

Mr. Weisberg -

4/12/95

In regards to Case Open, your response to Mr. Posner's Case Closed :

Run-on sentences risk losing the point the writer is attempting to make. Your work is replete with this particular grammatical faux-pas. At points, you manage to cram upwards of 100 words into one sentence.

Your syntax is in need of refining. Consulting your editor might aid you in this respect. One of the primary elements in writing is clarity.

Jim Moore found a need to indulge in self-titled "bashing" of those whose ideas on the J.F.K. murder didn't agree with his. Posner has this need, although to a somewhat lesser extent. Do you have to resort to these tactics in your response to their work/criticisms? Answering counterpoints with factual evidence alone would suffice. Personal attacks violate the rules of logical, rhetorical thinking. Would the facts and conclusions you draw from them stand up without the raving vilifications?

There exists an industry within publishing that concerns the death of Kennedy. After spending probably \$200 in purchasing various books about the case (yours included), I've come to think that there is little hope for an even remotely objective look at the death of JFK. The facts are made to fit the theories of the author.

Does it even matter how JFK died anymore? Some say that Kennedy's death is comparable to Lincoln - but the circumstances surrounding honest Abe's demise ~ who shot him and why ~ don't even register in comparison to his acts as a president.

(OVER)

John F. Kennedy should be examined for his acts as a leader ~ that is relevant to any consequences that rose from his period as a world leader.

I hope you will not take this letter as mean-spirited, for that is not my intent. I am dissapointed with your book mostly because I think it is unreadable and you do little to bolster your opinions with hard facts.

Regards

Terrence Watt  
3987 Post Road  
Warwick, R.I. 02886