Dan Steve, Rell

After we spece yesterday and after famonics and I spece "in bear phoned so and then, later, I had time to do more thinking about this. I also read the Readers Dignet place, which I do have. I do not have the Epstein book. (because of my situation and needs I also do not plan to purchase it immediately - I need that money more for other purposes.)

From reading the Figure place I have something I believe is simple and comprehensible for you. I placed back but you and lessonics had both gone. I write now and suclose copies on the chance we do not get together today. I want to get this in the mail that leaves shortly and I have to be gone for a short while this norman.

In addition to lying to cover the CTA are and defens the FRI where he talks about the June 23, 1964 warren "comission executive assetion dealing with Ecsenko and that he said Epotein lied perhaps more significantly about how Cawald got to Austia.

Epstein mays that Covald reached England on October 9, 1959 and left the mass day. To quotes records other than Cowald's passport in support. However, "avaid's passport establishes that Epstein s is a deliberate lie. Cowald did not leave England until the next day, October 10. On October 10 there was no way in the world for Cowald to have reached Helminki before midnight, as he did, by any commercial carrier.

The enclosures are Cauald's passport stamp and the CIA's reporting to the Commission on when Cauald reached Selsinki and that it could not have been by commercial carrier. (There is cars in the unpublished files but I'm trying to keep it simple and do it fast.)

In this lie Spatein is also covering for the Ula, foreign activities not being the FbI's jurisdiction.

If there is an unknown insocent explanation of Gamald's travel schedules there is no apparent insocent explanation of Spatein's attrapresenting the existing evidence.

Ordinarily, as you know, I have no interest in personal attention, no interest in being on TV. I'd rather stay how and do my work. Bosaver, this represents a major disinformation operation in which ADC-TV is being alsused. Despite the wealth of the TV neture they cannot have in their employ experts on all subjects. I therefore ask for fairness doctrine time of ADC and on this came show and at the same part of it to present to the same audience the other side it cannot receive except from a subject expert. I ask that you please forward this request to the proper person within ABC.

If my request is granted this will mean I will have a transportation problem because the only available means, a bus, is uncertain and if on time would not enable me to be at your studies until the end of two second hour. I cannot afford a cab for 50 miles. However, I would be willing to have this taped almost at your convenience, preferably right after the end of the second hour.

I hope we get a chance to talk later. I detest the situation in which those of means and determination can misuse all the modia and misisform the country.

Sincerely,

Rarold Weisberg

Rt. 12. Frederick, 4d. 21701 3/9/78

Mr. Allen HoCreight, Chief FOLA/PA Branch FBI Washington, D.C. 20535

FOIA request

There is a current book titled lessed by Edward J. Epstein. 't deals with a subject in which I believe I have some expertise, a subject that has been included in some of my FOLA requests of the FEL to which there has not been response, and in a manner I believe is grossly unfair to the FEL and former birector Hoover. This request relates to that book, its content, its accuracy or fairness - to any and all information relating to luri Ivanovich Mosenko in commention with Lee Harvey Uswald, the Warren Commission, the CLA and to a lesser degree with an alleged double-agent identified as "Fedora."

With "Tedora" I have a concern that what Epstein says may have endangered his life if there is a real "Tedora." by interest in "Tedora" is limited to the content of what Epstein has written and suid, not only in the book but in what I am ours the FAI is sware of, other publications like Wes York Magazine and Readers Digest.

In the past there has been an approved FSI policy of act responding to my requests. In this case because of the subject matter, its timeliness and because of other factors well known to the FSI and established as a matter of court records, like my ago, health and the nature of my work, I am asking for expedited processing. For the same reasons I am also making a valver of all comments and charges.

Because of the passing of time it appears possible that there may not be anyone now in FMI BL who has knowledge of the central facts. I therefore repeat some to help you see that there is just cause for this request for expedited processing.

After Mosenko defected to the CIA he was made available to the FMI by the CIA, in Fairfax County, Va. After several interviews by severalFbb agents, whose reports were in several instances given to the Warren Commission, Director Mosver had those agents arrange for Mosenko to agree to testify before the Warren Commission. I have no reason to believe that this was asked of Ar. Moover. (I believe that this alone centradicts Spatein's conjectures.)

