

Senator Richard Schweiker  
Room 347, Senate Office Bldg.  
Washington, D.C. 20510

1/3/76

Dear Dick,

Thanks to you and the member of your staff kind enough to send me the Adams/  
FBI 10/21/75 Statement before the Abzug subcommittee.

I'm too tired to stand and consult files and I do not remember exactly how  
I expressed my request. I worked until 1 a.m. because there was no choice and only  
recently I return from a forced walk because it is part of the therapy.

However, I did request this statement from the Abzug staff. I have asked several  
times for the return of files I loaned and still do not have. My friend and lawyer, Jim  
Lesar, was refused access to the transcript of the public session. For a man who was  
sick and getting farther behind in his own work daily I spent a considerable amount of  
time helping that subcommittee's staff prepare for the hearing. It asked Lesar to pre-  
pare a statement it did not then call on him to make. I began my efforts to be helpful  
when I could barely walk, continued it in the hospital, even taking a private room I  
cannot afford to be available for confidential conversations, resumed when I was dis-  
charged, and I'll just have to write off the files not returned to me, only copies.  
But for what I have standing is not good and it was worse than. So I couldn't make copies.

This explains why I asked you for the House statement, as without recollection I  
am sure I did.

I have no urgent personal need for this statement. It is not possible for me to  
resume writing now. By the time I can the hearings will be printed. However, I do want  
to try as best I can to be prepared to be of assistance to Members of either House if  
I am asked and if I feel it is helpful. I know both Houses were imposed upon and deceived  
by these FBI statements, including in the one I believe was to your committee, dealing  
with Hoover and King. (If a copy of that statement is not too much trouble I'd appreciate  
it. I have already started working on what I believe accounts for the deception.  
Being able to study the precise wording might help me further in this. I am working with  
those outside the Congress and in an FOIA action.)

With the King story I'm surprised no member of the Committee's staff ~~didn't~~  
check to see if it really happened. I put members of the press onto the fact that it  
did not. They confirmed it, as did others with access to the morgue of other papers.

Were it not for my limitations this would not be a top priority matter for me.  
With my limitations my progress in confirming my analysis of the reason for this ploy  
will take longer. If and when it checks out I will be anxious to make it available  
to anyone who may have the interest. And if one of the efforts I am making works out  
it will be published as soon as, if it is, it is established.

For whatever my opinion may be worth to you, I offer it. As I continue on my  
own course it is becoming more and more apparent to me that there is an unreported if  
detected internecine warfare between the executive agencies. Each is anxious to escape  
criticism. Each is willing to have others blamed, sometimes helping it happen. It can  
be quite complicated, perhaps invisible, to one who has spent less time in this than I  
have, who does not have the records I have and whose correspondence with the agencies  
is of a different nature. One consequence could be another whitewash.

If what I ask is a burden, I do apologize. For what you sent, my sincere thanks.

Best wishes,

Harold Weisberg