States. Then, as now, such views were buttressed by a plethora of falsehoods, e.g. that all the conspirators were Catholics.

People who believe in space aliens, O.J. Simpson's innocence or a JFK conspiracy cannot be dissuaded. But anyone interested in serious, rational answers will find them in "Case Closed."

Don B. Kates, who lives in Novato, is a San Francisco-based constitutional lawyer and criminologist.

MARIN VOICE
 Now that files
 are open, the
 case *isn't* closed

GARY L. AGUILAR, M.D.

DON KATES' SPIRITED defense (Opinion, March 7) of the official version of John F. Kennedy's death — that Lee Harvey Oswald alone caused it — is uninformed as to the exciting new revelations from the Assassinations Records Review Board.

This Clinton-appointed, civilian panel of historians was established in response to Oliver Stone's 1991 film, "JFK," and is working to gather and release long lost documents, as well as review for release those currently suppressed. That so many documents are still suppressed after 33 years has fanned conspiracists' flames, and while Kates is right about the craziness of a few extremists, proof of past conspiracies abounds. Did not our own government, overreacting to the threat of communism, repeatedly lie about the secret wars it conducted in Laos and Cambodia during the Vietnam era? Did it not perform dangerous, and wildly illegal, radiation, syphilis and LSD experiments in secret on unwitting and non-volunteer Americans? Were not illegal wiretaps, break-ins and mail openings against law-abiding citizens conducted? Did not Richard Nixon conspire with high government officials to cover up the Watergate burglary? These conspiracies were not the inventions of crazies or Warren Commission critics Oliver Stone or Mark Lane, but the conclusions of official investigations.

As one who has served as a consultant to the records review board and who has seen JFK's still restricted autopsy evidence, I'm persuaded that recent revelations from suppressed JFK files will force a reappraisal by the few in our country who still have total faith in the Warren Commission's conclusion that Oswald acted alone.

Mr. Kates mentioned that the bag found at the supposed sniper's nest ties Oswald to the crime. But two contradictory FBI lab reports on the analysis of the same paper bag have emerged — one, given by the FBI to the Warren Commission in 1964 "proving" the bag matched paper from the schoolbook depository where Oswald worked; a second, which appeared 12 years later, saying that it did not match.

Warren Commission witnesses Nelson Delgado and Wilbryn Litchfield testified under oath that the FBI and federal agents encouraged them to alter their testimonies to implicate Oswald. FBI agent James Hosty received a note from Oswald, a note he destroyed under orders from superiors. The FBI withheld the existence of Oswald's note from the Warren Commission, and, recently, Hosty said that even his own FBI personnel files on the JFK investigation were falsified. Today, we know that the FBI mishandled much more than just Oswald's note.

The FBI reported, and the Warren Commission published, the "fact" that the first two witnesses who discovered the so-called "magic bullet" — the crux of the wobbly "single-bullet theory" necessary to the official version — believed that the bullet apparently now in evidence looked like the bullet they found the day JFK was shot in Dallas, Nov. 22, 1963.

A suppressed memo from the FBI reveals that not only could neither Darrell Tomlinson nor O.P. Wright, the Parkland Hospital employees who found the bullet, identify the one currently in evidence as the one they found, neither could Secret Service agent Richard Johnson or the chief of the Secret Service, James Rowley, the next two men in the bullet's chain of possession. Given recent accusations that the FBI has falsified evidence to support criminal convictions, and documented examples suggesting just that in the JFK case, what evidence are we to trust?

Kates is quite wrong to contend that all ballistics experts have endorsed the single-bullet theory. But he could not have known — the evidence was suppressed until Oliver Stone came along. In fact, at least two experts demurred. The House Select Committee on Assassinations' trajectory-analysis expert,



Opinion

Marin Independent Journal

Jeff Prugh, Editorial Page Editor
 Jane Fitcher, Editorial writer
 Phyllis K. Pfeiffer, Publisher
 Mike Townsend, Editor
 Jacquelyn M. Kerwin, Managing Editor

"Dedicated to Marin's spirit, committed to Marin's future."



