

Offer Counters Marshall Hint the Agency Would Not Divulge Findings ٠l

By Best Andrew By Best Andrews WASHINGTON, Nov. 8.-A far-feaching faction, which, on lithe face of it, will give an employed discharged by any roward ent agency the right of apreal so that he can starm the thar res against him and present has d'fense, was 9

him and present los d'fense dwas taken tacky by the United Eintes Civil Seriy, ce compassion. The pointisst vid in a refrase issued just one were after the New York Herdid Tribuhe hid revealed the detais of the case of one State Department worken who was summable dismutch and rever summarily dismissed and riever told why announced the appoint-ment of mineteen men and one womin to serve as members of a Loyalty Review Board to heart ap-peals involving individuals ac-cused of being disloyal for brd

accurity risks. The new board, created under an executive order isued by Pfesi-dent Truman, is headed by Seth W. Richardson, Assistant, Aftor-ney General under former President Hooser and former attorney for the Congressional committee which intestigated Petril Harbor.

This board can be appealed to in all cases of employees dismissed since Oct., 1, 1947. It is not certain whether the

new board itself will have jurisdiction over the cases of eleven State Department . employees . dismissed prior to that time.

Certainties in Situation . "

It is certain, however: 1 That the Civil Service Commission will have the right to hear the cases of the eleven-if they file appeals. That the commission intends to

That the commission and for ask the State Department for documents and charges under the department's control involving department's control involving these individuals-if the individuals file appeals. That the commission will also

This new certainty was that the Federal Bureau of Investigawas that tion will not object if the State Department chooses to turn over to the Civil Service Commission,

J. Edgar Hoover, director of the Feteral Bureau of Investigation. told the New York Herald Tribune that the P. B. I. would co-operate to the fullest with the Civil Service Commission and the new Loyalty Renew Board, and would make available to either or both all pertin int information in the F. B. I. I:les

This would mean that the Civil Service Commission and the new board could get from the F. B. I. all documents in the cases-documents which the State Department had previously indicated the State Department could not make available because they were not under the department's control."

Secretary Marshail said on Wronesday that mich of the Weinesday that mich of the bills Department case explains the satisfy material dot under the de-basis material dot under the de-basis material dot under the de-basis of the design of the de-material in the file will be the re-servisibility of the (Civil Service) Cuirmission or the Goyalty Re-view Doard." The inference all reporters drew from this was that the State Department was eaving la effect: "We'll gladly give the re-view boales all the stuff we've got but we can't give them anything from other invisitgating agencies because those other agencies won't perpublit."

Now it is apparent that at least Now it is apparent that at least ong other agency, the F. B. I. is entirely willing for the commission or the board to have anything it has durnished the State Depart-ment, and that if such material is held back it is not due to any order by the F. B. I.

Thus, on the face of today's de Thus, on the face of today's de-velopments, the eleven fired by the State Department have achieved complete, or almost complete, res-toration of the civil right of any American to (1) know what he is charged with and (2) not to be convicted on anonymous or unre-vealed restimony vpaled testimony.

Clarification Lacking

The words "on the face of to-day's developments" seemed a necessary qualification to news-paper men because of the lack of complete clarification.

Harry B. Mitchell, president of the Civil Service Commission, re-

4. That the Civil Service Com-mission, however, would have the right to rule, in the cases of the cleven, and the Loyalty Review Board, in cases occurring after Oct. 1, that accused individualay emutid to characteristic as an imploy-Oct ment in other agencies was con-certied.

| Brighter Gutlook Seen Thus, "on the face of the devel-contents," the situation second court for the dishissed individuals and for many, fampy others who, in the optimized of the second trained of the second second second costs and thing might happen to the fam.

line flaw in the argument was bolited up by Abe Fortas, of the ligy firm of Arnold, Fortas & Norter, which is representing seven of the eleven discharged State Department employees because the firm's think their civil liberties work violated when they were dis-charged without knowing the na-ture of the adcusations against

Mr. Fortas pointed out that the President's executive order provides:

vides: "The charges shall be stated a: specifically and completely as the discretion of the employing de-pariment or agency security con-siderations permit." • • Mr. Fortas added: "The crucial question is whether formule the hold hermones for the

despite the bold language in the Civil Service Commission statement acting up the Loyalty Review Board, the employing department of agency will specify its charges against a man but will make them subject to restrictions on material in, the agency files which came In the agency files which came from other investigating agencies." Mr: Fortas was asying in effect: "The test will be whether the State Department, in the cases of our clients, will supply the Civil Service Commission or the Loyalty Review Board with documents it had soltained from the F. B. I, and other investigating agencies, as will as documents it had obtained by itself."

by itself." The answer as to whether The initial of the available to the commission or the Review Board came from J. Edgar Hoover, director of the F. B. I., who said The Federal Bureau of in-vestigation will lend its fullest co-operation to the Civil Service Commission and the Civil Service Commission's Loyalty Board. Review

"This will include making available to the commission and to the Review Board the contents of its files where pertinent."

