

18 JULY 92

(1)

DEAR MR. WEISBERG.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR

LETTER OF JULY 7 CONCERNING  
MY QUESTIONS.

I'VE BEEN KIND OF A  
PEST, I APOLOGIZE. IT WOULD  
SEEM, I WAS TRYING TO INSERT  
MYSELF INTO SOME KIND OF  
INTRIGUE. AS A RULE I PROTECT  
MY CORRESPONDANCE GUARDEDLY  
AND INCIDENT WITH PHOTOCOPY  
TO ATTORNEY KINGS WAS AS  
I EXPLAINED (SHARING OF  
RELEVANT INFORMATION) HIS  
FATHER AND MINE WERE FIRST  
COUSINS, THOUGH THE ATTORNEY  
AND I HAVE NEVER MET.

(2)

I AM SORRY TO ADMIT

MY VOCABULARY IS NOT WHAT  
IT SHOULD BE, AND WITH EACH  
COUPLE OF PAGES OF YOUR BOOKS  
I STOP AND LOOK UP 2-3 NEW  
(IMPORTANT ANYWAY) ~~NEW~~ WORDS  
THIS HAS BEEN BENEFICIAL  
TO ME. (ALSO SOME LATIN)  
I FIND MANY OF THE  
PASSAGES IN YOUR BOOKS (I STILL  
HAVE NOT COMPLETED 'WHITEWASH II')  
TO CONTAIN A SUBTLE HUMOR  
WHICH MAKE THE DIFFICULT  
REALITIES YOU RELATE EASIER  
TO DIGEST. I DO READ PAGES  
SLOWLY, EVEN REPEATEDLY BECAUSE  
I WANT TO KNOW THESE FACTS.

3

I WILL NOT RACE THROUGH THESE BOOKS, COMPREHENDING TOO LITTLE, SIMPLY TO SATISFY SOME QUANTITATIVE GOAL.

I HAVE FOUND ~~THE~~ MAKING FLASH CARDS OF INDEX CARDS USEFUL IN REMEMBERING THE MANY, MANY NAMES INVOLVED LAW ENFORCEMENT PERSONNEL, WITNESSES, THE WARREN COMMISSION, MEDICAL PERSONNEL ETC, ETC... EVEN TERMINOLOGY SPECTROGRAPHIC, HETEROODYNING, INDEPENDENT ~~PSYCHOSIS~~ PHENOMENON ETC, ETC...

I LOVE OUR COUNTRY, THESE UNITED STATES, BUT I SEE THAT THE PEOPLE WERE DECEIVED IN THIS MATTER, PERHAPS THAT IS WHY I AM FASCINATED WITH PARTICULARS.

4

ALSO I SEE A CONTINUED COMPLACENCY IN SOME (NOT ALL) SOME BELIEVING THE TRUTH WILL COME OUT IN TIME, WE NEED ONLY BE PATIENT.

My assumption is "Evidence, testimony of witnesses and witnesses themselves were so badly treated that the truth can never be known"

Yet, I WILL CONTINUE TO STUDY AND LEARN WHAT I CAN IN THE MEAN TIME.

You once told me over the phone (if I remember correctly) THE RULE OF THUMB, IS DON'T START WITH A THEORY. I LIKE THAT ADVICE AND INTEND TO FOLLOW IT.

3) ANYWAY SIR, I AM 38 NOW AND I WAS 9 YEARS OLD WHEN I RAN OUT OF A RESTAURANT IN WAHIKI IN JUNE OF 1963 TO VIEW THE PRESIDENT AS HE DROVE PAST, I DID NOT GET A GOOD LOOK AT HIM BUT I REMEMBER THE EXHILARATION I FELT AS I ~~W~~ ALEU OUT ONTO THE STREET HOPING TO VIEW OUR NATIONS LEADER. IT WAS LIKE BEATLEMANIA AND BEATLEMANIA HADN'T HAPPENED YET.  
WHAT WILL I GAIN FROM STUDYING THE ASSASSINATION? CERTAINLY A BETTER GRASP OF THE ENGLISH LANGUAGE.  
(THAT'S GOOD)

6) BUT THE MORE I KNOW, THE FACTS THE LESS I WILL BE FOOLED BY THEORIES OF THE MOUTH AND GOVERNMENT PRESENTATIONS. THAT IS A GOAL OF MINE.  
I WILL READ ALL YOUR BOOKS AND "ACCUSORIES AFTER THE FACT" AND "PRESUMED GUILTY" BOTH OF WHICH YOU SUGGESTED OVER THE PHONE.  
I REALLY DO APPRECIATE YOUR STEERING ME TOWARDS EDGETS AND AWAY FROM MISINFORMATION, I AM SINCEBELY GRATEFUL.  
WITH RESPECT,  
DAVID M. KING

I've copied this letter from a man in Hawaii because Harry Livingstone says my work is so hard to understand it is incomprehensible. I think Harry's real reason is that he read only the conspiracy-theory books.