

Mr. Harold Weisberg
7627 Old Receiver Road
Frederick, MD 21702

Dear Mr. Weisberg:

March 8, 1995

In reference to your recently published book, "Case Open," had Howdy Doody written a book, printed up-side down, which supported the Warren Commission conclusion, the mainline media would have warmly embraced and publicized him as they did Posner and "Case Closed." In addition to the "Magic Bullet," we now have the "Split Bullet." Incredible. What's next?

In addition to the errors and omissions you brought forth in "Case Open," I was startled to note on page 403 of "Case Closed" that Posner "moved" the Jack Ruby retrial from Dallas, Texas to Wichita Falls, Kansas. This is a serious error for a "Wall Street" lawyer to make. The change of venue was from Dallas to Wichita Falls, Texas. I have never heard of a change of venue from one state to another. More meticulous research?

Posner also claims Oswald was the only person missing from the roll call of employees of the Texas School Book Depository taken after the assassination. I think you will agree this was an error. Also Posner claims Rose Cheramie's aforeknowledge of the assassination was made on November 25th. There is evidence she made this claim on November 20th. And, as you mentioned, "No Scource" Posner reached many incredible conclusions through his own mental clairvoyance.

At the press conference with Henry Wade on November 22rd, Posner implies Jack Ruby was echoing reporters when he corrected Henry Wade that Oswald was a member of the "Fair Play for Cuba Committee." It is clear to anyone viewing this tape that Ruby was the lone, outspoken corrector of Wade. How would a "two-bit," uninformed nightclub operator have such knowledge at that time? Posner has no curiosity about this remarkable occurrence.

As a thirty some odd year student of the assassination, it has always puzzled me that virtually no one ever mentions one very prominent politician of the time who disbelieved the Warren Commission conclusion of Oswald as a lone gunman. That politician is Lyndon Baines Johnson. As expected, Johnson

lauded the Report, Hoover and the FBI when it was first released. Once Johnson became satisfied the bug would fly, he became fond of ruminating, privately and "off the record," about "who really killed Jack Kennedy." With Howard K. Smith, Johnson claimed "Castro got him, before he could get Castro." With Madeleine Brown, it was "Texas oil." I can't recall anyone claiming Johnson considered Oswald to be a lone gunman. Thus, ironically for Posner, the creator of the Warren Commission is on record as disagreeing with the Oswald conclusion.

Additionally, Tip O'Neill, former House Speaker, indicated in his autobiography that there was a conspiracy to kill JFK. On the Larry King show, when asked by King if there was a conspiracy, O'Neill said: "Absolutely, no question about it." As you well know, there is the public perception of truth, carefully nurtured by politicians, bureaucrats, and mainline media and there is the real, concealed truth. Johnson and O'Neill were first rank Washington "insiders" who preferred to leave some historical record that they knew the Report to be a bunch of "crap." People like Posner may pretend to believe in the Report, but who would be the better authority? A money hungry writer or old pro "insiders" like Johnson and O'Neill? The smart money would be on Johnson and O'Neill.

The JFK assassination is often called "The Crime of The Century." I am certain you will agree it should be called "The Greatest Fraud Ever Perpetrated on World History." The philosopher, Hegel, has written that countries historically rise to and fall from power. If the U.S. is to fall from power, future historians may well record that it began with the brutal murder of John Kennedy and the subsequent Warren Commission Report. With the success of the fraud, Washington changed. Politicians cynically gave themselves raises by voting against a raise. Watergate. The House Bank scandal. Whitewater. Foster's death and the peculiar investigation thereof. The tank attack in Waco, Texas that resulted in the deaths of 75 people who had broken no law. A legal, government approved Klu Klux Klan who ride in the dark of night, accountable to no law with unlimited expense accounts, namely, the CIA. No one in Washington is presently embarrassed at being caught in a lie. It has become a city of "Spin Doctors." Kennedy may not have personified honor, but honor and truth died with him in Dallas.

I have, for these many years, presented your research and that of others, to friends and acquaintances. Sadly, mostly to deaf ears. People listen, but most will not read researcher books. Two reasons: One a mental block against the possibility the government has perpetrated such a big lie. Two, their personal lives are so consuming, they convince themselves they do not have the time. It is not an exaggeration to state the people of the United States, the World and all humanity will ultimately

Mr. Weisberg

Page three

owe gratitude to you and the other honest researchers who have relentlessly pursued the truth in this matter. Unless...unless some future politician or bureaucrat decides the researcher books are confusing the youth who are taught one thing in school, i.e., the demented Oswald alone assassinated Kennedy; and the researchers are undermining society and youth by claiming the Warren Commission Report to be false. The solution? Burn the researcher books and eliminate the confusion. Farfetched? Not as farfetched as the Warren Commission Report. I am reminded of Sinclair Lewis's novel: "It Can't Happen Here." Well, it did. Read "Case Closed."

I have felt for some time the audience for the Kennedy assassination could be greatly increased if someone would write a knowledgeable novel about the subject and I have waited for years for such a novel. It never appeared. Two years ago, I said: "Screw it, I'm tired of waiting, I'll write my own." Thus, I recently completed "Cui Bono, To Whose Advantage?" This novel of approximately 135,000 words, is concerned with the events and forces in existence prior to the assassination, events and forces which made the assassination inevitable and predictable. Hoover, Johnson, CIA, FBI, Mafia, the Military, anti-Castro Cuban exiles and Texas oil. While a work of fiction, all references to public figures and institutions are based on fact. I think the truth needs such a novel. I do not delude myself that I have succeeded, that will be for others to decide. If others decide "Cui Bono" to be worthwhile, I have a second novel planned that will deal with events after the assassination.

I greatly need and will appreciate your advice as to who may have an interest in publishing "Cui Bono." I am familiar with your experience and hardships in attempting to get anything published about the assassination. Humorously, I might add I feel you owe me some consideration in this regard since your works played a great part in moving me in this direction.

Thank you, sir, you are a "hero" to many of us.

Sincerely,

Tom

T.M. Durham
3161 Sunnybrook Lane
Colorado Springs, Co. 80904
(719) 475-9310