Members Named

Members Named The twenty members of the Logally Review Board named to-day, with brief biographics, follow; GEORGE W. ALGER: Member of law firm of Alger. Peck, An-drew, Rohlfs, New York, Drafted present New York employers' ha-bility act and many amendments to child labor laws; served by spe-cial appointment of Governor of New York as commusioner to in-vestigate operation and manage-ment of the state prisons of New York and the operation of Board of Pariole; served as Impartial chairman from 1931-1935 of Com-mission on Cloak and Suit In-dustry.

JOHN HARLAN AMEN: Mem-

United States at the Rigeribers

IIARRY A. BIGELOW: Profes-for and dean emeritus. Law School. University of Chicago. Ndtod suthor of case books on the

School, University of Chicago. Noted suthor of case books on the law of property. [AARON J. BRUMIDAUGHI: [AARON J. BRUMIDAUGHI: [AARON J. BRUMIDAUGHI [Concentration of the second of the second second second of the second second second lege of Arts, Literature and Sci-enbe, University of Chicago. JOHN KIRKLAND SLARK: Member of law firm of Clark and Weich, New York; President New York State Board of Law Examin-era since 1921: special course! in connection with numerous inves-ligations in New York City. HARRY COLMERY: Practicing attorney Topeka, Kan.; past na-Legion. TOM J DAURS: Proving at

TOM J. DAVIS: Practicing at-

torney in Butte, Mont.; Lerved as president of Rotary International in 1941; consultant to United States delegation at San Fran-cisco United Nations meeting, 1945

BURTON L. FRENCH Profes-sor of government, Miami Univer-sity, Oxford, Ohio: served for twenty-six years in Housefor Rep-resentatives from the State of Idaho, fourieen of which as mem-ber; of Committee on Appropria-tions. tions

META GLASS: President Sweet-

META GLASS: Fresident Sweet-brids: College from 1925 to 1947; president Association of American Colleges, 1928-'29 and 1938-'39. EARL HARRISON: Professor and dean, Law School, University of Pennylvania, Philadelphia; for-merly mether of law firm of Saul Ewing, Remick and Harrison, Philadelphia; served as United States Commissioner of Immigra-tion and Naturalization 1942-1944. merly member of law firm of Saul

GARRETT HOAG: Member of law firm of Foley, Hosg and Ellot, Boston

WILBUR LAROE Jr.: Practic-Wilbork LARUE JT.: FIRCUC-ing attorney in Washington: mod-erator. Presbyterian Church in the U. S. A.; formerly chief ex-aminer. Interstate Commerce Commission. ARTHUR M.

ARTHUR M. MacMAHON: Eaton professor of public admin-University istration. Columbia sulation, Columbia Diversity; colltor, New York City Charter Re-Vision Commission 1921-733; staff, Presiednit's Committee on Admin istrative Management 1936; con-sultant Department of State, 1943-45.

CHARLES E. MERRIAM: Professor of political science, Univer-sity of Chicago; member of sity of Chicago; member of Hoover Commission on Recent Social Trenas, inemver National Resources Board 1933-43; mem-ber President's Committee on Ad-ministrative Management, 1938; former Management, 1938; formerly president. American Po-litical Science Association.

Ilitical Science Association. HENRY PARKMAN dr.: Mem-ber of law firm Hemenway & Barnes, Boston; trustee, Metropol-lian Transit Authority, Boston: member, Massachusetts Senate 1029-36; corporation counsel, Bos-ton, 1538-40. ton. 1038-'40.

SETH W.RICHARDSON: Mem-ber law firm Davies, Richberg, Deebe, Dusick & Richardson, Washington; formerly Asistant Attorney General of the United States; cryved as attorney for Con-present of the States of Conrecsional committee investigating Pearl Harbor. ALBERT M. SAMES: Served as Judge. United States (District)

How It Will Operate

How It Will Operate The Civil Service Commission said that the loyalty program would operate in the following manner, in accordance with the President's executive order, in so

President's executive order, in so far as inquinbent employees are concerned: 1. The names of all persons who were on the pay roll prior to Oct. 1. 1947, will be submitted to the Frederal Bureau of Investigation at the rede of approximation is nor the rate of approximately 15 per cent a month. All names will have been submitted by March 31, 1048

2. The Federal Bureau of In-vestigation will search both its ingerprint and name files for loyally information on these incumbent employees.

cumbent employees. 3. Whenever the check of the Federal Dureau of Investigation Aless develops a question of loyalty relative to an incurphent employee, the Federal Bureau of Investiga-tion will immediately hunch a full field investigation. 4. The results of this full field prestigation will then be sent to

investigation will then be sent to the Civil Service Commission by the Federal Bureau of Investigation

5. The Civil Service Commission will make a record of the receipt of the report, and will then transmit it at once to the department or agency in which the employee is working

When the report is received by the department or agency in which the employee is working it will be appointed by the head of the de-partment or agency concerned.