Illustrative of the kinds of information that are of issociate interest to me are the following things of which Epstein has written and spoken:

He says that the FMI sought to have complete control over Mosenko, thus interfering with the GMA in its responsibilities. I believe that there is snother set of facts and circumstances, and that whatever control the FBI may have sought to exert over one in the custody of the GMA ses limited to its responsibilities to the JFK assessination investigation and to the Warren Commission.

(What any be unknown to anyone in your Branch is the fact that there was relevant discussion of the subject of Gamald as somebody's agent before the Members only of the Warren Commission, with their general counsel, on 1/27/64. If your Files do not hol: this transcript it is published in facsimile in my book Whitewash IV. Allen Dulles, formarly bCI, told the other Hambers that if Camald had been what Nosenko described as "an American sleeper agent," this would not have involved toe FBI, overness being the responsibility of the CIA. Under these circumstances I see cause for the FBI having great concern over unimpeded access to Nosenko and whatever information be usual provide.)

There are two other factual errors I have seen accres on Egstein's writing in seeking to give accurate information to a TV network that consulted me yesterday afternoon. Both relate to the foregoing in different ways. They are the kinds of errors I do not regard as accidental in a work of such pretensions and on which there has been a reported investment of a half million dollars and considerable editorial and other effort.

I do not mean to suggest there are only two factual errors. Rather do I mean to use these to illustrate, especially as response to Epstein's exploitation.

Epstein says that Oswald reached England on October 9, 1959 and left the same day. This appears to be because if he did not leave England on the 3th there was no way in which he could have reached delainki before mightnight on the 10th, when without question he was registered in a hotel there. Oswald's passport shows that he left England on the 10th. (Decause you say receive other inquiries I enclose copies of the proof of both statements from the Warr n Commission's volumes 18 and 26.)

Epstein also sigrepresents that Richard Helms saked to see Chairman Sarren on the sorming of June 24, 1964, to discuse the Mosenko-Oswald satter, that there was this seeting and that as a consequence the chairman called an executive session of the other numbers that afternoon, June 24.

The FHI may or may not be aware that from the moment Director Hoover informed the Commission of Nomenko's availability to tentify the CIA, particularly Michard Weiss and the Angleton staff, did all they could to prevent Nomenko's testifying.

The fact is that the executive session in question was the day before, June 25, and that any meeting between Michard Helms and Chairman Warren on June 24 followed the executive session relating to Messanko.

It is also the fact that as of today the CLA is preventing my obtaining the transcript of the June 23 session. (C.A.75-1448.) Thus what the Commission Members know and discussed resains secret except that the CLA appears to have made it available to Epstein, slong with Mosenko, who it "sent" to him.

From my knowledge of FEI practise of the past it appears cortain that such if not all of what I seek has already been compiled by the FMI. If I am correct them compliance with this request is virtually a matter of moroxing collected records.

However, I do mean this to be an inclusive request, for more than my present interest, which includes being able to inform media people fully and accurately. (That this has me defending the FBI is not entirely without precedent, despite the FBI records I have seen.) I believe it was more than right and proper for the FBI to arrange for Hosenko to be available for Commission testimony. I believe it was essential. Ist apparently because of the GIA's operations Essenko's mans is not mentioned in the Warren Report. So I do want as full a record to be available in the archive I am leaving for the future. In addition, I have commenced a book on this aspect.

For there to be full response I believe it will be agreement to provide files from various field offices, inchining the offices of legats. It is a practical impossibility for me to continue writing each one of them, as I have been forced to do. I am asking that he obtain the relevant records from these other offices.

about what Mosenke said of his own knowledge of the KGB's Gewald file. I am familiar with the FBI records that are available at the "ational Archives. They state other than what Epstein new says. I do not believe that the FBI agents lied about this. I believe that if not at the time of the FBI's Mosenke interviews more recently the FBI had occasion to determine the truth about this mad related matters. Such an evaluation would be of importance to me in responding to media inquiries.

I do hope you will send me what is available sitbout tire-consensing courch as soon as you possibly can.

dincerely,