NOV. 22, 1963: On their final journey together, John and Jacqueline Kennedy arrive in Dallas. AP photo

Amazingly . . . JFK author Gerald Posner . . . , even before the assassinations records board had released a single suppressed document, declared the case "closed."

Thomas Canning of NASA, wrote: ". . . I did not anticipate that study of the photographic record of itself would reveal major discrepancies in the Warren Commission findings. Such has turned out to be the case . . ."

If Kates is right that "only fanatics" would reject the Warren Commission's denial of a "significant link between [Jack] Ruby [Oswald's killer] and organized crime," then Notre Dame law professor G. Robert Blakey, a noted authority on the Mafia and former head of the House assassinations committee; historian Michael R. Beschloss, Reader's Digest Editor Henry Hurt, UC professor Peter Dale Scott, and respected, published authors David Scheim, Ph.D., John H. Davis, Curt Gentry, Mark North and others are certifiable. Each has argued persuasively that Ruby was indeed linked to the Mafia, which, they further persuade, the FBI never adequately investigated for the crime because J. Edgar Hoover, who had a reputation for softness on the Mafia, excluded the FBI's own experts on the Mafia from the probe.

Amazingly, Kates recommended JFK author Gerald Posner, who even before the assassinations records board had released a single suppressed document, declared the case "closed," and Oswald guilty. But there's no denying that Posner was the temporary media darling of Warren loyalists. By contrast, many published, respected authorities have cited Posner's 1993 "Case Closed" as one of the

least reliable books on the subject.

The autopsy report's placement of JFK's fatal entrance wound — low in the rear of JFK's head — is incompatible with someone firing into the presidential motorcade from Oswald's supposed position on the sixth floor of the Texas School Book Depository. Posner testified to Rep. John Conyer's congressional committee that he had spoken with two of JFK's pathologists. Posner informed Congress that the pathologists admitted to him they had changed their minds — JFK's fatal wound was not low, but high — a location compatible with Oswald's possible guilt.

I telephoned both of JFK's pathologists to ask them about this blockbuster news. In recorded conversations, both told me that they had not changed their minds about the low wound, and one told me twice that he had never spoken with Posner. I submitted my recordings to the assassinations records board, and I asked Posner, who has told others he, too, has recordings, to do the same. The board also has asked Posner for his proof. It has been more than a year now, and the board has received nothing.

What is important now is whether we can trust the evidence the Warren Commission relied upon, which is overwhelmingly FBI evidence — the same FBI that investigated Watergate and "proved" that the White House was innocent of any wrongdoing after what one senior Justice Department official described as "the most exhaustive FBI investigation since the assassination of President Kennedy."

It is also important, if embarrassing to our just pride as Americans, that it took an Oliver Stone film to force the U.S. government to do what it should have done without Stone — be open and accountable to us citizens.

Gary L. Aguilar, M.D., who lives in Kentfield, is chairman of the Department of Surgery at St. Francis Memorial Hospital in San Francisco and assistant professor of ophthalmology at Stanford University Medical Center and UC San Francisco.

Gary L. Aguilar, MD

909 Hyde St. #530
San Francisco, California 94109
(415) 775-3392 Fax (415) 563-4453

414 Woodland Road
Kentfield, California 94904

March 12, 1997

Readers' Forum
P.O. Box 6150
Novato, CA 94948-5458
Marin Independent Journal

Dear Editor,

Don Kates' spirited defense of the official version of John Kennedy's death - that Oswald did it alone¹ - is uninformed as to the exciting new revelations from the Assassinations Records Review Board (ARRB). This Clinton-appointed civilian panel of historians was established in reaction to Oliver Stone's film *JFK*, and is working both to gather and release long lost documents as well as review for release those currently suppressed. That so many documents are still suppressed after 33 years has fanned conspiracists' flames, and while Kates is right about the craziness of a few extremists, proof of past conspiracies abounds.

