WASHINGTON. WASHINGTON. WWAELTONEY General of the United States, was a 17-year-old string bean, he was quiet, jug-eared, country-talking and slow-moving. Now, at 39, he is the young-est member of the Fresident's Cabinet and the first son in our history to fol-her to bis father's forterens as a th low in his father's footsteps as Attorney General. He is still quiet, jugeared, country-talking and slow-moving. And despite the obvious fact that he has had to travel pretty fast to get where he is he is nobody's nage of a Young Man in a Hurry. Seventeen-year-old Ramsey Clark im

gave no impression that he was going anywhere in a rush either at the end of his junior year at Washington's Woodrow Wilson High School in June,

FRED P. GRAHAM, a lawyer, regularly covers the Supreme Court and the Justice Department for The New York Times.

1945. He was a shy, deliberate young-ster, always the last to collect his books and leave the classroom—a likable boy whom the other students took for granted (122 I.Q., 21st in a class of 117), who had never been elected to anything, and who shunned sports and read books.

Yet in the five and one-half years after that departure he: (1) earned his high-school diploma in summer school; (2) enlisted in the Marines and, after courier missions to the glamour capitals of Europe, came out glamour capitals of Europe, came out as a corporal (a high rank among enlisted marines); (3) obtained a B.A. degree at 'the University of Texas; (4) married; (5) earned a mas-ter's degree in history at the Univer-sity of Chicago, and (6) graduated with a doctor of jurisprudence degree from the University of Chicago Law School and began practice in the fam-ily law firm in Dallas. Calculated at the usual pace of

Calculated at the usual pace of

three years for a Marine enlistment, four for college, two for the M.A., three for a law degree, and with the high-school diploma and marriage wn in, he had ambled through the first dozen years of a typical young man's career in less than half the prescribed time.

THIS performance contained all the elements of the subsequent Clark pat-tern of making haste slowly. He was tern or making haste slowly, he was lucky—the postwar point system hastened his discharge. He was a plugger—summertime was always an opportunity to take more courses, and, while deliberate, he was unfail-ingly thorough. He was unfailand pleasant-people wished him well, And he was well-connected. His father, Tom C. Clark, was Attorney General from 1945 to 1949, and thereafter an Associate Justice of the Supreme Court.

Because his family's longtime

friendship with Lyndon B. Johnson is so well-known, there has been a ten-dency to overestimate this final ingredient in the rapid rise of the young Attorney General. This is magnified, in a subtle way, by the fact that Mr. Johnson and many of the that Mr. Johnson and him come across as bigger than life-size. Such qualities as the computer mind of Robert S. as the computer mind of Robert S. McNamara, the eloquence of W. Willard Wirtz, the intellectual credentials of John W. Gardner and the facile intelligence of Nicholas deB. Katzenbach made these men standout per-sonalities before Mr. Johnson ob-tained their services, and they appear even larger than life in the glare of Presidential publicity.

Ramsey Clark is much more like Bill D. Moyers, the able, soft-spöken former White House press secretary. Both are low-key types who made it fast and young because they were close enough to the President to have

Attorney General Clark may be more of a public defender than a prosecutor

Low-Key and Liberal

By FRED P. GRAHAM



30



COMER-Ramsey Clark testifies before a Senate hearing, left, on behalf of the Administration's antiwiretapping bill. Above, he ponders a legal point in his office with a bust of L. B. J. close by. On his office wall, right, hangs a portrait of his father, retiring Supreme Court Associate Justice Tom C. Clark, the only former Attorney General of the United States to have a son occupy the post.

