

NEWS LETTER

Published by News Research Service, Inc.,

727 W. Seventh Street, Los Angeles, California

Space permits only highlighting of news. More detailed information is available to serious Students and Writers.

Vol. V. #129 -- Feb. 12, 1941

"FDR TO FACE GESTAPO COURT"...WHEN, AS AND IF...

When, as and if Nazi Totalitarianism takes over the United States, President Franklin Delano Roosevelt will have to face a Peoples' (Gestapo) Tribunal. This eventuality is weighed with increasing emphasis in swastika-orientated circles inside and outside the Third Reich. Obediently echoed by all Nazi-pandering Goebbels adjuncts studding the United States from coast to coast, it emerged with appalling candor in a recent issue of the *California Staats-Zeitung*; also in a special circular distributed by a self-confessed obstructionist.

The fervor with which threats against the President and his administration are expressed, is painfully reminiscent of the very last stages of the democratic German (*Weimar*) Republic. It was at that fateful juncture that

Hitler, in frenzied gutturals, incessantly intoned the revenge motif. He uttered his warning for the first time when, on September 25, 1930, he testified (illustration on page 4) before the criminal bench of the German Supreme Court, then trying three *Reichswehr* officers for high treason in connection with alleged Fascist plotting in the German Army.

"If our movement succeeds," *Herr* Hitler shrieked, "we shall erect a Peoples' Tribunal, before which the November criminals of 1918 shall expiate their crime, and I frankly predict you shall see their heads rolling in the sand."

To the same extent to which the Nazis have "awakened Germany", they fulfilled their dire promise of revenge. One of the first, and most outstanding, cases of this kind was the arrest, and subsequent prolonged torture, of Schuschnigg. Their most recent exhibition of sadistic vindictiveness is the case of Edouard Daladier and his colleagues.

As Hitler's War progressed, his stormtroopers in the United States -- German-born, native, uniformed or in mufti -- have incessantly hammered away on the revenge motif, thus substantiating it once more as one of the psychological roots of Nazism. And in the ratio in which the American people awakened to the necessity of preparing legislation along the lines of the

Roosevelt Should Be Impeached

...and ... called for the ...

...with the ...

...of ...

...of ...

...of ...

...of ...

...of ...

...of ...

...of ...

...of ...

...of ...

Reproduction of leaflet broadcast by American Freedom Association. Story on page 2.

Symptomatic of their tactics is a leaflet (illustration on page 1), currently circulated by the American Freedom Association, a Los Angeles, Nazi-dominated clique, using the Stars and Stripes for camouflage. This outfit makes a point of harping on its nativistic and undiluted Americanism; it finds a noteworthy counterpart in hyphenated Americans, writing for the *California Staats-Zeitung*. Completely imbued with the Hitler spirit of revenge, this German-language weekly epitomizes in its news columns, and especially on the editorial page, Nazi logic with all its curious off-on-a-tangent psychology.

Firing from Ambush

California Staats-Zeitung, as well as the American Freedom Association and many others of the same ilk, enjoy the spiritual affinity of such 100-plus per-centers as Charles W. Phillips, Lincoln, Nebr., pamphleteer, who publishes "The Individualist". Similar to other publications of the same type, it hides obstructionist aims behind a super-patriotic program, claiming that it is devoted to past history and present news of the conflict between INDIVIDUALISM and COLLECTIVISM. IN FAVOR OF the preservation of the American system, Christianity and the right to private ownership of property. (Phillips' own emphasis.)

Phillips -- vociferous as he may be -- is not as blatantly outspoken as the *California Staats-Zeitung*. His "Individualist" attacks stealthily by indirect direction, launching its main onslaught from behind a leaflet which Phillips encloses with his weekly diatribe. His sources are authoritative enough but the manner in which he selects his quotations and exploits them is a dead give-away of his obstructionist tendencies. In his article, Phillips proves -- to his own satisfaction! -- that the current war is of impero-capitalistic origin; he boldly insists that the Washington administration is actually in cahoots with "supra-nationalistic" powers, a conception and term which originated with the late Erich Ludendorff. Indeed, the entire contents of Phillips' issue of January 20th is given over to the specious assertion that Marxism is now being put over in the United States, and that

those behind Marx' program are sufficiently powerful to dominate the internal and foreign policies of government.