Did not our own government, overreacting to the threat of Communism, repeatedly lie about the secret wars in Laos and Cambodia it was conducting during the Vietnam era? Did it not perform dangerous, and wildly illegal radiation, syphilis and LSD experiments in secret on unwitting, and non-volunteer Americans?^{2 3 4 5} Were not illegal wire-taps, break-ins and mail-openings of law abiding citizens conducted?^{6 7} Did not Nixon conspire with high government officials to cover-up the Watergate burglary? These conspiracies were not the inventions of crazies, or of Warren critics Oliver Stone or Mark Lane, but the conclusions of official investigations.⁸ As one who has served as a consultant to the ARRB, and who has seen JFK's still restricted autopsy evidence, it is clear to me that recent revelations from suppressed JFK files will force a reappraisal by the few in our country who still have total faith in the Warren Commission.

Mr. Kates mentioned that the bag found at the supposed sniper's nest ties Oswald to the crime. But two contradictory FBI lab reports on the analysis of the same paper bag have emerged - one, given by the FBI to the Warren Commission in 1964 "proved" the bag matched paper from the school depository where Oswald worked; a second, which only appeared 12 years later, said that it did not match.⁹ Warren Commission witnesses Nelson Delgado¹⁰ and Wilbyrn Litchfield¹¹ testified under oath that the FBI and federal agents encouraged them to alter their testimonies to implicate Oswald. FBI agent James Hosty received a note from Oswald which he destroyed under orders from his superiors. The FBI withheld the existence of Oswald's note from the Warren Commission and, recently, Hosty has claimed that even his own FBI personnel files were falsified on the JFK investigation.^{12,13} Today we know the FBI mishandled much more than just Oswald's note.¹⁴

The FBI reported, and the Warren Commission published, the "fact" that the first two witnesses who discovered the so called "magic bullet" - the crux of the wobbly "single bullet theory" necessary to the official version - believed that the bullet apparently now in evidence looked like the bullet they found the day JFK was shot.¹⁵ A suppressed memo from the FBI reveals that not only could neither Darrell Tomlinson nor O.P. Wright, the Parkland hospital employees who found the bullet, identify the one currently in evidence as the one they found, neither could Secret Service Agent, Richard Johnsen or the Chief of the Secret Service, James Rowley, the next two men in the bullet's chain of possession.¹⁶ Given

recent claims about the FBI lab's falsifying evidence to support criminal convictions,¹⁷ and documented examples suggesting just that in the JFK case, what evidence are we to trust?

Kates is quite wrong to claim all ballistics experts have endorsed the single bullet theory. But he could not have known - the evidence was suppressed until Oliver Stone came along. In fact, there were at least two who demurred. The House Select Committee on Assassination's (HCSA) trajectory analysis expert, Thomas Canning of NASA, wrote: "... I did not anticipate that study of the photographic record of itself would reveal major discrepancies in the Warren Commission findings. Such has turned out to be the case...."¹⁸ Also suppressed were the "dissident" opinions of perhaps its most experienced Warren Commission ballistics expert, Chief Consultant for the Army in Wound Ballistics, Colonel Joseph R. Dolce, MD, FACS, who claimed ballistics results reported to the Warren Commission to support the single bullet theory had been falsified.¹⁹ In an angry letter to Senator J. Lawton Chiles, Dolce wrote, "I have disagreed with many facets of the investigation, especially the one bullet theory...."²⁰

Decrying author Mark Lane's links to the Liberty Lobby for its having denied, so he says, the Holocaust, Kates ignores the circumstances of the association. Lane, a Jewish attorney, was retained by Liberty Lobby to defend against a libel suit brought by CIA man, E. Howard Hunt, who had been accused by another CIA agent, Victor Marchetti, of involvement in the JFK case in the Liberty Lobby publication, The Spotlight. Lane explicitly distanced himself from Liberty Lobby's extremism,²¹ and so should be considered no more responsible for it than a lawyer is for his client's crimes. But relevant to the JFK case are two facts Kates omitted: not only did Lane prevail convincing the jury Hunt was *not* libeled for complicity in JFK's death because Hunt *was*, in fact, complicit, but also the jury forewoman publicly announced that evidence at trial convinced her that the CIA was complicit in JFK's death as well.²²

If Kates is right that "only fanatics" would reject the Warren Commission's denial of a "significant link between Ruby and organized crime,"²³ then noted Mafia authority and former head of the HSCA, Notre Dame Law Professor G. Robert Blakey,²⁴ historian Michael R. Beschloss,²⁵ Reader's Digest Editor Henry Hurt,²⁶ University of California professor Peter Dale Scott,²⁷ and respected, published authors David Scheim, Ph.D.,²⁸ John H. Davis,²⁹ Curt Gentry,³⁰ Mark North³¹ and others are certifiable. Every one of them has argued persuasively that Ruby was indeed linked to the Mafia, which, they further persuade, the FBI never adequately investigated for the crime because J. Edgar Hoover, who had a reputation for softness on the Mafia, excluded the the FBI's own Mafia experts from the probe.

