· · ·						•
			FBI			
			Date: 3/2	/64	1	
ansmit	the following in _			•		
a	ATINT	č (1	Type in plain text or code	e) (
a			(Priority or Metho	d of Mailing)		
	TO: Dir	ector, FBI (105	-8,2555)	 /	TEC 11	
	FROM: S.	AC, Miami (105-	8342) (P)			
	LEE HARV	EY OSWALD			ih fi flu	1214 "
	IS - R				(h. fi	1
	Butel to	elephone call t Miami same dat	e. ureau and Dall	PM, 2/28/64	and T	er of
	of the U. test. He while in lation, c to specif EDUARDO E	It appears from SON is sincerely and to think that is government is admitted lister Mexico City and comments and factor BORRELL NAVARRO and he therefore	t it was desir that he take a entag to many n d he does not cts attributed m whom he rece is the only p	and was gr ed by any b lie detect ewspaper re have such s with any a ived them.	reatly oranch for porters pecu- accuracy Drace name	
		When DIAT MED		iewed on 2/	27/64,	
		WHEN DIAG VER	SON was interv		_	
	2 - Dalla 2 - Miami ELS:JMS	u (Encls. 5) (R) is (100-10461) (B JYERCY - Pive. Con	1) Encl. 2) (RM)	AGENCY RED. ROTA UNE INTO NON FORME	14m 3-26-6- 15 1+H 2	/ - 39
5 EN	2 - Dalla 2 - Miami ELS:JMS (7) ICLOSURE	Hu (Encls. 5) (R) is (100-10461) (B $JYERCY - P_{Nec.Con}$ $3/25/64 - Lipis WNKG f_{2}F_{1}$	1) Encl. 2) (RM)	AGENCY // C RED. ROTIN UNE 13-4 NGR 5-4 BY J-ME/J IC MAR	4 1964	39 1
5 EN	2 - Dalla 2 - Miami ELS:JMS (7) ICLOSURE CC. MIAN Street: 1000000000000000000000000000000000000	in (Encls. 5) (R) is (100-10461) (F) $JY \in RCr - P_{124}, C_{07}$ 3/25/64 - Lipis WDK = Lipis VCE - 645 RB	1) Encl. 2) (RM)	AGENCY // C RED. ROTIN UNE 13-4 NGR 5-4 BY J-ME/J IC MAR	2-26-6- 3-26-6- 1>H2	391

٩

and a set from a star of a

105-8342

he admitted, as set forth in the LHM, that he had practically no first hand information to attribute to BORRELL NAVARRO, but tried to establish that he had probably been misunderstood by SA JAMES J. O'CONNOR. When interrogated on 2/29/64, he admitted he had not been misunderstood and furnished the data as set forth, admitting in fact, that he had lied in an effort to sustain an irresponsible story he first circulated without realizing it would become serious.



UN ED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JSTICE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

In Reply, Please Refer to File No.

Miami, Florida March 2, 1964

LEE HARVEY OSWALD INTERNAL SECURITY - R

Cution

SALVABOR DIAZ VERSON was interviewed by Special Agent of the Federal Bureau of Investigation at Miami, Florida, on February 27, 1964, at which time he furnished the following information:

DIAZ VERSON resides at <u>8770 S.W.</u> 21st Street, Westchester, Miami, Florida, He is a Cuban exile, formerly associated with the Cuban police in 1933, Chief of Cuban Military Intelligence Service during the period 1948 to 1952, but primarily a newspaperman by profession.

He had been previously interviewed by a Special Agent of the FBI on January 4 and 9, 1964, on which dates he furnished data which he had obtained while attending a Congress of the International Federation of Professional Newspaper Organizations (FIOPP), in Mexico City, from November 20, 1963 to November 29, 1963.

He stated he knew absolutely nothing first hand concerning the visit of LEE HARVEY OSWALD to Mexico or of persons contacted by OSWALD while in Mexico. He stated, as previously reported, that he did have contact /// with Dr. EDUARDO BORRELL NAVARRO, who is employed by the Mexican newspaper EXCELSIOR, but stated he did not, and does not, attribute to Dr. BORRELL NAVARRO the information regarding the contact of OSWALD with SILVIA DURAN, an employee of the Cuban Consulate at a Mexico City restaurant.