Right to a Hearing

Under the provisions of the President's executive order, the employee concerning whom the in-vestigation was made will have a right to an administrative hearing

before the agency loyalty board. Also, under the provision of the President's executive board, the employee must be served with a written notice of the hearing to be

written notice of the hearing to be coinducted by the agency loyalty board and must be informed in the written notice of the nature of the charges against him in sufficient detail so that he will be enabled to prepare his defense. The executive order provides apochically that "the charges shall be stated as specifically and com-pletely, as in the discretion of the employing department or agency security considerations permit and the differe of employee shall be inthe different of employee shall be in-formed in the notice (1) of his, right to reply to such charges in writing within a specified reason-able period of time, (2) of his right to an administrative hearing on such; charges before a loyalty board, and (3) of his right to appear before such a board per-sonally to be accompanied by counsel or representative of his own, choosing and to present evi-dence on bis bable theorem with

dence on his behalf through wit-ness or by affdavit." 7. If the spency loyalty board recommends the removal of the employee, he will be entitled, under the executive order, to appeal to the head of the employing depart-ment or spency as to such percenment or agency, or to such person or persons as may be designated by the head of the department or agency.

May Ask Advisory Opinion 8. When the head of the agency

imployee will be notlified by the utive order, the commission will for all service Commission is appropriate following cources of information: ateps will be taken to insure a complete presentation of all of the facts in the case to the members of the Loyalty Review Board in the agency logalty board for removal of an employee, the appropriate accepts a recommendation for the Civil Service Commission in the signery logalty board for removal of an employee, the appropriate steps to consider his insponpriate steps to consider his inson's Loyalty Review Board in the Civil Service Commission's Loyalty Review Board in the Civil Service Commission's Loyalty Review Board in the Civil Service Commission's Loyalty Review Board will make an appropriate recommendation to the head of the department or agency concerned will make an appropriate recommendation to the head of the department or agency concerned will make an appropriate recommendation to the head of the department or agency concerned will make an appropriate recommendation to the head of the department or agency concerned will make an appropriate recommendation to the head of the department or agency concerned will make an appropriate recommendation to the head of the department or agency concerned will make an appropriate recommendation to the head of the department or agency concerned will make an appropriate recommendation to the head of the department or agency concerned will make an appropriate recommendation to the head of the department or agency concerned will make an appropriate recommendation to the head of the department or agency concerned will the second the department or agency concerned will make an appropriate recommendation to the head of the department or agency concerned will be appropriate recommendation to the head of the department or agency concerned will be a the responsibility for taking the appropriate recommendation to the head of the department or agency concerned will be appropriate recommendation to the head of the department

(1) Ref. partment or agency concerned who has the responsibility for taking that artion in the case of all in cumbent employees.

employee. 3. Whenever the checks which are made hugainst these sources of information bring to light deroga-tory information with respect to loyalty the case will be referred immediately to the Federal Bureau of the restriction for a full field in-

Case of New Appointers The loyalty program will oper-late in the following manner, the commission said, in so far as new appointees are concerned: 1. All employees appointed to the executive branch of the Fed-appointed "subject to the result of an investigation." 2. As soon as the new appointees mission. 3. An soon as the new appointees mission. 3. An soon as the new appointees to the freed-bar investigation." 5. The Civil Service Commission are placed on the rolis the Civil Service Commission will be noti-bord in conformity with the provisions of the President's exec-

Case of New Appointees

in substantially the same manner as the central Loyalty Raview

Board which has just been appointed by the commission. 6. The regional loyalty boards

will provide the new appointce with a statement of the deroga-tory information bearing on loy-alty which has been developed, and will likewise provide him with an opportunity to present his side of the case. In this connection, he will have the opportunity of be-

ing 'represented by cohard had

ing represented by cohered had, will likewise have the right to pre-bent cylence in his behalf wither through witness or by diffusit. 7. Any action there by a re-sional loyally review bend in the case of a new appointes may be appealed to the central Loyair Review Board which is hund with members of the Civil Centre Corri-mission with three the repone-ability for making the linal Ca-cision. cision.