Amazingly, Kates recommended JFK author, Gerald Posner, who, even before the ARRB had released a single suppressed document, declared the case "closed," and Oswald guilty. But there is no denying Posner was the temporary media darling of Warren loyalists, including at least one historian with modest credentials on the case.³² Many more respected and published authorities, however, have hailed Posner's book, Case Closed, as one of the least reliable books on the subject.^{33 34 35 36 37 38} Perhaps no one has said it more eloquently than the noted JFK authority, and University of Wisconsin history professor, David Wrone, whose 'academic-mindedness' on JFK Posner himself extolled while repeatedly citing his unflattering opinions of some Warren critics.³⁹ Writing in the peer-reviewed Journal of Southern History, Wrone described Posner's book as "so theory driven, so rife with speculation, and so frequently unable to conform his text with the factual content in his sources that it stands as one of the stellar instances of irresponsible publishing on this subject."⁴⁰ Wrone is not the only historian unhappy with Posner. The historian-laden ARRB has also been disappointed.

The autopsy report's placement of JFK's fatal entrance wound - low in the rear of JFK's head - is incompatible with someone firing from Oswald's supposed position. Posner testified to Rep. John Conyers' Congressional committee that he had spoken with two of JFK's pathologists.⁴¹ Posner informed congress that the pathologists admitted to him they had changed their minds - JFK's fatal wound was *not* low, but high - a location compatible with Oswald's possible guilt. I phoned both of JFK's pathologists to ask them about this blockbuster news. In recorded conversations, both told me that they had not changed their minds about the low wound, and one of them told me twice he had never spoken with Posner.⁴² I

submitted my recordings to the ARRB, and I asked Posner, who has told others he, too, has recordings, to do the same. The ARRB has also asked Posner for his proof. It has been more than a year now, and the ARRB has received nothing.⁴³

When all is said and done, what is important now is whether we can trust the evidence the Warren Commission relied upon, which is overwhelmingly FBI evidence - the same FBI that investigated Watergate and "proved" that the White House was innocent of any wrongdoing after what one senior Justice Department official described as "the most exhaustive FBI investigation since the assassination of President Kennedy."⁴⁴ It is also important, if embarrassing to our just pride as Americans, that it took an Oliver Stone film to force the US government to do what it should have done without Stone - be open and accountable to us citizens. Whether one liked *JFK* or not, it is beyond dispute that it has been as much a boon to democracy as it will have been to our understanding of John Kennedy's murder when the ARRB's work is done.

Sincerely yours,

Gary L. Aguilar, MD

Chairman, Department of Surgery, Saint Francis Memorial Hospital, San Francisco
Assistant Clinical Professor of Ophthalmology, Stanford University Medical Center
Assistant Clinical Professor of Ophthalmology, University of California, San Francisco
Member, Board of Directors, San Francisco County Medical Society
Member, American Medical Association

¹ Kates, Don B. **JFK's slaying: They put 'con' in 'conspiracy'**. *Marin Independent Journal*, 3/7/97.

² Frank Kofsky, *The cold war against the American People*. San Francisco Examiner, 12/21/95. (Frank Kofsky is professor of history at California State University, Sacramento.)

³ Joint Hearing Before The Select Committee On Intelligence and The Subcommittee on Health and Scientific Research of the Committee on Human Resources, United States Senate, 95th Congress, First Session, August 3, 1977. US Government Printing Office. Washington, DC 1977. (Copy available by request. See also op. cit. 5 - Olmsted, K.)

⁴ LSD given to unsuspecting, non-volunteers by CIA in MKULTRA program. In: Lee MA, Shlain B. **Acid Dreams - The Complete Social History of LSD: The CIA, the Sixties, and Beyond**. New York: Grove Weidenfeld (A division of Grove Press, Inc.), 1992, p. 28 - 32.

