WA6-2034

207.34

9/1/66

Dear Jerry,

This whole thing is getting ripe, several ways. The galosed letters are for the moment in confidence. Subject to what I hear from my agent between now and then, you may have the letter to the Standard, if you find it as interesting as I mey get a chance to write the MYRevier also, for I om entranced 1th their daring and unscrupulousness. Imagine them as publishers of a literary criticism abusing a book that eliminate the swlue , if any, in one with which they plan to make what would appear to be a book-publishing enterprise. Barbara Epstein knew of my book in February. I spoke to her, personally. This is getting to be quite a scandel. But I'm grateful for the forthrightness of both topkins! And the London Standard: And my agent for sending me a copy. And all the other crooks who, not content with making me Galahad, now seek to remake me into David!

The several bo ketores I entered in New York treated me like the descending Christ. his morning I got a phoned reorder from a small atlantic City bookstore, whose customers had been subset d to the full Lans-Epstein barage. She says in her store HITEWOR is outselling both others together ten to one. I wish I could believe this to be normal.

Please send me the copy if Gervesi's letter, if possible another complete set that I can send to my agent in Engl nd. A review of my correspondence reveals that whatever Epstein may or may not have known of his way through the archive, Gervasi knew nothing, accepted my offer of help, asked me about getting him a photocopy of the FBI Report(any my discouraging it as a waste of money but offering to do it on receipt of the cost of photocopying) and a who, a buch of nice stuff like that; his return of the piece in an otherwise blank envelope, which I have, and his content in a searche detter indicating what I now take to be the line he will now take, I have every confidence without success. I may even be able to pinpoint the exact time they got the photocopies duplicating my work! (Whe has further.)

Jerry, is it time for a besh! One is building in England. I heven't seen but I have heard of today's Times ad by Look. A British dorrespondent phoned me to ask questions. You mry also get some interesting advence book news from a comparison of Manchester's interviews in the NY Times of May 9, 1965 and in the ondon St ndard of June 29, 1966. If he is sick, no wender. He's mixed the unofficial whitewash and the Original is making him sick. His reputation and that of many others now hang in the belence, but not for long. They're done. That NYTimes of My 9 is beautiful. Oswald is a minor figure. The Commission discharged its possibilities on the itentification of the assassin and conspiracy "superbly"; and he winds up with a book that says the same thing only the Commission did it badlyhe does it well for them. That a scandal brews. I have done all within my power to help everyone concerned, from the family to Herper's. ... I've more let ers must write im e istal so I can get back to work in a day of so. Please keep no closely is formed and clip the journals for me. Tell me if you'd like to use the Standard elitter if my English agent agrees, and if nothing in the next month in this country precludes it. I may elso make public Lane's letter gunning for arren and the Holt failure to consect the factual error I call to their prepublication a tention which, by Trun-Rober