

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Memorandum

AUG 13 1970

TO : Mr. J. Edgar Hoover
Director, Federal Bureau of Investigation

DATE:

FROM : William D. Ruckelshaus
Assistant Attorney General
Civil Division

SUBJECT: Harold Weisberg v. Department of Justice
USDC D.C., Civil Action No. 2301-70

WDR:JFAxel	145-12-1449	Mr. Tolson	
		Mr. Sullivan	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
		Mr. Mohr	
		Mr. Bishop	
		Mr. Brennan	CD
		Mr. Callahan	
		Mr. Casper	
		Mr. Conrad	
		Mr. Felt	
		Mr. Gale	
		Mr. Rosen	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
		Mr. Tavel	
		Mr. Walters	
		Mr. Soyars	
		Tele. Room	
		Miss Holmes	
		Miss Gandy	

A copy of the complaint recently filed in this action is enclosed.

In order that we may defend this action, please send us not later than September 1, 1970, a report, in duplicate, setting forth the facts involved. Because many courts are reluctant to grant extensions of time to answer, we request that this report be given your preferred attention. If you cannot send us the necessary material within that time, please let us know promptly so we can inform the court of the reason for the delay.

It will be helpful if you will state specifically which allegations of the complaint should, in your opinion, be admitted and which should be denied, and make suggestions for any affirmative allegations to be made in the answer.

Please include a list of the names, official positions, and addresses of persons who have personal knowledge of the facts involved and a brief summary of the matters about which they can testify on behalf of the Government.

Your report should also include information (and copies of relevant documents, if any) as to any defense set-off or counterclaim which you believe may be available.

In addition, please transmit with your response to this memorandum originals and six copies of an affidavit establishing the basis for defense of this litigation. The affidavit could be prepared along the lines of the affidavit executed by Special Agent Roy H. Jevins for use in litigation entitled John Nichols v. United States, USDC D Kan., Civil No. T-4536. In addition to the statements made in that affidavit, we suggest you include in the affidavit in the present litigation, a statement of how your law enforcement purposes would be hindered were the materials sought subject to public disclosure.

United States Attorney
District of Columbia

ENCLOSURE

ENCLOSURE ATTACHED

P. W. K.
M. W. M. J.

hair 13

EX-109

22 AUG 14 1970

EXP. PROC.

AUG 14 1970

B.

AUG 31 1970

WDR

10706069

Handwritten signatures and initials