⁵ CIA's LSD experiments on non-volunteers: In: Weinstein HM, **Psychiatry and the CIA: Victims of Mind Control**. Washington & London: American Psychiatric Press, Inc., 1990, p. 105 - 127. (Harvey M. Weinstein, MD, is a professor of psychiatry at Stanford University. This book is about the experiences of his father, who was one of the non-volunteer victims of CIA LSD experimentation, and one of the few who was ultimately compensated for his suffering by the CIA.)

⁶ Verne Lyon, *The History of Operation CHAOS*, Covert Action Information Bulletin, #34 (Summer, 1990)

⁷ US Senate Select Committee, Intelligence Activities, vol. 2, Houston Plan, 23 September 1975, 2. In: Olmsted, Kathryn, **Challenging the Secret Government - the Post Watergate Investigations of the CIA and FBI**. Chapel Hill and London: University of North Carolina Press, 1996, p. 96. (Kathryn S. Olmsted is a lecturer in history at the University of California at Davis.)

⁸ Watergate, Pike Committee and Church Committee investigations. (Copy of the Pike Committee Report available by request.)

⁹ Hurt, Henry. **Reasonable Doubt**. New York: Henry Holt & Co., 1985, p. 95-98. A copy of both documents appears in the group of photographs at the end of chapter 6, between pages 138 and 139.

¹⁰ Warren Commission Vol. 8:249 and Vol. 8:259.

¹¹ Warren Commission Vol. 14:107.

- ¹² Hosty, James. Assignment: Oswald. New York: Arcade Publishing, 1996, p178-180, 184-185, and 243-244. (Hosty's charge of the FBI's falsifying his personnel file made earlier by Curt Gentry, see op. cit. #14.)
- ¹³ Gentry, Curt. J. Edgar Hoover - The Man and the Secrets. New York: W W Norton & Company, 1991, p550.
- ¹⁴ IBID., p. 446.
- ¹⁵ Warren Commission Exhibit #2011. Enclosed.
- ¹⁶ HSCA rec. # 1801003410436. Agency file # 105-82555-4205.
- ¹⁷ #1. Serrano, Richard A. Misconduct Allegations Arise in FBI Lab Probe - Inquiry: Workers tell of pressure to lie about their scientific findings. High profile cases could suffer. *Los Angeles Times*, 1/30/97, p. A-1.
- #2. Johnson, David. FBI Removes 4 Lab Workers Including Critic of Crime Unit. *New York Times*, 1/26/97.
- #3. Johnson, David, Andrew Revkin. Report Finds FBI Lab Slipping From Pinnacle of Crime Fighting. *New York Times*, 1/29/97, p. A-1.
- ¹⁸ 48. HSCA record # 14258, pp. 1-2.
- ¹⁹ Letter from J. R. Dolce, MD, FACS to Senator Lawton Chiles in: HSCA rec. #180-10089-10186. Agency file # 00668.
- ²⁰ Letter from J. R. Dolce, MD, FACS to Senator Lawton Chiles in: HSCA rec. #180-10089-10186. Agency file # 00668.
- ²¹ Lane, Mark. Plausible Denial. New York: Thunder's Mouth Press 1991, p. xiii.
- ²² IBID., p. 322.
- ²³ (The precise wording from the) The Warren Commission Report. New York: St. Martin's Press edition, p. 801.
- ²⁴ Blakey, G. Robert & Billings, Richard N. Final Hour - The Contract. The Killing. The Cover-Up. New York: Berkeley Books, 1992. [“We (the House Select Committee) could not accept the Warren Commission's benign view of Ruby's background, character, associations, and conduct ... Ruby's professed motive for killing Oswald - that he wanted to spare Mrs. Kennedy the ordeal of a trial - was admittedly false.” - p. 364-365.]
- ²⁵ Beschloss, Michael R. The Crisis Years - Kennedy and Khrushchev 1960 - 1963. New York: Edward Burlingame Books, “An Imprint of HarperCollinsPublishers, 1991, p.686. [“A staff member of the Senate's Kefauver committee, which examined organized crime in 1950, found that Ruby was ‘a syndicate lieutenant who had been sent to Dallas to serve as a liaison for Chicago mobsters.’”]
- ²⁶ Hurt, Henry. Reasonable Doubt. New York: Henry Holt & Co., 1985, p. 170-171, 175-176, 178-179.
- ²⁷ Scott, Peter D., Deep Politics and the Death of JFK. Berkeley. University of California Press, 1993, p. 67-69, 127-129, 131-133, 200-202, 204, 232.
- ²⁸ Scheim, DE. Contract on America - The Mafia Murder of President John F. Kennedy. New York: Shapolsky Publishers, Inc. 1988. See Part II: “Mob Fixer in Dallas”. chapters 6 - 11.
- ²⁹ Davis, John H. The Kennedys - Dynasty and Disaster 1848 - 1984. New York: McGraw Hill Books, 1984, p. 559 - 562.
- ³⁰ Gentry, Curt. J. Edgar Hoover - The Man and the Secrets. New York: W W Norton & Company, 1991, p. 546-550.
- ³¹ North, Mark. Act of Treason - The Role of J. Edgar Hoover in the Assassination of President Kennedy. New York: Carroll & Graf, p. 280-283, 357-358, 441, 443-444, 502.
- ³² Reeves, Thomas C. Book Review of Case Closed in: *The Journal of American History*, December, 11994, p. 1379.
- ³³ Scott, Peter Dale. Case Closed? Or Oswald Framed?. *The San Francisco Review of Books*, Nov./Dec., 11993, p.6. (This review is perhaps the most eloquent, concise, authoritative and damning of all the reviews of Case Closed.)
- ³⁴ Kwitny, Jonathan. Bad News: Your Mother Killed JFK. *Los Angeles Times Book Review*, 11/7/93.
- ³⁵ Nichols, Mary Perot. R.I.P., conspiracy theories? Book review in: *Philadelphia Inquirer*, 8/29/93, p. K1 and K4.