Says Phillips, to drive home his point:

The numberless contradictions in the foreign policy of a nation as shown by the difference between its officially announced international "hates" and the unofficial record of its "international business" also call attention to the question of just where sovereignty in the nations actually resides. The press of one nation will berate the people of another nation and the compliment will be returned. Presidents and dictators will take turns in hurting each other's feelings. Prime Ministers and Chancellors will call each other names but the international business men will go on about their business regardless. Are they outside the jurisdiction of national laws and national authority? If they are, then international business men are accountable to a control and protection that is greater than that of any one nation.

There are a few members of Congress who, in the course of their investigations, became aware of that phase of international activity that could only exist but by the grace of a carefully concealed international sovereignty. This may be the reason why these Congressmen fear for our national future and why they wish to place the interests of America first and foremost above that of foreign countries.

Phillips calls for corroboration upon such stand-pat isolationists as Senators Gerald Nye and Burton K. Wheeler. Eventually, to prove his point, he cites war-conditioned England as a warning example, stating:

Where lies the sovereignty over England? Let the facts answer this question. Today, everything in England is subject to conscription, whether it be labor, goods or money. The only exception is the Bank of England which is a private institution. England is under the sovereignty of the international business men. The same situation exists in the United States, France, and Germany as disclosed by the power of the groups in these countries holding a status comparable to the "merchant bankers" of London. War is serious business, more so when it is accompanied by a loss of all that we have fought for over a century and a half. Let us hope that Congress will at least try to peer behind the veils from where the real sovereignty operates.

To remove all possible doubt, Phillips, in sending out his January 20th release of The Individualist, supercharged it with a leaflet containing reprints from the New York Herald Tribune and the New York Times. Placed in juxtaposition, these clippings demonstrate how the powers-that-be in overwhelmed France are on the alert for suitable scape-goats. To drive home his particular point, Phillips captioned the leaflet

Aside from Phillips' heading, all he contributes to the reprint of the clippings are under-scorings to emphasize his point. In this manner, he makes it clear that, according to his lights, the guilt of the Washington administration equals that of the Daldler cabinet.

WE ARE BEING BETRAYED LIKE FRANCE AND BY THE SAME BETRAYERS A Warning to Our Congress

PLANNED EXPLOITATION TO AID BLOM TRIAL

Of Helping Pull France Into War

Bullitt Accused

Of Helping Pull France Into War

By John Elliot

Reproduction of enclosure with which Charles W. Phillips re-enforced his attacks on the Administration. Story on this page.

THE NEW YORK TIMES, SUNDAY, DECEMBER 11, 1940.

NEW YORK HERALD TRIBUNE, SUNDAY, DECEMBER 22, 1940.

In his opinion, Phillips finds himself in full accord with the California Staats-Zeitung. This "American Newspaper Printed in the German Language" habitually dresses up its front page (illustration on page 4) with Goebels-approved news; its editorials are imbued with the spirit and logic of the Third Reich. They usually come from the pen of G. E. Martens, who was the first publicity agent for the Friends of New Germany when originally organized in Los Angeles in 1933 and 1934; in time, the "Friends" developed into the Bund.

One of the most revealing editorials published by the California Staats-Zeitung appeared on January 24th. Signed by Martens, it was entitled: "For They Have Sown the Wind....". It opened with a strenuous attempt at whitewashing the Third Reich of all war guilt. Then, with increasing militancy, Herr Martens asserted:

That it was England and France who declared war, and not Germany, does not seem to be of importance (to American warmongers). Norway, one-sided neutrality, which permitted the English to plant mines in

Hyphen Hears Its Ugly Head