New York Times photographs by GEORGE TAMES

their abilities noticed. They never de-veloped oversized personalities, and both men seem to relish being justplain-Joe in the very big leagu

Clark made it clear on the day he was appointed that he hopes to be a nonpolitical technician, rather than the partisan spokesman many Attor-neys General have been. "My judg-ment is that the Department of Justice is not a good place to have high political activity," he told White House reporters. "The fact and appearance of its function have to be even handed "

He also started out obviously determined to be more candid than most Washington leaders. He met the re-porter's most sensitive questions headon, commenting on such items as his relations with J. Edgar Hoover ("cor-dial," but future F.B.I. directors should be subject to Senate confirmation), and the death penalty (it should be abolished).

Young Ramsey Clark moves slowly, but he gets there fast—and in some surprising directions.

But immediately after his confir-mation hearing before the Senate Judiciary Committee, Clark was asked by reporters about the investi-gation of New Orleans District At-torney Jim Garrison into the assassi-nation of President Kennedy, and his candor or him high his first bet nation of President Kennedy, and his candor got him into his first hot water as Attorney General. Respond-ing to questions about the arrest of Clav L. Shaw, a retired New Orleans businessman, for conspiring to mur-der the President, Clark—who has en-dered by the state of the state of the state of the state area of the state o dorsed the <u>Warren</u> Commission's con-clusion that Lee Harvey Oswald acted alone—said the F.B.I. had checked out Shaw and found that he was not involved. But reporters failed to find evidence in the National Archives

that the F.B.I. had ever contacted that the F.B.I. had ever contacted Shaw, and reliable sources within the Government insisted that they had not. When the reporters returned to the Justice Department for clarifica-tion, they were told that Clark would not discuss the issue further.

If Clark was learning as early as his Senate confirmation day that he could no longer be as open as before, there were also indications at his swearing-in ceremony the following week that he would not be able to avoid politics completely. The hints avoid pointes completely. The hints came in connection with the current controversy over wiretapping and eavesdropping by law enforcement officials, a matter that is certain to be one of Clark's major concerns.

Numerous instances of illegal eaves-dropping by the F.B.L and other Federal agencies have come to light in the past year, and anyone in Clark's position would be expected to do

Something about the situation. But when Clark became Acting Attorney General last October, the matter assumed peculiar political overtones, With characteristic open-ness, he announced that the Justice Department would review all cases in which illegal eavesdropping might have tainted evidence, and would inform the courts of any instances it found. So far, 14 have turned up-all having occurred during the tenures of Clark's predecessors, Katzenbach and Robert Kennedy.

In a speech at Clark's swearing-in. President Johnson departed from his text several times to stress his new Attorney General's role in safeguard-Attorney General's role in safeguard-ing the citizenry from governmental snooping. Standing only a few feet from Senator Kennedy, his major rival within the Democratic party, the President lamented governmental invasions of privacy and promised that Clark would spearhead an effort to win Congressional approval of a ban

win Congressional approval of a ban on wiretapping and burging in all but national-security investigations. The political implications for Sen-ator Kennedy were obvious—as At-torney General he had plugged for a law to permit court-supervised wire-tapping by police, and he has been embroiled in a public quartel with J. Edgar Hoover over who was respon-sible for the F.B.L burging that took sible for the F.B.I. bugging that took place while he was Attorney General. So, although there is much to be said So, although there is much to be said for court-approved police eavesdrop-pling (a majority of President John-son's own National Grime Commission feels that it is necessary to control estimal. Ether Komendus is identified reeis that it is necessary to control crime), Robert Kennedy is identified with the "wrong" side of the issue. Thus Clark's most sincere efforts to ventilate the issue have proved

emb embarrassing to Senator Kennedy. The first time Clark testified in favor of the President's antiwiretapping bill of the President's antiwiretapping bill in the House of Representatives, Re-publicans needled him with charges that Mr. Johnson was pushing the measure just to embarrass Senator Kennedy. Clark denied it, but after that his nersuasive arguments against Remeay. Clark denies r, but after that his persuasive arguments against police eavesdropping seemed double-edged. – He may have had more luck keeping

(Continued on Page 134)

NY Times (Augosino) April 2, 1967.