DIAZ stated the only contact of OSWALD discussed by him with BORRELL NAVARRO was that of the visit of OSWALD to the Cuban Consulate in Mexico, and the fact that SILVIA DURAN was picked up and interviewed by the

HARVEY OSWALD

ent of the set

Mexican police. He said there was some speculation and talk regarding the identity of the specific official of the Cuban Consulate or Embassy with whom DURAN may have placed OSWALD in contact. DIAZ VERSON stated Dr. BORRELL NAVARRO never stated that OSWALD went to a restaurant in Mexico City called the Caballo Blanco or possibly the Caballo Bayo, where OSWALD and DURAN allegedly met an official of the Cuban Embassy. DIAZ VERSON stated he did not discuss with BORRELL NAVARRO the allegation that OSWALD was a guest in the house of SILVIA DURAN while he was in Mexico.

DIAZ VERSON stated the matter of OSWALD allegedly meeting with DURAN at the restaurant and allegedly being a guest in her home, where strictly unidentified questions, comments and the voicing of thoughts and speculation by a rather large group of Latin American newspapermen in the offices of EXCELSIOR on the night of November 25, 1963.

DIAZ VERSON stated that after ANGEL FERNANDEZ. (" VARELA was interviewed by the FBI in Miami, he came to DIAZ VERSON and asked: "Just what were those details about OSWALD meeting DURAN in some cabaret or restaurant in Mexico City and the introduction of OSWALD to an official of the Cuban Embassy?" FERNANDEZ VARELA admitted he had not understood the story too well and perhaps had confused it "a little" when he retold it.

On February 29, 1964, upon being further interviewed concerning variations in his accounts of the above events, and especially the sources responsible for certain specific statements, DIAZ VERSON admitted that he did make statements to a Special Agent of the FBI on January 4 and 9, 1964, slightly at variance with each other, and both at variance with what he related to another Special Agent of the FBI on February 27, 1964. He stated he did not do this out of malice or bad faith, or with intent to mislead anyone. He declared he did not regard anything he heard, or said he had heard in Mexico, as evidence, or of serious importance; he stated he had engaged in loose talk and repeated theory and speculation, and when challenged as to his sources, he was ashamed to admit an irresponsible naming of sources.

HARVEY OSWALD

and the second second

DIAZ VERSON explained he went to FIOPP in Mexico City as mentioned above; he did not go to investigate anything, and consequently, when visiting the newspaper office of EXCELSIOR, he did not listen closely to the eighteen or twenty newsmen who were there, expressing ideas, theories and speculation, regarding the visit of LEE HARVEY OSWALD to Mexico.

DIAZ VERSON stated he took no notes on what was said by the reporters as he knew at the time it was only speculation and newsman conversation; Dr. BORRELL NAVARRO was the only person present whose name he knew and DIAZ VERSON simply attributed some things said to BORRELL NAVARRO which BORRELL NAVARRO did not say. DIAZ VERSON believed all others present in the offices of EXCELSIOR were Mexicans.

DIAZ VERSON stated he cannot honestly separate, as to source, what he heard from about twenty newsmen, what he read in Mexico City newspapers, and what he heard from Dr. BORRELL NAVARRO.

DIAZ VERSON stated he was extremely distressed and ashamed of his irresponsible talk as he is a professional newsman. He said he writes for AHORA, a Spanish

LEE HARVEY OSWALD

language newspaper in New York, and writes special articles for various other Spanish language periodicals. He stated he has written nothing concerning what he heard regarding the OSWALD case in Mexico, as he recognized he had nothing newsworthy, and no facts which he could substantiate.

DIAZ VERSON declined to take a polygraph examination stating it Would only humiliate him further, and show what he had already admitted.

With regard to a relationship between BORRELL NAVARRO and HORACIO DURAN MAVARRO, DIAZ VERSON stated BORRELL NAVARRO is a Cuban, only temporarily residing in exile in Mexico City. HORACIO DURAN NAVARRO and wife, SILVIA, are Mexicans. DIAZ VERSON stated that Cuba protested the arrest and interrogation of SILVIA DURAN by the Mexican Police; the Mexican Foreign Office rejected the protest, and in doing so, stated DURAN NAVARRO and wife are Mexican citizens. DIAZ VERSON stated this was the account published in Mexico City newspapers, and he concluded the same maternal name of NAVARRO for BORRELL NAVARRO and DURAN NAVARRO is only coincidence.

MCXIL

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.