-
- ³⁶ Costello, George. The Kennedy Assassination: Case Still Open. *Federal Bar News & Journal*. V.41(3):233, March/April, 1994.
- ³⁷ Frank, Jeffrey A. Who Shot JFK? The 30-Year Mystery. *Washington Post - Book World*, 10/31/93.
- ³⁸ Weisberg, Harold. Case Open - The Omissions, Distortions and Falsifications of Case Closed. New York: A Richard Gallen Book, Carroll & Graf, 1994.
- ³⁹ Posner, Gerald. Case Closed. New York: Random House, 1993, p. 298n., 415n, 469.
- ⁴⁰ Wrono, David P. *Journal of Southern History*. V.61(#1):186, 2/95 - enclosed.
- ⁴¹ Hearing before the Legislation and National Security Subcommittee of the Committee on Government Operations, House of Representatives ("Conyers Committee"), One Hundred Third Congress, First Session, November 17, 1993. US Washington, DC Government Printing Office, p. 112-113.
- ⁴² I first published Drs. Humes and Boswell's claims about Posner's congressional testimony in the following: Aguilar, Gary. Letter to the Editor, *Federal Bar News and Journal*. V.41(5):388, June, 1994. [I wrote this letter to the editor in response to an unflattering book review in the *FBNJ* written by George Costello, JD. See Op. Cit, # 36.]
- ⁴³ Personal conversation with ARRB counsel, T. Jeremy Gunn, JD, Ph.D. (History), 3/10/97. [Of significant note is the fact Posner's blockbuster revelation that JFK's pathologists had changed their minds about the location of JFK's wound was not mentioned in either the hard-backed, or the revised, paperback, version of *Case Closed*, though Posner interviewed the pathologists before he wrote either. Drs. Humes and Boswell, moreover, have publicly repeated the low location of JFK's fatal wound in interviews published in the 5/27/92 issue of the *Journal of the American Medical Association*.]
- ⁴⁴ Emery, Fred. Watergate - The Corruption of American Politics and the Fall of Richard Nixon. New York, A Touchstone Book published by Simon & Schuster, 1994, p 217. (The senior Justice Department official in question was Richard Kleindienst.)